arXiv:1606.06478v1 [math.AC] 21 Jun 2016

Hilbert-Kunz theory for binoids

BAYARJARGAL BATSUKH

Dissertation
zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades (Dr. rer. nat.)

FB Mathematik/Informatik
Universität Osnabrück

December 2014

Acknowledgements

First and foremost I wish to thank my advisor, professor Holger Brenner for his guidance, trust, support and all the help. Without his assistance and dedicated involvement in every step throughout the process, this paper would have never been accomplished. I would like to thank you very much for your friendly, kind, sociable relation and understanding over these past three years.

I would also like to thank the Mongolian Government and National University of Mongolia for the financial support for these three years and gave me a chance to finish my thesis here.

I could not have finished this thesis without my family, father Batsukh, mother Chuluunbileg, my wife Enkhnasan and my children, who gave me the power, help and support. To my family, thank you.

This thesis would also not be possible without the support of my dear comrades and friends Le Van Dinh, Christof Söger, Simone Böttger, Daniel Brinkmann, Sadiq Al Nassir and all the professors and students of University of Osnabrück. Special thank to Davide Alberelli, who read my thesis and made corrections and many recommendations. Also I thank Hoang Le Truong, who also gave helpful comments.

Contents

Introduction **3**

1 Basics **7**
1.1 Binoids and their properties 7
1.2 N-sets 10
1.3 Partition of N-sets 13
1.4 Normalization of a binoid 14
1.5 Homomorphisms of N-sets 16
1.6 Smash product of N-sets 19
1.7 Exact sequences 22
1.8 Dimension 25
1.9 Algebras and Modules 26

2 Hilbert-Kunz theory of binoids **31**
2.1 Hilbert-Kunz function and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of a ring 31
2.2 The Hilbert-Kunz function of a binoid 33
2.3 Hilbert-Kunz function of finite binoids 36
2.4 Some Hilbert-Kunz functions of 2 and 3 generated binoids 38
2.5 Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of toric binoids 43
2.6 Reduction to the integral and reduced case 44
2.7 Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of not normal binoids 48
2.8 Cancellative, torsion-free binoids 51
2.9 Integral and cancellative binoids with torsion 53
2.10 Hilbert-Kunz function of binoid rings 60

3 Partition of ${}^qN$ **63**
3.1 Generalities 63
3.2 Relation to normalization 66
3.3 The toric case 69

Bibliography **75**

Introduction

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a commutative Noetherian local ring of dimension d and containing a field K of positive characteristic p. For an ideal I and a prime power $q = p^e$ we define the ideal $I^{[q]} = \langle a^q | a \in I \rangle$ which is the ideal generated by the qth power of the elements of I. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of R and M a finite R-module. Then the R-modules $M/I^{[q]}M$ have finite length. The *Hilbert-Kunz function* of M with respect to I is

$$HKF(I, M)(q) = \text{length}(M/I^{[q]}M).$$

If $M = R, I = \mathfrak{m}$ then we have the classical Hilbert-Kunz function $HKF(\mathfrak{m}, R)(q) = HK_R(q)$, introduced by Kunz [Kun69]. He also showed that R is regular if and only if $HK_R(q) = q^d$. In [Mon83], P.Monsky proved that there is a real constant $c(M)$ such that

$$\text{length}(M/I^{[q]}M) = c(M)q^d + O(q^{d-1}).$$

The *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity* $e_{HK}(I, M)$ of M with respect to I is

$$e_{HK}(I, M) := \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{\text{length}(M/I^{[q]}M)}{q^d}.$$

There are many questions related to Hilbert-Kunz function and multiplicity.

Problem 1. *Is the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity always a rational number?*

Problem 2. *Is there any interpretation in characteristic 0?*

For the following problems the ring comes from a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra by reduction modulo p.

Problem 3. *When and how does the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity depend on characteristic p?*

Problem 4. *Does the limit*

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} e_{HK}(I_p, R_p)$$

exist?

Problem 5 (C.Miller)**.** *Does the limit*

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\text{length}(R_p/I_p^{[p]})}{p^d}$$

exist?

In most known cases the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is a rational number, for example for monoid rings ([Eto02]), toric rings ([Wat00],[Bru05]), monomial ideals and binomial hypersurfaces ([Con96]), rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type ([Sei97]), two-dimensional graded rings ([Bre06],[Tri05b]). Also let G be a finite group acting linearly on a polynomial ring $B = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with invariant ring $A = B^G$ and let $I = A_+B$ be the Hilbert ideal in B. Let R be the localization of A at the irrelevant ideal. If K has positive characteristic, then

$$e_{HK}(R) = \frac{\dim_K (B/I)}{\#(G)}$$

and this is a rational number and depends only on the invariant ring, not on its representation. But H. Brenner proved in [Bre13] that there exist three-dimensional quartic hypersurface domains and Artinian modules with irrational Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity.

There are many situations where the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is independent of the characteristic p. For example, for toric rings([Wat00]) or invariant rings as above the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is independent of the characteristic of the base field at least for almost all prime characteristics. But there are also examples where the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is depending on the characteristic (See Section 2.1).

We can ask when the limit of the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity exists for $p \to \infty$. If it exists then this limit is a candidate for the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity in characteristic zero. This leads us to the question of whether a characteristic zero Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity could be defined directly. In all known cases this limit exists.

H. Brenner, J. Li and C. Miller ([BreLiMil]) have observed that in all known cases where

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} e_{HK}(R_p)$$

exists then this double limit can be replaced by the limit of Problem 5.

If the rings are of a more combinatorial nature, like for example monoid rings ([Eto02], [Wat00], [Bru05]), Stanley-Reisner rings and binomial hypersurfaces ([Con96]), we have positive answers for all these problems. Also, the proofs in these cases are easier compared to the methods of P. Monsky, C. Han, P. Teixeira ([MonHan93], [MonTei04], [MonTei06]) or the geometric methods of H. Brenner, V. Trivedi ([Bre06], [Bre07], [TriFak03], [Tri05a], [Tri05b], [Tri07]). So we want to generalize these results to a broad and unified concept of a combinatorial ring. For that we work with a new combinatorial structure namely binoids which were introduced in the thesis of S. Boettger [Boet15]. A binoid $(N, +, 0, \infty)$ is a monoid with an absorbing element ∞ which means that for every $a \in N$ we have $a + \infty = \infty + a = \infty$. In the first chapter we will give the basic properties of binoids and related objects, namely N-sets and its partitions, homomorphisms, smash products, exact sequences. Also we will give the definition and some properties on the dimension of binoids and their binoid algebras.

In the second chapter we will define the Hilbert-Kunz function and multiplicity of binoids. This function is given by counting the elements in certain residue class binoids, and not the K-dimension of residue class rings. We define the Hilbert-Kunz function and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity not only for binoids but also for N_+-primary ideals of N and a finitely generated N-set in the following way:

Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive binoid, T a finitely generated N-set and $\mathfrak{n}$ an N_+-primary ideal of N. Then we call the number

$$\mathrm{HKF}^N(\mathfrak{n}, T, q) = \mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, T, q) := \#T/([q]\mathfrak{n} + T)$$

(where for a finite binoid we do not count ∞) the Hilbert-Kunz function of $\mathfrak{n}$ on the N-set T at q. In particular, for $T = N$ and $\mathfrak{n} = N_+$ we have

$$\mathrm{HKF}(N, q) := \mathrm{HKF}(N_+, N, q) = \#N/[q]N_+.$$

Note that this function is defined for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$, not only for powers of a fixed prime number. Also note that this residue construction is possible in the category of binoids, not in the category of monoids. The combinatorial Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is the limit of this function divided by $q^{\dim N}$, provided this limit exists and provided that there is a reasonable notion of dimension.

It turns out that the Hilbert-Kunz function of a binoid for $q = p^e$ is the same as the Hilbert-Kunz function of its binoid algebra over a field of characteristic p. Hence from here we have a chance to study the above mentioned five problems, by just studying the binoid case.

Since the Hilbert-Kunz function of binoids is given by just counting elements, not vector space dimensions, this reveals clearer the combinatorial nature of the problem. For example we have

$$\dim_K K[N]/K[N_+]^{[q]} = \dim_K K[N/[q]N_+] = \#N/[q]N_+.$$

So the computation of the Hilbert-Kunz function and multiplicity of a binoid is in some sense easier than the standard Hilbert-Kunz function and multiplicity.

Also in Chapter 2, we develop the Hilbert-Kunz theory of binoids and prove the following main theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, reduced binoid and $\mathfrak{n}$ be an N_+-primary ideal of N. Then $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N)$ exists and is a rational number.*

Note that this is a characteristic free statement and that the existence does not follow from Monsky's theorem. From this result we can deduce a positive answers to our five problems.

To prove this theorem we use several reduction methods: If all less dimensional finitely generated, cancellative, semipositive (torsion-free) and integral binoids have a rational Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity then

1. Non integral but reduced binoids have a rational Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity

2. for non reduced binoids there is at least a bound for the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity.

To prove these reduction methods, we develop the notion of exact and strongly exact sequences of N-sets and their properties.

In Chapter 3, we will study the partitions of the N-sets ${}^qN$. K.-I.Watanabe showed in his paper ([Wat00]), that for toric rings there are finitely many non-isomorphic irreducible components in these partitions. So we will prove the same result for finitely generated, positive, integral, torsion-free and cancellative binoids. For that we will study irreducible N-sets, partition of N-sets and their normalization.

Almost all our results are for cancellative binoids. One problem in the non-cancellative case is already the dimension of a binoid. The right candidate for the dimension of a finitely generated binoid N is

$$\max\{\operatorname{rank} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal of } N\}$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the difference group of $N/\mathfrak{p}$, and the right candidate for doing Hilbert-Kunz theory in a semipositive, finitely generated binoid is

$$\dim N = \max\{\operatorname{rank}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal}, N_+ \text{ lies on the cancellative component of } \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

We would like to prove the theorem also in this case. From our result we get at least, by arguing in the ring setting, that the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity for reduced binoid algebras in fixed positive characteristic is rational.

For non reduced binoids we have only a bound. Moreover, if we can compute the Hilbert-Kunz function of any non-cancellative and reduced binoid then we can compute it for non-cancellative and non-reduced binoids (see Proposition 2.4.6) as well.

Chapter 1

Basics

In this chapter we will introduce binoids, describe basic properties of binoids and binoid sets and their properties. For a general introduction to binoids we refer to [Boet15], where most of the basic concepts were developed. We focus on material which is relevant for Hilbert-Kunz theory.

1.1 Binoids and their properties

Definition 1.1.1. Let N be a monoid (an additive semigroup with identity 0), then we call an element $\infty \in N$ *absorbing* if $\infty + n = n + \infty = \infty$ for all $n \in N$.

Definition 1.1.2. A *binoid* $(N, +, 0, \infty)$ is a monoid with an absorbing element ∞. We write $N^\bullet$ for the set $N \setminus \{\infty\}$.

Example 1.1.3. The binoid $\{\infty\}$, which means $0 = \infty$, is called the *zero* binoid and $\{0, \infty\}$ the *trivial* binoid.

Definition 1.1.4. Let N be a binoid and M be submonoid of N with ∞ then we say that M is a *subbinoid* of N.

Definition 1.1.5. An element u in a binoid N is a *unit* if there exists an element $a \in N$ such that $a + u = u + a = 0$. The set of all units, denoted by $N^\times$, is a submonoid of N which is a group, the *unit group* of N. The set of all non-units $N \setminus N^\times$ will be denoted by N_+.

Definition 1.1.6. Let N be a nonzero binoid then we say that N is *semipositive*, if $|N^\times|$ is finite and *positive*, if $N^\times = \{0\}$.

Definition 1.1.7. Let M and N be binoids. A map $\phi : M \to N$ is a binoid *homomorphism* if it is a monoid homomorphism which sends ∞_M to ∞_N. The set $\phi(M) =: \operatorname{im}\phi$ is the *image* and $\{m \in M \mid \phi(m) = \infty_N\} =: \ker\phi$ is the *kernel* of ϕ. The set of all binoid homomorphisms from M to N is denoted by $\hom(M, N)$.

Definition 1.1.8. A nonempty subset I of a binoid N is called an *ideal* of N if both $I + N$ and $N + I$ are contained in I.

Example 1.1.9. Let N be a binoid then N_+ is an ideal of N. Because if $a \in N_+$ and $n \in N$ then $a + n$ can not be a unit. So $a + n \in N_+$.

Definition 1.1.10. Let N be a commutative binoid and I an ideal of N. Then the *radical* of I, denoted by $\operatorname{rad}(I)$, is the set of all $a \in N$ such that $na \in I$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. An ideal

$I \subseteq N$ with $\mathrm{rad}(I) = I$ is called a *radical ideal*.

Remark 1.1.11. If N is not a commutative binoid then $\mathrm{rad}(I)$ is not always an ideal. But if N is a commutative binoid then it is an ideal, because if $x \in \mathrm{rad}(I)$ and $y \in N$ then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nx \in I$, so $n(x+y) = nx + ny \in I$.

Definition 1.1.12. Let N be a binoid and I be an ideal of N. The relation $\sim$ in N defined by

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow x = y \text{ or } x, y \in I$$

is called the *Rees congruence* on N. The quotient $N/\sim$ is called the *quotient* of N modulo I and is denoted by N/I.

Definition 1.1.13. For every ideal I and $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ we will denote

$$nI := \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n \mid a_i \in I\}$$

and

$$[n]I := \langle na \mid a \in I \rangle$$

the minimal ideal including the set $\{na \mid a \in I\}$.

It is easy to see that nI is an ideal of N. We call nI the nth *sum* of the ideal and $[n]I$ the nth *Frobenius sum* of the ideal.

Proposition 1.1.14. *Let N be a commutative binoid and I be a finitely generated ideal of N. Then*

$$\bigcap_n nI = \bigcap_q [q]I.$$

Proof. The inclusion $qI \supseteq [q]I$ is always true. So

$$\bigcap_n nI \supseteq \bigcap_q [q]I.$$

Take $x \in \bigcap_n nI$ and fix q. If m is the number of generators of I then $x \in qmI$ implies that $x = s_1 e_1 + \cdots + s_m e_m$ and $s_1 + \cdots + s_m \geqslant qm$. So by the Pigeonhole principle there exists $s_i \geqslant q$ and from here we get $x \in [q]I$. Hence $\bigcap_n nI \subseteq \bigcap_q [q]I$. □

For a binoid homomorphism $\varphi : N \to M$ and an ideal $I \subseteq N$ we denote by $I + M$ the ideal generated $\varphi(I)$ and call it the *extended ideal*. Since (for $n \in \mathbb{N}$) $[n] : N \to N, x \mapsto nx$, is a binoid homomorphism, the ideal $[n]I$ can be considered as the extended ideal under this homomorphism.

Lemma 1.1.15. *Let N, M be commutative binoids, I an ideal of N and $\varphi : N \to M$ a binoid homomorphism. Then*

$$[q]I + M = [q](I + M).$$

Proof. This follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \\ {\scriptstyle [q]}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle [q]} \\ N & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \end{array}.$$

□

Definition 1.1.16. Let N be a commutative binoid. Then we say that $\mathfrak{n}$ is an N_+-*primary ideal* if $\operatorname{rad}(\mathfrak{n}) = N_+$.

Proposition 1.1.17. *Let N be a commutative binoid and $\mathfrak{n}$ an N_+-primary ideal. Then $[q]\mathfrak{n}$ is an N_+-primary ideal.*

Proof. Let $a \in N_+$. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $ka \in \mathfrak{n}$, so $qka \in [q]\mathfrak{n}$. □

Proposition 1.1.18. *Let N be a commutative, finitely generated, semipositive binoid and $\mathfrak{n}$ an N_+-primary ideal. Then $N/\mathfrak{n}$ is a finite set.*

Proof. Let $a_1, \dots, a_k$ be binoid generators of N. Then we can say that $a_1, \dots, a_s \in N_+$ and $a_{s+1}, \dots, a_k \in N^\times$. So there exist $n_1, \dots, n_s \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $n_i a_i \in \mathfrak{n}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $a \in N/\mathfrak{n}$ be represented by $a \in N$. There are only finitely many $a \in N^\times$. So let $a \in N_+$. Then $a = \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i a_i + b$, where $b \in N^\times$. If $m_i \geq n_i$ for some i then $a \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $a = \infty$ in $N/\mathfrak{n}$. So $m_i < n_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Hence there are only finitely many different $a \in N/\mathfrak{n}$. □

Definition 1.1.19. Let N be a commutative binoid. An ideal $\mathfrak{p} \neq N$ is called *prime* if for every $a, b \in N$ and $a + b \in \mathfrak{p}$ implies that $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $b \in \mathfrak{p}$. The *spectrum* of N, denoted by $\operatorname{Spec} N$, is the set of all prime ideals of N.

We have a bijective correspondence between $\operatorname{Spec} N$ and $\hom(N, \{0, \infty\})$. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} N$ then we can define a homomorphism $\chi : N \to \{0, \infty\}$ by

$$a \longmapsto \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } a \in \mathfrak{p}, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \notin \mathfrak{p}, \end{cases}$$

and if there is a binoid homomorphism $\phi : N \to \{0, \infty\}$ then it is easy to check that $\ker \phi$ is a prime ideal of N.

Definition 1.1.20. Let N be a commutative binoid and I an ideal of N. A prime ideal containing I is a *minimal prime* of I if there is no prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ with $I \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$. The minimal primes of the zero ideal $\{\infty\}$ are called *minimal prime ideals* of N and the set of all of them is denoted by $\min N$.

Definition 1.1.21. Let N be a binoid, then we say that N is a *separated* binoid if

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} nN_+ = \{\infty\}.$$

Proposition 1.1.22. *If a binoid N has a positive $\mathbb{N}$-grading then it is separated.*

Proof. Every $f \in N_+, f \neq \infty$, has by positivity $\deg(f) = k > 0$. If we take $n > k$ and $g \in nN_+$ then $\deg(g) = \deg(f_1 + \cdots + f_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \deg(f_i) \geqslant n > k$. This implies that $f \notin nN_+$ hence $\bigcap nN_+ = \infty$. □

Definition 1.1.23. Let N be a commutative binoid, then we set

$$N^{\mathrm{sep}} := N/\bigcap_{n} nN_+$$

and call it the *separation* of N.

The separation of N is clearly a separated binoid.

Definition 1.1.24. Let N be a commutative binoid. An element $a \in N$ is *nilpotent* if $na = a + \cdots + a = \infty$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set of all nilpotent elements will be denoted by $\operatorname{nil}(N)$. We say that N is *reduced* if $\operatorname{nil}(N) = \{\infty\}$.

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{nil}(N)$ is an ideal of N.

Definition 1.1.25. Let N be a commutative binoid. We call the quotient binoid $N_{\mathrm{red}} := N/\operatorname{nil}(N)$ the *reduction* of N.

The reduction of N is clearly a reduced binoid.

Definition 1.1.26. An element a in a binoid N is a *torsion* element in case $a = \infty$ or $na = nb$ for some $b \in N, b \neq a, n \geqslant 2$. We say N is *torsion-free* if there are no other torsion elements in M besides ∞, i.e. $na = nb$ implies $a = b$ for every $a, b \in N$ and $n \geqslant 1$. A binoid is called *torsion-free up to nilpotence* if $na = nb \neq \infty$ implies $a = b$ for every $a, b \in N$ and $n \geqslant 1$.

Definition 1.1.27. An *integral* element $a \in N^\bullet$ satisfies the property that $a + b = \infty$ or $b + a = \infty$ implies $b = \infty$. The set of all integral elements will be denoted by $\operatorname{int}(N)$ and the complement $N \setminus \operatorname{int}(N)$ by $\operatorname{int}^c(N)$. Let N be a commutative binoid and every $a \in N^\bullet$ is integral then we call it *integral binoid*.

For the definition of a localization we refer to [Boet15, Subsection 1.13].

Definition 1.1.28. Let N be a commutative binoid and M the submonoid of N that is generated by $N^\bullet$. The binoid $N_M =: \operatorname{diff} N$ will be called the *difference* binoid of N. Here N_M is the localization of N at M.

Remark 1.1.29. If N is a non-integral binoid then $\infty \in M$, so $\operatorname{diff} N = \{\infty\}$. If a binoid is integral then $\operatorname{diff} N = N_{N^\bullet}$.

Definition 1.1.30. Let $N \subseteq M$ be commutative binoids. If $M \subseteq \operatorname{diff} N$ then we say that M is *birational* over N.

Definition 1.1.31. Let N be a integral binoid. The *rank* of a binoid N is the rank of the difference group $(\operatorname{diff} N)^\bullet$, i.e. the vector space dimension of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\operatorname{diff} N)^\bullet$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

1.2 N-sets

In this section arbitrary binoids are assumed to be commutative.

Definition 1.2.1. A *pointed set* (S, p) is a set S with a distinguished element $p \in S$.

Let N be a binoid.

Definition 1.2.2. An *operation* of N on a pointed set (S, p) is a map

$$+ : N \times S \longrightarrow S,\ (n, s) \longmapsto n + s,$$

such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

1. For all $s \in S : 0 + s = s$.
2. For all $s \in S : \infty + s = p$.
3. For all $n \in N : n + p = p$.

4. For all $n, m \in N$ and $s \in S : (n + m) + s = n + (m + s)$.

Then S is called an *N-set*.

Example 1.2.3. Let N be a binoid, then we can think of $N = (N, \infty)$ as an N-set with the addition as the operation.

Example 1.2.4. Let $N = \mathbb{N}^\infty := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, then an N-set S is the same as the set S together with a map $f : S \to S$. The operation is given by $n + s = f^n(s)$ and the map is given by $f(s) = 1 + s$. More generally if $N = (\mathbb{N}^k)^\infty$ then an N-set S is a set S together with k commuting maps

$$f_1, \ldots, f_k : S \to S,$$

meaning that $f_i \circ f_j = f_j \circ f_i$ for every i, j. The operation is $(n_1, \ldots, n_k) + s = f_k^{n_k} \circ \cdots \circ f_1^{n_1}(s)$ and the ith map is $f_i(s) = e_i + s$.

Definition 1.2.5. Let N be a binoid and (S, p) be an N-set. We say that $a \in N$ is an *annihilator* if $a + s = p$ for every $s \in S$. We denote the set of all annihilators by $\operatorname{Ann} S$.

Lemma 1.2.6. *Let N be a binoid and (S, p) be an N-set, then $\operatorname{Ann} S$ is an ideal of N.*

Proof. It is clear that $\infty \in \operatorname{Ann} S$ and if $a \in \operatorname{Ann} S$ then for every $n \in N, s \in S$ we have $(a + n) + s = a + (n + s) = p$, so $a + n \in \operatorname{Ann} S$. □

Lemma 1.2.7. *Let N be a binoid and (S, p) be an N-set. If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann} S$ is an ideal of N then (S, p) is also an $(N/\mathfrak{a})$-set.*

Proof. We can define an operation $(N/\mathfrak{a}) \times S \to S$ in the following way. If $n \notin \mathfrak{a}$ then $[n] + s = n + s$, otherwise $[\infty] + s = p$. So then it is easy to check that (S, p) is an $(N/\mathfrak{a})$-set. □

Lemma 1.2.8. *Let N, M be binoids and let $\phi : N \to M$ be a binoid homomorphism. Then we can consider M as an N-set.*

Proof. We define the operation of N on M by

$$+ : N \times M \longrightarrow M,\ (n, m) \longmapsto \phi(n) + m.$$

Then $\phi(0_N) + m = 0_M + m = m$, $\phi(\infty_N) + m = \infty_M + m = \infty_M$, $\phi(n) + \infty_M = \infty_M$, $\phi(n_1 + n_2) + m = (\phi(n_1) + \phi(n_2)) + m = \phi(n_1) + (\phi(n_2) + m)$. □

Example 1.2.9. Let N be an integral binoid, $T = \{0, \infty\}$ the trivial binoid. Then we have the following binoid homomorphisms

1. $N \longrightarrow N/N_+ \longrightarrow T$,
$$n \longmapsto \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \in N^\times, \\ \infty, & \text{if } n \in N_+. \end{cases}$$
2. $N \longrightarrow \operatorname{diff} N \longrightarrow T$,
$$n \longmapsto \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \in N^\bullet, \\ \infty, & \text{if } n = \infty. \end{cases}$$

So there are two different N-sets T. In fact every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of N gives an N-operation of T.

Definition 1.2.10. Let N be a binoid, (S,p) be an N-set. We say that $T \subseteq S$ is an *N-subset* of S, if $p \in T$ and for all $t \in T$ and $a \in N$ we have $a+t \in T$. S and $\{p\}$ are called the trivial N-subsets.

Lemma 1.2.11. *Let N be a binoid, (S,p) be an N-set. If $T_i \subseteq S, i \in I$, are N-subsets then $\bigcup_{i\in I} T_i$ and $\bigcap_{i\in I} T_i$ are also N-subsets.*

Proof. $p \in T_i$ for every $i \in I$ so $p \in \bigcup_{i\in I} T_i$ and $p \in \bigcap_{i\in I} T_i$. Also for all $a \in N$ and $t \in \bigcup_{i\in I} T_i (t \in \bigcap_{i\in I} T_i)$ we have $a+t \in \bigcup_{i\in I} T_i$ $(a+t \in \bigcap_{i\in I} T_i)$. □

Remark 1.2.12. An ideal in N is the same as an N-subset of N. (N considered as an N-set)

Proposition 1.2.13. *Let N be a binoid and I be an ideal of N, then N/I is an N-set .*

Proof. Let

$$N \longrightarrow N/I, n \longmapsto [n],$$

where $n \in N, [n] \in N/I$. Then this is a binoid homomorphism. So by Lemma 1.2.8 we have the result. □

Definition 1.2.14. Let N be a binoid and (S,p) be an N-set. If there exist finitely many elements $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S$ such that for all $s \in S$ we can write $s = n + s_j$ where $n \in N$, then we say that S is a *finitely generated N-set*. We call the elements s_j *N-generators*.

Definition 1.2.15. Let N be a binoid and (S,p) be an N-set. We call an element $t \in S$ *reducible*, if we can write $t = n + s, n \in N, t \neq s \in S$, otherwise we call it *irreducible*.

Proposition 1.2.16. *Let N be a positive binoid, (S,p) be a finitely generated N-set and let $\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$ be a minimal generating set of S. Then the s_i are irreducible and the set $\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$ is uniquely determined and we call it* $\mathrm{Gen}_N S$.

Proof. If s_i is reducible then there exist $n \in N$ and $s_i \neq s_j \in S$ such that $s_i = n + s_j$. So we do not need s_i in the generating set. This contradicts that $\{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$ is the minimal generating set. Also if s is an irreducible element then $s \in \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$. So it is the set of all irreducible elements of S. □

Proposition 1.2.17. *Let N be a finitely generated binoid and S be a finitely generated N-set. If T is an N-subset of S then it is finitely generated.*

Proof. Let $s_1, \ldots, s_k$ be generators of S. If we set

$$(s_i) = \{n \in N \mid n + s_i \in T\}, i = 1, \ldots, k,$$

then (s_i) is an ideal of N. By [Boet15, Proposition 2.1.14] the ideals of a finitely generated binoid are finitely generated. So if $n_{i1}, \ldots, n_{im_i}$ are the generators of (s_i) then $\{n_{ij} + s_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, k, j = 1, \ldots, m_i\}$ generate T. □

Definition 1.2.18. Let N be a binoid. For a finite N-set S we set $\#S = |S| - 1 = |S \setminus \{p\}|$, so we do not count the distinguished point.

Proposition 1.2.19. *Let $N \subseteq M$ be integral binoids. Let M be birational over N and finitely generated as an N-set. Then there exist $m \in N^\bullet$ such that $m + M \subseteq N$.*

Proof. Let $m_1, \ldots, m_r$ be the N-generators of M. Then by birationality we can write $m_i = a_i - b_i$, where $a_i, b_i \in N, b_i \neq \infty$. Hence if $m := \sum_{i=1}^r b_i$ then $m + M \subseteq N$. □

1.3 Partition of N-sets

In this section arbitrary binoids are assumed to be commutative.

Definition 1.3.1. Let N be a binoid and let $(S_i, p_i), i \in I$, be a family of N-sets. Then we define the *pointed union* of $S_i, i \in I$, by

$$\bigcup_{i\in I}^{\cdot} S_i = (\biguplus_{i\in I} S_i)/\sim,$$

where $\biguplus$ is a disjoint union and $a \sim b$ if and only if $a = b$ or $a = p_j,\ b = p_k$ for some j, k. So the pointed union just contracts the points p_j to one point. We write $S^{\cup r} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} S$ and in particular $N^{\cup r}$ for the r-folded pointed union of N with itself. If n is considered in the j-th component then we denote it by (j, n).

Definition 1.3.2. Let N be a binoid and $(S, p) \neq \{p\}$ be an N-set. S is *reducible*, if it can be written as a pointed union of non-trivial N-subsets. So *irreducible*, if it is not the pointed union of non-trivial N-subsets.

Definition 1.3.3. Let N be a binoid and (S, p) be a N-set. $T \subseteq S$ is called an *irreducible component* of S if it is an irreducible N-subset and every N-set T' with $T \subsetneq T' \subseteq S$ is reducible.

Let N be a binoid and (S, p) be an N-set. We can define an equivalence relation between two elements $s, t \in S^\bullet := S \setminus \{p\}$ by the following: $t \sim_N s$ if and only if there exist elements $s = s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1}, t = s_k \in S^\bullet$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in N^\bullet$ such that $n_j + s_{j-1} = s_j$ or $s_{j-1} = n_j + s_j$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$. It is easy to check that this is an equivalence relation. So from here we have

$$S^\bullet = \biguplus_{i\in I} T_i$$

where T_i are the equivalence classes. Equivalence classes are either equal or disjoint. So if we denote $S_i := T_i \cup \{p\}$ then it will give us a pointed union

$$S = \bigcup_{i\in I}^{\cdot} S_i.$$

If the index set I is finite then we say that S has a *finite partition.*

Proposition 1.3.4. *Let N be a binoid and (S, p) be an N-set. If $S^\bullet = \biguplus_{i\in I} T_i$, where T_i are its equivalence classes, then $S_i = T_i \cup \{p\}$ is an N-subset of S.*

Proof. Let $s \in S_i$ and $n \in N$, then either $n + s = p$ or $n + s \sim_N s$ so $n + s \in S_i$ in both cases. □

Proposition 1.3.5. *Let N be a binoid and $(S, p) \neq \{p\}$ be an N-set. Then S is irreducible if and only if it has only one equivalence class.*

Proof. Let S be an irreducible N-set, then we have $S^\bullet = \biguplus_{i\in I} T_i$ and if we have more than one equivalence class then S is the pointed union of non-trivial N-subsets, hence it gives us a contradiction.

Now suppose that S has only one equivalence class, which means that every two elements of $S^\bullet$ are equivalent to each other. If S is reducible then $S = R \cup T$ and $R, T \neq \{p\}$. Hence there exist $s \in R^\bullet, t \in T^\bullet$ such that $s \sim_N t$. So there exist $s = s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1}, t =$

$s_k \in S^\bullet = R^\bullet \uplus T^\bullet$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in N^\bullet$ such that $n_j + s_{j-1} = s_j$ or $s_{j-1} = n_j + s_j$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Hence there exists j such that $s_j \in R$ and $s_{j+1} \in T$, so it gives us a contradiction. □

Example 1.3.6. Let N be an integral binoid, then it is irreducible as an N-set. This is because $n, m \in N^\bullet$ are connected by $n + m \neq \infty$.

Proposition 1.3.7. *Let N be a binoid, (S, p) be an N-set, then the equivalence classes of S are exactly the irreducible components of S.*

Proof. Let $S = \biguplus S_i$ where $S_i \setminus \{p\}$ are the equivalence classes of $S^\bullet$. By Proposition 1.3.5 S_i is an irreducible N-subset. Now let T be $S_i \subsetneq T \subseteq S$, then there exists $t \in T$ such that $t \notin S_i$. Hence $t \in S' = \bigcup_{j \neq i} S_j$ and from Lemma 1.2.11, $T \cap S'$ is N-set. So $T = S_i \uplus (T \cap S')$ which means it is reducible.

Let $T \subseteq S$ be an irreducible component of S. By Proposition 1.3.5 we know that T has only one equivalence class, so there exists S_i such that $T \subseteq S_i$. But by Proposition 1.3.5 we also know that S_i is an irreducible N-set. Hence $T = S_i$. □

Proposition 1.3.8. *Let N be a binoid and (S, p) be an N-set. If T is an irreducible N-subset of S then $T \subseteq S_i$, where $S = \biguplus_{i \in I} S_i$ is the partition with the irreducible components.*

Proof. If $t \in T \subseteq S$ then there exists S_i such that $t \in S_i$. Now take any element $s \in T$. By Proposition 1.3.5 $t \sim_N s$, so this means $s \in S_i$. □

Proposition 1.3.9. *Let S be finitely generated over N, then it has a finite partition into irreducible N-subsets.*

Proof. Let $s_1, \ldots, s_k$ be the generators of S. If $s \in S_j$ where S_j is one of the irreducible components of S, then $N + s \subseteq S_i$. Also $N + s_1, \ldots, N + s_k$ will cover S. So the number of irreducible components is less or equal to k. □

1.4 Normalization of a binoid

In this section arbitrary binoids are assumed to be commutative.

Definition 1.4.1. Let $M \subseteq N$ be binoids. Then we say that N is *pure integral* over M, if for every $n \in N$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $kn \in M$.

Definition 1.4.2. Let $M \subseteq N$ be binoids. Then we say that N is *finite* over M, if N is a finitely generated M-set.

Lemma 1.4.3. *Let $M \subseteq N$ be binoids and suppose that N is cancellative. Then N is finite over M if and only if N is pure integral over M and is a finitely generated M-binoid.*

Proof. Let $N = M[x_1, \ldots, x_t] = \{\sum_{i=1}^t n_i x_i + m \mid m \in M, n_i \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which means finitely generated as M-binoid. Also by pure integrality we have $k_i x_i \in M$, where $k_i \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Hence the elements of the form $\sum_{i=1}^t r_i x_i$ with $0 \leqslant r_i < k_i$ are M-set generators of N, since we may write $n_i = a_i k_i + r_i, 0 \leqslant r_i < k_i$, and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^t n_i x_i + m = \sum_{i=1}^t r_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^t a_i k_i x_i + m.$$

Now let $y_1, \dots, y_s$ be generators of N as an M-set. These are in particular generators of N as an M-binoid. Let's take any element $y \in N$. So $y + y_i = y_{j(i)} + m_i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ and $m_i \in M$. If $y = y_t + m$ then $2y = y + y_t + m = y_{j(t)} + m_t + m$ and also it is not difficult to see that for every k

$$(k+1)y = y_{j^k(t)} + m_{j^{k-1}(t)} + \cdots + m_{j(t)} + m_t + m.$$

But we only have s different y_i's, so there exist $n, l \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $y_{j^n(t)} = y_{j^{n+l}(t)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(n+l+1)y &= y_{j^{n+l}(t)} + m_{j^{n+l-1}(t)} + \cdots + m_{j^{n+1}(t)} + m_{j^n(t)} + \cdots + m_{j(t)} + m_t + m \\ &= (n+1)y + m_{j^{n+l-1}(t)} + \cdots + m_{j^{n+1}(t)}.\end{aligned}$$

So by cancellation we have $ly = m_{j^{n+l-1}(t)} + \cdots + m_{j^{n+1}(t)} \in M$. □

Note: If our binoid is not cancellative then we only have the if part.

Example 1.4.4. Let $M = \mathbb{N}^\infty = (x), N = M[y]/(2y = x + y)$. Then $\{y\}$ is an M-set generating system of N but for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $ky \notin M$.

Definition 1.4.5. Let N be an integral and cancellative binoid and let $\operatorname{diff} N = N_{N^\bullet}$ be the difference group binoid of N. The binoid

$$\hat{N} := N^{normal} = \{x \in \operatorname{diff} N \mid nx \in N, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$$

is called the *normalization* of N. We say that N is *normal* if $N = \hat{N}$.

Let N be a finitely generated, cancellative, integral and torsion-free binoid. We can assume that $(\operatorname{diff} N)^\bullet = \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $d = \operatorname{rank}(N)$. Let $C = \mathbb{R}_+ N^\bullet \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ then C is the rational cone generated by N. This cone has by [BruGub09, Theorem 1.15], a representation

$$C = H^+_{\sigma_1} \cap \cdots \cap H^+_{\sigma_s}$$

as an irredundant intersection of half-spaces defined by rational linear forms σ_i on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Each of the hyperplanes $H_{\sigma_i} = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \sigma_i(a) = 0\}$ is generated as a vector space by integral vectors and we call them *supporting hyperplanes*. Therefore we can assume that σ_i is a linear form on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with nonnegative values on C and we call it *supporting forms*.

Proposition 1.4.6. *Let N be a finitely generated, cancellative, integral, torsion-free binoid and $\hat{N}$ be the normalization of N. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}, x_1, \dots, x_s \in \hat{N}$ such that $kx \in N$ for every $x \in \hat{N}$ and $\hat{N} = N \cup (x_1 + N) \cup \cdots \cup (x_s + N)$.*

Proof. Since N is torsion-free, its difference group is $\operatorname{diff} N \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$. If $n_1, \dots, n_l$ are the generators of N, then $\mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0} N = \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}\langle n_1, \dots, n_l\rangle$ is a finitely generated rational cone in $\mathbb{R}^r$ and so by [BruGub09, Corollary 2.24], $\hat{N}^\bullet = \mathbb{R}_+ N^\bullet \cap \mathbb{Z}^r$ and it is finitely generated monoid by Gordan's lemma. In particular, $\hat{N}$ is a finitely generated binoid. Since it is also pure integral and cancellative, it is finite as an N-set by Lemma 1.4.3. Hence there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\hat{N} = N \cup (x_1 + N) \cup \cdots \cup (x_s + N)$. Now $x_i \in \hat{N}$ implies that there exists $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_i x_i \in N$ for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ and if we take $k = k_1 k_2 \cdots k_s$ then $kx \in N$ for every $x \in \hat{N}$. □

Remark 1.4.7. Let N be a finitely generated, positive, cancellative, integral and torsion-free binoid, then $\hat{N}$ is a finitely generated N-set and a finitely generated binoid.

Example 1.4.8. Let $a = (2,1), b = (3,0) \in (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}/2)^\infty$ be the generators of a binoid N. Then $(\operatorname{diff} N)^\times = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2$, since $2(2,1) - (3,0) = (1,0)$ and $3(2,1) - 2(3,0) = (0,1)$. This binoid has torsion elements, since for example $(6,1) \neq (6,0)$ but $2(6,1) = 2(6,0)$. The binoid is positive. Its normalization contains $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$, hence $\hat{N} = (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}/2)^\infty$, which is not positive, only semipositive.

Proposition 1.4.9. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid and $\hat{N}$ be the normalization of N. If $\hat{N} = N \cup (x_1 + N) \cup \cdots \cup (x_s + N)$ then there exists $\alpha, p_1, \ldots, p_s \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that for all $\mathbb{N} \ni m \geqslant \alpha$ we have $p_i m x_i \in N$ and if $p_i \neq 1$ then $(p_i m + 1)x_i, \ldots, (p_i m + p_i - 1)x_i \notin N$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$.*

Proof. Let $A_i = \{a \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax_i \in N\}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$ and we know that these are the numerical semigroups. If we take $p_i := \gcd(A_i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s)$ then it is known that there exists $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m \geqslant \alpha_i$ we have $p_i m x_i \in N$, here $\gcd(A_i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s)$ is the greatest common divisor of A_i's. If $p_i \neq 1$ then $(p_i m + 1)x_i, \ldots, (p_i m + p_i - 1)x_i \notin N$, because $\gcd(p_i, p_i m + j) < p_i$, for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant p_i - 1$. So, if we take $\alpha = \max \alpha_i$ then we have our result. □

Proposition 1.4.10. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid and $\hat{N}$ be the normalization of N. Then there exists $m \in N$ such that $m + \hat{N} \subseteq N$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.4.6 we know that $\hat{N}$ is a finitely generated N-set and by definition $\hat{N}$ is birational over N. Hence our result follows from Proposition 1.2.19. □

Let $\hat{N}$ be the normalization of N, then the set of 'gaps' $\hat{N} \setminus N$ is, in a sense, small.

Proposition 1.4.11. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid. Then the ideal*

$$c(\hat{N} \setminus N) := \{x \in N \mid x + \hat{N} \subseteq N\}$$

is nonempty. Moreover, the set $\hat{N} \setminus N$ is contained in finitely many hyperplanes parallel to the facets of $\hat{N}$.

Proof. By Proposition 1.4.10 we have that $m \in c(\hat{N} \setminus N)$, so it is nonempty. If $x \in c(\hat{N} \setminus N)$ then $x + n + \hat{N} \subseteq N$ for every $n \in N$, so $x + n \in c(\hat{N} \setminus N)$. This means that $c(\hat{N} \setminus N)$ is an ideal of N. If $z \in \hat{N} \setminus N$, then there exists at least one supporting form σ_i of N with $0 \leqslant \sigma_i(z) < \sigma_i(m)$. So z belongs to one of the hyperplanes $\{y \mid \sigma_i(y) = k\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}, k < \sigma_i(m)$. □

We will call $c(\hat{N} \setminus N)$ *conductor*. It is easy to check that the conductor is the largest ideal of N that is also an ideal of $\hat{N}$.

1.5 Homomorphisms of N-sets

In this section arbitrary binoids are assumed to be commutative.

Definition 1.5.1. Let N be a binoid and let $(S, p_S), (T, p_T)$ be N-sets. Then we call a map $\phi : S \to T$ an N-set *homomorphism* if $\phi(n + s) = n + \phi(s)$, for every $s \in S, n \in N$.

Example 1.5.2. Let N be a binoid, $S_i, T_i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ be N-sets and suppose we have homomorphisms $\phi_i : S_i \to T_i$ for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Then we can construct a homomorphism $\phi : \biguplus S_i \to \biguplus T_i$ such that $\phi(i, t) = (i, \phi_i(t))$.

Definition 1.5.3. Let N be a binoid, $(S, p_S), (T, p_T)$ be N-sets. If we have a homomorphism $f : S \to T$ then

$$\mathrm{im}(f) = \{t \in T \mid t = f(s) \text{ for some } s \in S\}$$

$$\ker(f) = \{s \in S \mid f(s) = p_T\}.$$

Definition 1.5.4. Let N be a binoid, let (T, p) be an N-set and $S \subseteq T$ an N-subset of T. The *quotient* of T by S is the N-set $(T \setminus S, S)$ and it is denoted by T/S.

Remark 1.5.5. Here we think that every element of S is collapsing into the point p.

Hence by definition we have a map $N \times T/S \longrightarrow T/S$,

$$(n, t) \longmapsto \begin{cases} n + t, & \text{if } t \notin S, \\ p, & \text{if } t \in S, \end{cases}$$

which fulfills the conditions of an N-set. Also, we have a canonical N-set homomorphism $\varphi : T \to T/S$, given by $\varphi(t) = t$, if $t \notin S$ and $\varphi(t) = p$ otherwise.

Remark 1.5.6. Let N be a binoid and I be an ideal of N. If we consider N as an N-set then we have the quotient $N/(I + N)$. But $I + N = I$ so in this case we will just denote it with N/I.

Lemma 1.5.7. *Let N be a binoid, $(S, p_S), (T, p_T)$ N-sets and $S' \subseteq S$ an N-subset. If we have an N-set homomorphism $\phi : S \to T$ with $\phi(S') = p_T$ then there exists a unique homomorphism $\tilde{\phi} : S/S' \to T$ such that the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\phi} & T \\ {\scriptstyle\varphi}\downarrow & \nearrow_{\tilde{\phi}} & \\ S/S' & & \end{array}$$

If φ is surjective, then $\tilde{\phi}$ is surjective.

Proof. Since ϕ is surjective, there exists at most one $\tilde{\phi}$. So we construct $\tilde{\phi}$ in the following way.

$$\tilde{\phi}(\overline{s}) = \begin{cases} \phi(s), & \text{if } s \notin S', \\ p_T, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that this map is a well defined N-set homomorphism and that the diagram commutes. Let ϕ be a surjective homomorphism, then for any $t \in T$ there exists $s \in S$ such that $\phi(s) = t$. So by construction $\tilde{\phi}(\varphi(s)) = t$. □

Proposition 1.5.8. *Let N be a finitely generated binoid, J be an ideal of N and $S \subseteq T$ be N-sets. Then $(T/S)/(J + (T/S)) = T/(S \cup (J + T))$.*

Proof. We have an N-set surjective homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} T/S &\xrightarrow{\phi} T/(S \cup (J + T)), \\ t &\longmapsto [t]. \end{aligned}$$

If $t \in T/S$ and $\phi(t) = \infty$ then $t \in S \cup (J+T)$ so $t \notin S$ implies that $t \in J+T$. Hence it follows $t \in J + (T/S)$. Now let $t \in J + (T/S)$, then $\phi(t) = \infty$, so $\ker \phi = J + (T/S)$. So Lemma 1.5.7 gives surjective homomorphism

$$(T/S)/(J + (T/S)) \longrightarrow T/(S \cup (J+T))$$

and it is injective by construction. □

Lemma 1.5.9. *Let N be a binoid, $I \subseteq N$ an ideal of N and (T,p) be an N-set. If r is some positive integer then we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$T^{\uplus r}/(I + T^{\uplus r}) \cong (T/(I+T))^{\uplus r}.$$

Proof. We know that $I+T^{\uplus r} = \{(j, n+t) \mid n \in I, t \in T, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r\}$ and by Example 1.5.2 there exists a surjective homomorphism $\phi : T^{\uplus r} \to (T/(I+T))^{\uplus r}$. Since $\phi(n+(j,t)) = \phi(j, n+t) = p$ we have $\phi(I+T^{\uplus r}) = p$, for $n \in I, (j,t) \in T^{\uplus r}$. Hence by Lemma 1.5.7, there exists a surjective homomorphism

$$\tilde{\phi} : T^{\uplus r}/(I + T^{\uplus r}) \longrightarrow (T/(I+T))^{\uplus r}.$$

If $\tilde{\phi}(j,t) = \tilde{\phi}(j',t')$, then $j = j', t = t'$ or $\tilde{\phi}(j,t) = \tilde{\phi}(j',t') = p$. In the last case $t = n+s, t' = n'+s'$, where $n, n' \in I, s, s' \in T$ and so $(j,t) = (j',t') = p$. Hence, $\tilde{\phi}$ is injective. □

Lemma 1.5.10. *Let N be a binoid, $(S,p_S), (T,p_T)$ N-sets and $I \subseteq N$ be an ideal of N. If we have a surjective N-set homomorphism $\phi : S \to T$ then there exists a canonical surjective N-set homomorphism $\tilde{\phi} : S/(I+S) \longrightarrow T/(I+T)$.*

Proof. We have a canonical homomorphism $\varphi : T \to T/(I+T)$, so

$$\varphi \circ \phi : S \longrightarrow T/(I+T)$$

will give us a homomorphism with the property that $(\varphi \circ \phi)(I+S) = p_T$. Hence by Lemma 1.5.7 we have a homomorphism $\tilde{\phi} : S/(I+S) \longrightarrow T/(I+T)$. (If $t \notin I+T$, then by surjectivity of ϕ, there exists $s \in S$ such that $\phi(s) = t$. Then it is easy to see $\tilde{\phi}(\varphi(s)) = t$.) □

Lemma 1.5.11. *Let N be a binoid and T be an N-set. Then*

1. *For $t_1, \ldots, t_r \in T$ we can define an N-set homomorphism $\phi : N^{\uplus r} \to T$.*
2. *$t_1, \ldots, t_r \in T$ is a generating system of T over N if and only if ϕ is a surjective homomorphism.*
3. *T is finitely generated over N if and only if there exists a surjective N-set homomorphism $N^{\uplus r} \to T$.*

Proof.

1. Let's take $\phi(i,0) = t_i$ then $\phi(i,n) = n + t_i$ and $\phi((i,n)+m) = \phi(i, n+m) = (n+m) + t_i = m + (n + t_i)$. So ϕ is an N-set homomorphism.
2. If $t_1, \ldots, t_r \in T$ is a generating system of T over N then we can write every element $t \in T$ as $t = n + t_j$ for some j and $n \in N$. Hence $t = \phi(j,n)$. If $t_1, \ldots, t_r \in T$ and ϕ is a surjective homomorphism then for every element $t \in T$ there exist $n \in N$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant r$ such that $t = \phi(j,n) = n + t_j$.
3. If T is a finitely generated over N then by 1. and 2. we have a surjective N-set homomorphism $N^{\uplus r} \to T$. If we have a surjective homomorphism $N^{\uplus r} \to T$ then $\{\phi(i,0) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r\}$ will give us a generating system of T.

□

1.6 Smash product of N-sets

In this section arbitrary binoids are assumed to be commutative.

Definition 1.6.1. Let $(S_i, p_i)_{i\in I}$ be a finite family of pointed sets and $\sim_\wedge$ the relation on $\prod_{i\in I} S_i$ given by

$$(s_i)_{i\in I} \sim_\wedge (t_i)_{i\in I} :\Leftrightarrow s_i = t_i, \forall i \in I, \text{ or } s_j = p_j, t_k = p_k \text{ for some } j,k \in I.$$

Then the pointed set

$$\bigwedge_{i\in I} S_i := (\prod_{i\in I} S_i)/\sim_\wedge$$

with distinguished point $[p_\wedge := (p_i)_{i\in I}]$ is called the *smash product* of the family $S_i, i \in I$.

Definition 1.6.2. Let N be a binoid, $(S_i, p_i)_{i\in I}$ be a finite family of pointed N-sets and $\sim_{\wedge_N}$ the equivalence relation on $\bigwedge_{i\in I} S_i$ generated by

$$\cdots \wedge n + s_i \wedge \cdots \wedge s_j \wedge \cdots \sim_{\wedge_N} \cdots \wedge s_i \wedge \cdots \wedge n + s_j \wedge \cdots,$$

for all $i, j \in I$ and $n \in N$. Then

$$\bigwedge_{i\in I} {}_N S_i := (\bigwedge_{i\in I} S_i)/\sim_{\wedge_N}$$

is called the **smash product** of the family $(S_i)_{i\in I}$ over N.

Example 1.6.3. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N = \mathbb{N}^\infty$, $S_1 = \{0, 1, \ldots, n, p_1 = \infty\}$, $S_2 = \{0, 1, \ldots, m, p_2 = \infty\}$, with operations given by:

$$k + a = (k + a) \mod n,$$

$$k + b = (k + b) \mod m$$

for $k \in N^\bullet, a \in S_1, b \in S_2$. Then we try to compute $S_1 \wedge_N S_2$. By definition we have $(a + k) \wedge b = a \wedge (b + k)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}, a \in S_1, b \in S_2$. By the Euclidean algorithm there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $un + vm = \gcd(n, m)$ and so

$$0 \wedge \gcd(n, m) = 0 \wedge (un + vm) = 0 \wedge un = un \wedge 0 = 0 \wedge 0 \tag{1.1}$$

and also

$$a \wedge b = (0 + a) \wedge b = 0 \wedge (b + a). \tag{1.2}$$

From (1.1), (1.2) we have $S_1 \wedge_N S_2 \cong \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, \gcd(n, m) - 1, \infty\}$

Example 1.6.4. Let $N = \mathbb{N}^\infty$, $S_1 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, p_1 = \infty\}$, $S_2 = \mathbb{R}$ with $p_2 = 0$ and operations given by:

$$n + a = (n + a) \mod 8$$

for $n \in N^\bullet, a \in S_1$,

$$n + x = x^{2^n}$$

for $n \in N^\bullet, x \in S_2$. Then we try to compute $S_1 \wedge_N S_2$. If we take the elements $a \in S_1, x \in S_2, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ then we have

$$a \wedge x = a + 8 \wedge x = a \wedge x^{2^8} = a \wedge x^{2^{8k}} = 0 \wedge x^{2^{a+8k}}. \tag{1.3}$$

If $x < 0$ then $a \wedge x = a - 1 \wedge x^2 = a \wedge |x|$. So the smash product for negative x's is just another copy of positive x's. Now we look at the function $\mathrm{lnln} : \mathbb{R}_{>1} \to \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{lnln}(x^{2^{a+8k}}) = \ln(2^{a+8k} \ln(x)) = (a + 8k) \ln 2 + \mathrm{lnln}(x)$$

and so by (1.3) we can conclude that $\{a \wedge x : a \in S_1, 1 < x \in S_2\}$ is one circle and the action on S_1 is a $2\pi/8$ degree rotation in this circle. Also similarly for $1 > x > 0$ is also another circle.

Example 1.6.5. Let $N = \mathbb{N}^\infty$, $T = \{0, \infty\}$ the trivial binoid, (S, p) some N-set with an N-action given by $f : S \to S, n+s = f^n(s)$. Then we can define two different smash products $T \wedge_N S$, depending on how N acts on T.
(a) Suppose that the operation of N on T is given by $n + 0 = \infty$ for $n \geqslant 1$ and $n + \infty = \infty$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0, \infty \in T$. Then $0 \wedge f(s) = 0 \wedge (1 + s) = 1 + 0 \wedge s = \infty \wedge s = \infty$ in $T \wedge_N S$. It means that

$$T \wedge_N S \cong (S/\operatorname{im}(f), p)$$

(b) Suppose that the operation of N on T is given by $n + 0 = 0$ and $n + \infty = \infty$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0, \infty \in T$. Then we have $0 \wedge s = (1 + 0) \wedge s = 0 \wedge (1 + s) = 0 \wedge f(s)$. Hence we have

$$T \wedge_N S \cong (S/(f(s) \sim s), p).$$

Example 1.6.6. Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty$, $T = \{0, \infty\}$, $S = \mathbb{C}$. The operation on T is given by $(n_1, n_2)+0 = 0$, where $\infty \neq (n_1, n_2) \in N, 0 \in T$. This operation factors through the difference group of N. Let the action of $(\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty$ on $\mathbb{C}$ be given by $(1, 0)+z = u+z, (0, 1)+z = v+z$ where u, v are non-collinear complex numbers. From here we can check that $T \wedge_N \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}/\Gamma = torus$, where Γ is the lattice generated by u, v.

Proposition 1.6.7. *Let N be a binoid and S, $T_i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ be N-sets. Then*

$$S \wedge_N (\dot{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}} T_i) = \dot{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}} (S \wedge_N T_i).$$

Proof. Let $s \wedge t \in S \wedge_N (\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^k T_i)$, then there exists j such that $t \in T_j$ or $t = p$ the point of $\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^k T_i$. But in both cases $s \wedge t \in \dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^k (S \wedge_N T_i)$. Now take $p \neq s \wedge t \in \dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^k (S \wedge_N T_i)$, then there exists j such that $s \wedge t \in S \wedge_N T_j$, so $s \wedge t \in S \wedge_N (\dot{\bigcup}_{i=1}^k T_i)$. □

Proposition 1.6.8. *Let N be a commutative binoid and (S, p) an N-set. If I is an ideal of N then*

$$(N/I) \wedge_N S \cong S/(I + S).$$

Proof. Take the homomorphism

$$\phi : (N/I) \wedge_N S \to S/(I + S),\ \phi([n] \wedge s) = \overline{n + s},$$

where $[n] \in N/I, s \in S, \overline{n + s} \in S/(I + S)$. This is well defined because if $[n_1] \wedge s_1 = [n_2] \wedge s_2$, then, by the universal property of smash product, we have $[0] \wedge n_1 + s_1 = [0] \wedge n_2 + s_2$, so $n_1 + s_1 = n_2 + s_2$. Also from $\phi(0 \wedge s) = \overline{s}$ we deduce that ϕ is surjective. If

$$\phi([n_1] \wedge s_1) = \phi([n_2] \wedge s_2)$$

for $[n_1], [n_2] \in N/I$ and $s_1, s_2 \in S$, then we have $\overline{n_1 + s_1} = \overline{n_2 + s_2}$ in $S/(I + S)$. Hence we get $n_1 + s_1 = n_2 + s_2$ or $n_1 + s_1, n_2 + s_2 \in I + S$. If the first case holds then we have also

$[n_1] \wedge s_1 = [n_2] \wedge s_2$. If the second case holds then $n_1 + s_1 = f_1 + t_1, n_2 + s_2 = f_2 + t_2$, where $f_1, f_2 \in I, t_1, t_2 \in S$. So

$$[n_i] \wedge s_i = [0] \wedge n_i + s_i = [0] \wedge f_i + t_i = [f_i] \wedge t_i = [\infty] \wedge t_i = p$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Hence again $[n_1] \wedge s_1 = [n_2] \wedge s_2$ and we have injectivity which means ϕ is an isomorphism. □

Proposition 1.6.9. *Let N be a commutative binoid and J an ideal of N. If S is a finitely generated N-set then there is a surjective homomorphism $(N/J)^{\cup r} \to S \wedge_N N/J$, where r is the number of generators of S.*

Proof. From Proposition 1.6.8 we have an isomorphism $(N/J)^{\cup r} \to (N \wedge_N N/J)^{\cup r}$ and by Proposition 1.6.7 we have $(N \wedge_N N/J)^{\cup r} = N^{\cup r} \wedge_N N/J$. Also by Proposition 1.5.11 we have a surjective homomorphism $\phi : N^{\cup r} \to S$. Hence

$$\varphi : (N)^{\cup r} \wedge_N N/J \longrightarrow S \wedge_N N/J,\ \varphi(a \wedge [n]) = \phi(a) \wedge [n]$$

is also surjective homomorphism. □

Corollary 1.6.10. *Let N be a commutative, finitely generated, semipositive binoid and J an N_+-primary ideal of N. If S is a finitely generated N-set then $S \wedge_N N/J$ is finite.*

Proof. We know that by Proposition 1.1.18, N/J is a finite set, so by Proposition 1.6.9 we have our result. □

We close this section with three results about the smash product over the trivial base.

Proposition 1.6.11. *Let $N_1, \ldots, N_k$ be non-zero binoids, then*

$$(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{k} N_i)^\times = \prod_{i=1}^{k} N_i^\times.$$

Proof. Let $a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k \in (\bigwedge_{i=1}^k N_i)^\times$, then there exist $b_i \in N_i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ such that

$$(a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_k) + (b_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge b_k) = 0 \wedge \cdots \wedge 0.$$

So we can conclude that $a_i + b_i = 0 \in N_i$ and $a_i \in N_i^\times$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Which means $(\bigwedge_{i=1}^k N_i)^\times \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^k N_i^\times$. The other inclusion is clear. □

Proposition 1.6.12. *Let N, M be finite binoids, then*

$$\#(N \wedge M) = \#N \cdot \#M.$$

Proof. Let $a, b \in N^\bullet$ and $c, d \in M^\bullet$, then $a \wedge c = b \wedge d$ if and only if $a = b$ and $c = d$. From here we have the result. □

Lemma 1.6.13. *Let M, N be binoids and $I \subseteq M, J \subseteq N$ be ideals. Then $(I \wedge N) \cup (M \wedge J)$ is an ideal of $M \wedge N$ and*

$$(M \wedge N)/((I \wedge N) \cup (M \wedge J)) \cong M/I \wedge N/J.$$

Proof. We have a surjective homomorphism

$$M \wedge N \xrightarrow{\phi} M/I \wedge N/J$$
$$m \wedge n \longmapsto [m] \wedge [n].$$

If $m \wedge n \in (I \wedge N) \cup (M \wedge J)$ then $m \wedge n \in I \wedge N$ or $m \wedge n \in M \wedge J$ and in each case we get $[m] \wedge [n] = \infty$. Hence $(I \wedge N) \cup (M \wedge J) \subseteq \ker \phi$. Now let $m_1 \wedge n_1 \in \ker \phi$ then $[m_1] \wedge [n_1] = \infty$ so $[m_1] = \infty$ or $[n_1] = \infty$. This means $m_1 \in I$ or $n_1 \in J$ and $\ker \phi \subseteq (I \wedge N) \cup (M \wedge J)$. Applying Lemma 1.5.7 we have a surjective homomorphism

$$(M \wedge N)/((I \wedge N) \cup (M \wedge J)) \longrightarrow M/I \wedge N/J$$

and it is also injective so

$$(M \wedge N)/((I \wedge N) \cup (M \wedge J)) \cong M/I \wedge N/J.$$

□

1.7 Exact sequences

In this section arbitrary binoids are assumed to be commutative.

Definition 1.7.1. Let N be a binoid. A sequence

$$S_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} S_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_n} S_n$$

of N-sets and N-set homomorphisms is called *exact* if the image of each homomorphism is equal to the kernel of the next:

$$\operatorname{im} \phi_k = \ker \phi_{k+1}.$$

Definition 1.7.2. Let N be a binoid. The exact sequence

$$S_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} S_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_n} S_n$$

is called *strongly exact* if ϕ_k is injective on $S_{k-1} \setminus \ker \phi_k$ for every k.

Proposition 1.7.3. *Let N be a binoid, $S \subseteq T$ and U be N-sets. Then we have an exact sequence of N-sets*

$$\infty \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \{s \wedge u \mid s \wedge u = \infty \text{ in } T \wedge_N U\} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S \wedge_N U \xrightarrow{\phi_3} T \wedge_N U \xrightarrow{\phi_4} (T/S) \wedge_N U \xrightarrow{\phi_5} \infty.$$

Proof. We have an exact sequence $\infty \to S \hookrightarrow T \to T/S \to \infty$ and we can smash this sequence with U. Then we obtain a sequence

$$\infty \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \{s \wedge u \mid s \wedge u = \infty \text{ in } T \wedge_N U\} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S \wedge_N U \xrightarrow{\phi_3} T \wedge_N U \xrightarrow{\phi_4} (T/S) \wedge_N U \xrightarrow{\phi_5} \infty,$$

where $\phi_1(\infty) = \infty$, ϕ_2 is the inclusion, $\phi_3(s \wedge u) = s \wedge u \in T \wedge_N U$, $\phi_4(t \wedge u) = [t] \wedge u$ and $\phi_5([t] \wedge u) = \infty$. We know by definition that $\operatorname{im} \phi_1 = \{\infty\} = \ker \phi_2$, $\operatorname{im} \phi_2 = \{s \wedge u \mid s \wedge u = \infty \text{ in } T \wedge_N U\} = \ker \phi_3$, $\operatorname{im} \phi_3 = S \wedge_N U = \ker \phi_4$, $\operatorname{im} \phi_4 = T/S \wedge_N U = \ker \phi_5$. So it is an exact sequence. □

Example 1.7.4. Let $N = \mathbb{N}^{\infty}$ and S be an N-set with an operation given by $n + s = f^n(s)$, like in Example 1.2.4. Then we have an exact sequence

$$\infty \longrightarrow N_+ \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N/N_+ \longrightarrow \infty$$

and we can smash this sequence with S over N. Then by Proposition 1.7.3, we get an exact sequence

$$\infty \to \{n \wedge s \mid n \geqslant 1, n \wedge s = \infty \text{ in } N \wedge_N S\} \to N_+ \wedge_N S \to N \wedge_N S \to N/N_+ \wedge_N S \to \infty.$$

We have also isomorphisms

$$N \wedge_N S \longrightarrow S,\ n \wedge s \longmapsto n + s = f^n(s),$$

$$N_+ \wedge_N S \longrightarrow S,\ n \wedge s \longmapsto (n-1) + s = f^{n-1}(s),$$

and from here we get $\{n \wedge s \mid n \geqslant 1, n \wedge s = \infty \text{ in } N \wedge_N S\} \cong \{t \in S \mid f(t) = p\} = \ker f$ and $N/N_+ \wedge_N S \cong S/\operatorname{im} f$(as was also shown in Example 1.6.5(a)). So we have an exact sequence

$$\infty \longrightarrow \ker f \longrightarrow S \xrightarrow{f} S \longrightarrow S/\operatorname{im} f \longrightarrow \infty.$$

If $S = \{a, b, p\}$, $f(a) = f(b) = b$ and $f(p) = p$ then this sequence is not strongly exact.

Proposition 1.7.5. *Let N be a binoid, $J \subseteq N$ an ideal and $S \subseteq T$ N-sets. Then we have a strongly exact sequence of N-sets*

$$\infty \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \{s \wedge [a] \mid s \wedge [a] = \infty \text{ in } T \wedge_N N/J\} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S \wedge_N N/J \xrightarrow{\phi_3} T \wedge_N N/J \xrightarrow{\phi_4} T/S \wedge_N N/J \xrightarrow{\phi_5} \infty$$

which is the same as

$$\infty \xrightarrow{\phi_1} S \cap (J+T))/(J+S) \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S/(J+S) \xrightarrow{\phi_3} T/(J+T) \xrightarrow{\phi_4} (T/S)/(J+T/S)) \xrightarrow{\phi_5} \infty.$$

If $S = I$ is an ideal of N and $T = N$, then we have the strongly exact sequence

$$\infty \longrightarrow (I \cap J)/(I+J) \longrightarrow I/(I+J) \longrightarrow N/J \longrightarrow (N/I)/(J+N/I) \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. From Proposition 1.7.3, when $U = N/J$, we have an exact sequence

$$\infty \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \{s \wedge [a] \mid s \wedge [a] = \infty \text{ in } T \wedge_N N/J\} \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S \wedge_N N/J \xrightarrow{\phi_3} T \wedge_N N/J \xrightarrow{\phi_4} T/S \wedge_N N/J \xrightarrow{\phi_5} \infty.$$

By Proposition 1.6.8 we know that $S \wedge_N N/J \cong S/(J+S)$ and $T \wedge_N N/J \cong T/(J+T)$. Let

$$s \wedge [a] \in \{s \wedge [a] \mid s \wedge [a] = \infty \text{ in } T \wedge_N N/J\}.$$

Then $S/(J+S) \ni [a+s] = \infty \in T/(J+T)$. So we have $a + s \in J + T$, which means that $a + s \in S \cap (J+T)$. Hence we have

$$\{s \wedge [a] \mid s \wedge [a] = \infty \text{ in } T \wedge_N N/J\} \cong (S \cap (J+T))/(J+S).$$

Also, by Proposition 1.6.8 and Proposition 1.5.8, we get

$$T/S \wedge_N N/J \cong (T/S)/(J + T/S) = T/(S \cup (J+T)).$$

So we can rewrite the previous exact sequence as

$$\infty \xrightarrow{\phi_1} (S \cap (J+T))/(J+S) \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S/(J+S) \xrightarrow{\phi_3} T/(J+T) \xrightarrow{\phi_4} (T/S)/(J+T/S)) \xrightarrow{\phi_5} \infty$$

or, equivalently

$$\infty \xrightarrow{\phi_1} (S \cap (J+T))/(J+S) \xrightarrow{\phi_2} S/(J+S) \xrightarrow{\phi_3} T/(J+T) \xrightarrow{\phi_4} T/(S \cup (J+T)) \xrightarrow{\phi_5} \infty.$$

Here $\phi_1(\infty) = \infty$, ϕ_2 is the inclusion (so it is injective), ϕ_3 is an inclusion (injective) on $S \setminus (J+T)$, ϕ_4 is surjective and outside of the kernel it is a bijection, $\phi_5([s]) = \infty$. So it means that our sequence is a strongly exact sequence.

If $S = I$ and $T = N$ then we get

$$\infty \xrightarrow{\phi_1} I \cap J/(J+I) \xrightarrow{\phi_2} I/(J+I) \xrightarrow{\phi_3} N/J \xrightarrow{\phi_4} (N/I)/(J+N/I) \xrightarrow{\phi_5} \infty. \qquad \square$$

Proposition 1.7.6. *Let N be a binoid and $\infty \to S_1 \to S_2 \to \cdots \to S_n \to \infty$ a strongly exact sequence of finite N-sets. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i \# S_i = 0.$$

Proof. Write $S_i = K_i \uplus R_i \uplus \{p_i\}$, with maps

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i : S_{i-1} &\longrightarrow S_i, \\ R_{i-1} &\xrightarrow{bij} K_i, \\ K_{i-1} &\longrightarrow p_i, \\ p_{i-1} &\longrightarrow p_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$,

$$S_0 = S_{n+1} = \{\infty\},\ p_0 = p_{n+1} = \infty,$$

and

$$R_0 = K_0 = K_1 = K_{n+1} = R_{n+1} = \varnothing.$$

Then we can conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i \# S_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i (|K_i| + |R_i|) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i (|K_i| + |K_{i+1}|) = -|K_1| + (-1)^n |K_{n+1}| = 0.$$

$\square$

Corollary 1.7.7. *Let N be a commutative, finitely generated, semipositive binoid and let I be an ideal of N. If J is an N_+-primary ideal of N then*

$$\#N/J + \#I \cap J/(I+J) = \#I/(I+J) + \#(N/I)/(J+N/I).$$

Proof. We want to apply Proposition 1.7.6 to the strongly exact sequence

$$\infty \longrightarrow I \cap J/(I+J) \longrightarrow I/(I+J) \longrightarrow N/J \longrightarrow (N/I)/(J+N/I) \longrightarrow \infty$$

from Proposition 1.7.5. To do this we have to show that the involved N-sets are finite. From Proposition 1.1.18 we know that N/J is a finite set. Also we know that I is a finitely generated N-set, so by Proposition 1.6.9 we have a surjective homomorphism $(N/J)^{\uplus r} \to I \wedge_N N/J$. Hence $|I \wedge_N N/J| = |I/(J+I)| \leqslant |N/J|^r$, which is a finite set. So we can apply Proposition 1.7.6 and get the result. $\square$

1.8 Dimension

Definition 1.8.1. The *combinatorial dimension* of a binoid N, denoted by $\dim N$, is the supremum of the lengths of strictly increasing chains of prime ideals of N.

We will assume that the zero binoid $\{\infty\}$ has dimension -1. The trivial binoid $\{0,\infty\}$ has dimension 0.

In general the Krull dimension of a binoid algebra $K[N]$ and $\dim N$ are not the same.

Example 1.8.2. Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/(x+y=2y)$. It is separated and we have $\dim_{\text{Krull}} K[N] = 1$, as $K[N] = K[X,Y]/Y(Y-X)$. But we have the following chain of prime ideals $(\infty) \subset (y) \subset (x,y)$ so $\dim N = 2$.

Proposition 1.8.3. *Let N be a finitely generated, integral binoid and $I \neq \{\infty\}$ be an ideal of N. Then $\dim N/I < \dim N$.*

Proof. By [Boet15, Corollary 2.2.10] we know that if $k = \dim N/I$ then there exist $\mathfrak{p}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k \in \operatorname{Spec} N$ such that $\infty \neq I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_k$. By integrality, $\{\infty\}$ is a prime ideal of N and so $\dim N > \dim N/I$. □

Proposition 1.8.4. *Let N be a finitely generated commutative binoid and $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ different minimal prime ideals of N. Then $\dim N/(\mathfrak{p}\cup\mathfrak{q}) < \min\{\dim N/\mathfrak{p}, \dim N/\mathfrak{q}\}$.*

Proof. By minimality $\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and it is easy to check that $\mathfrak{p}\cup\mathfrak{q}$ is also a prime ideal. Hence $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p}\cup\mathfrak{q}$, which means $\dim N/(\mathfrak{p}\cup\mathfrak{q}) < \dim N/\mathfrak{p}$ and $\dim N/(\mathfrak{p}\cup\mathfrak{q}) < \dim N/\mathfrak{q}$. □

Theorem 1.8.5. *Let M, N be non zero commutative binoids of finite dimension. Then*

$$\dim M \wedge N = \dim M + \dim N.$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset M, \mathfrak{q} \subset N$ be prime ideals. Then we know that there are binoid homomorphisms $\chi_\mathfrak{p} : M \to \{0,\infty\}$ and $\chi_\mathfrak{q} : N \to \{0,\infty\}$. Then by the universal property of $M \wedge N$ we have a binoid homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\mathfrak{p} + \chi_\mathfrak{q} : M \wedge N &\longrightarrow \{0,\infty\} \\ m \wedge n &\longmapsto \chi_\mathfrak{p}(m) + \chi_\mathfrak{q}(n), \end{aligned}$$

and it will give us the prime ideal $\ker(\chi_\mathfrak{p} + \chi_\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p} \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q}$ of $M \wedge N$, and every prime ideal of $M \wedge N$ is of this form.

Claim 1. *Let $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}' \in \operatorname{Spec} M, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}' \in \operatorname{Spec} N$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}' \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q}'$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}'$ and $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}'$.*

Proof. The if part is obvious. So let us assume $\mathfrak{p} \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}' \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q}'$ and $\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}'$ or $\mathfrak{q} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}'$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}'$, there exists $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that $f \notin \mathfrak{p}'$ and $(\chi_\mathfrak{p} + \chi_\mathfrak{q})(f \wedge 0) = \infty + 0 = \infty$ but $(\chi_{\mathfrak{p}'} + \chi_{\mathfrak{q}'})(f \wedge 0) = 0 + 0 = 0$. So this contradicts the inclusion $\mathfrak{p} \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}' \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q}'$. □

Now let $\dim M = m$ and $\dim N = n$. Then we have strictly increasing chains of prime ideals

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_m \subseteq M$$

and

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \subset \mathfrak{q}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{q}_n \subseteq N.$$

Hence from Claim 1, we get a strictly increasing chain of prime ideals of $M \wedge N$

$$(\mathfrak{p}_0 \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q}_0) \subset \cdots \subset (\mathfrak{p}_0 \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q}_n) \subset (\mathfrak{p}_1 \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q}_n) \subset \cdots \subset (\mathfrak{p}_m \wedge N \cup M \wedge \mathfrak{q}_n),$$

which means $\dim M \wedge N \geqslant m + n$.

Now if we have a strictly increasing chain

$$(M \wedge \mathfrak{p}_0 \cup \mathfrak{q}_0 \wedge N) \subset \cdots \subset (M \wedge \mathfrak{p}_k \cup \mathfrak{q}_k \wedge N) \in \operatorname{Spec} M \wedge N,$$

where $k > m + n$. Then we have increasing chains $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_k$ and $\mathfrak{q}_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_k$ in M and N respectively. If $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}$ then $\mathfrak{q}_i \subset \mathfrak{q}_{i+1}$. So, if only $m+1$ different prime ideals of M occur in the sequence then

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 = \cdots = \mathfrak{p}_{i_1}, \mathfrak{p}_{i_1+1} = \cdots = \mathfrak{p}_{i_2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{i_m+1} = \cdots = \mathfrak{p}_{i_{m+1}},$$

where $i_{m+1} = k$. So we have

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{q}_{i_1}, \mathfrak{q}_{i_1+1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{q}_{i_2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_{i_m+1} \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{q}_{i_{m+1}}$$

and here we have at least $n + 2$ different prime ideals of N. Hence it is a contradiction. □

1.9 Algebras and Modules

In this section we will assume that K is a commutative ring and arbitrary binoids are assumed to be commutative.

Definition 1.9.1. The *binoid algebra* of a binoid N is the quotient algebra

$$KN/\langle X^\infty \rangle =: K[N],$$

where KN is the monoid algebra of N and $\langle X^\infty \rangle$ is the ideal in KN generated by the element X^∞.

So we can consider $K[N]$ as the set of all formal sums $\sum_{m \in M} r_m X^m$, where $M \subseteq N^\bullet$ is finite, $r_m \in K$ and the multiplication is given by

$$r_n X^n \cdot r_m X^m = \begin{cases} (r_n r_m) X^{n+m}, & \text{if } n + m \in N^\bullet \\ 0, & \text{if } n + m = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let N be a binoid and (S, p) an N-set. Then we can define the $K[N]$-module $K[S]$ as the set of all formal sums $\sum_{s \in U} r_s X^s$, where $U \subseteq S^\bullet$ is finite, $r_s \in K$ and the multiplication is given by

$$(\sum_{m \in M} r_m X^m) \cdot (\sum_{s \in U} r_s X^s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{m \in M, s \in U} r_m r_s X^{m+s}, & \text{if } m + s \in S^\bullet \\ 0, & \text{if } m + s = p. \end{cases}$$

Here M is a finite subset of N and U is a finite subset of S.

Proposition 1.9.2. *Let N be a binoid and I an ideal of N. Then*

$$K[I] := \{\sum_{a \in J} r_a X^a \mid J \subseteq I \text{ finite subset } \}$$

is an ideal of $K[N]$.

Proof. This follows from

$$(\sum_{m\in M} r_m X^m)\cdot(\sum_{a\in J} r_a X^a) = \sum_{m\in M, a\in J} r_m r_a X^{m+a} \in K[I].$$

□

Proposition 1.9.3. *Let N be a binoid. Then the following hold.*

1. *If M is a subbinoid of N then the corresponding binoid algebra $K[M]$ is a K-subalgebra of $K[N]$.*
2. *If $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of K then $(K/\mathfrak{a})[N] \cong K[N]/\mathfrak{a}K[N]$.*
3. *If I is an ideal of N then $K[N/I] \cong K[N]/K[I] \cong KN/KI$.*
4. *If S is a subbinoid of M then $\{X^a \mid a \in S\}$ defines a multiplicative system in $K[N]$, which will also be denoted by S, and there is an isomorphism $K[N]_S := S^{-1}K[N] \cong K[N_S]$.*
5. *If B is a K-algebra then $B \otimes_K K[N] \cong B[N]$.*
6. *Is S is a multiplicative system in K then $K[N]_S \cong K_S[N]$.*

Proof. See the proof of Corollary 3.2.8 in [Boet15]. □

Proposition 1.9.4. *Let N be a binoid and S a finite N-set. Then $\#S = \dim_K K[S]$.*

Proof. Let $S = \{p, s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$ then by definition of $K[S]$, $\{X^{s_1}, \ldots, X^{s_m}\}$ is a K-basis of $K[S]$. □

An N-set homomorphism $\phi : S \to T$ induces a $K[N]$-module homomorphism $K[\phi] : K[S] \to K[T]$.

Proposition 1.9.5. *Let N be a binoid and S, T be N-sets. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *$\phi : S \to T$ is a surjective (injective) homomorphism,*
2. *$K[\phi] : K[S] \to K[T]$ is a surjective (injective) homomorphism for all commutative rings,*
3. *$K[\phi] : K[S] \to K[T]$ is a surjective (injective) homomorphism for some commutative ring $K \neq 0$.*

Proof. (1) to (2). Let $\phi : S \to T$ be a surjective homomorphism of N-sets and $\sum_{t\in J} r_t X^t \in K[T]$, where J is some finite subset of T. Then we can write $\sum_{t\in J} r_t X^t = \sum_{\phi(s)\in J} r_{\phi(s)} X^{\phi(s)}$, so $K[\phi](\sum_{\phi(s)\in J} r_{\phi(s)} X^s) = \sum_{t\in J} r_t X^t$.

(2) to (3) is obvious.

(3) to (1). Let K be some nonzero commutative ring and suppose that $K[\phi] : K[S] \to K[T]$ is a surjective ring homomorphism. So for every $t \in T$ we know that there is $\sum_s r_s X^s \in K[S]$ such that $X^t = K[\phi](\sum_s r_s X^s) = \sum_s r_s X^{\phi(s)}$. Hence $t = \phi(s)$ for some $s \in S$. □

Proposition 1.9.6. *Let N be a binoid,*

$$\infty \longrightarrow S_1 \longrightarrow S_2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S_n \longrightarrow \infty$$

a strongly exact sequence of finite N-sets and K a commutative ring. Then we have an exact sequence of $K[N]$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow K[S_1] \longrightarrow K[S_2] \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow K[S_n] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. For every i, let $\phi_i : S_i \to S_{i+1}$ be the N-set homomorphism of the sequence. Then we can define homomorphisms $K[\phi_i] : K[S_i] \to K[S_{i+1}]$ by setting $K[\phi_i](\sum_{s\in U} r_s X^s) = \sum_{s\in U} r_s X^{\phi_i(s)}$. We have a strongly exact sequence of N-sets, so

$$(K[\phi_{i+1}] \circ K[\phi_i]) = K[\phi_{i+1} \circ \phi_i] = K[p_{i+1}] = 0,$$

which means $\operatorname{im} K[\phi_i] \subseteq \ker K[\phi_{i+1}]$. We have the other inclusion because ϕ_i is an injection outside the kernel, which implies that $K[\phi_i] : K[S_i/\ker \phi_i] \to K[S_{i+1}]$ is injective. □

Example 1.9.7. Let $S = \{a, b, p\}$ be as in Example 1.7.4. Then we have an exact sequence of N-sets

$$\infty \longrightarrow \ker f = \infty \xrightarrow{i} S \xrightarrow{f} S \longrightarrow S/\operatorname{im} f \longrightarrow \infty.$$

We have

$$K[f](X^a - X^b) = X^{f(a)} - X^{f(b)} = X^b - X^b = 0,$$

but $X^a - X^b \notin \operatorname{im} K[i] = \{\infty\}$. So strong exactness is a necessary condition for Proposition 1.9.6.

Proposition 1.9.8. *Let N be a binoid and S, T the N-sets. Then we have*

$$K[S \wedge_N T] \cong K[S] \otimes_{K[N]} K[T]$$

and

$$K[S \cup T] \cong K[S] \oplus K[T].$$

Proof. The first isomorphism follows from Corollary 3.5.2 in [Boet15].

From the inclusions $S \hookrightarrow S \cup T$ and $T \hookrightarrow S \cup T$ we get $K[N]$-homomorphisms $K[S] \to K[S \cup T]$ and $K[T] \to K[S \cup T]$. So we have a $K[N]$-homomorphism

$$\phi : K[S] \oplus K[T] \to K[S \cup T].$$

Also from the homomorphisms $S \cup T \xrightarrow{T \to p} S$, $S \cup T \xrightarrow{S \to p} T$ we get the module homomorphisms $K[S \cup T] \to K[S]$ and $K[S \cup T] \to K[T]$. Hence we have a homomorphism

$$\varphi : K[S \cup T] \to K[S] \oplus K[T].$$

It is easy to check that $\phi \circ \varphi$ and $\varphi \circ \phi$ are the identity maps. □

Proposition 1.9.9. *Let R be a commutative ring and V be an R-module. If I is an ideal of R then $(R/I) \otimes_R V \cong V/IV$. Here IV is the submodule generated by all products fv, where $f \in I$ and $v \in V$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that V/IV is an R-module. If $f \in I$ then for every $v \in V$ we have $fv = 0 \in V/IV$. So $I \subseteq \mathrm{Ann}_R(V/IV)$ and V/IV is an R/I-module. So we have an R/I-module homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}(R/I) \otimes_R V &\longrightarrow V/IV,\\ \sum_i a_i \otimes v_i &\longmapsto [\sum_i a_i v_i].\end{aligned}$$

This map is a surjective homomorphism by $1 \otimes v \mapsto [v]$. If $\sum a_i \otimes v_i \mapsto [\sum a_i v_i] = 0 \in V/IV$ then $\sum_i a_i v_i = \sum_j b_j w_j$, where $b_j \in I$ and $w_j \in V$. Hence

$$1 \otimes \sum_i a_i v_i = 1 \otimes \sum_j b_j w_j = \sum_j b_j \otimes w_j = \sum_j 0 \otimes w_j = 0.$$

So it is injective. □

Proposition 1.9.10. *Let N be a binoid, S be an N-set and I be an ideal of N. Then we have*

$$K[S/(I+S)] \cong K[S]/(K[I]K[S]).$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.6.8 we have $S/(I+S) \cong (N/I) \wedge_N S$, so $K[S/(I+S)] \cong K[(N/I) \wedge_N S]$. Hence from Proposition 1.9.3 (3), Proposition 1.9.8 and Proposition 1.9.9 we get

$$\begin{aligned}K[S/(I+S)] &\cong K[(N/I) \wedge_N S]\\ &\cong K[N/I] \otimes_{K[N]} K[S]\\ &\cong (K[N]/K[I]) \otimes_{K[N]} K[S]\\ &\cong K[S]/(K[I]K[S]).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 1.9.11. *Let N be a semipositive binoid and K some field with characteristic p which does not divide $|N^\times|$. Then $K[N_+]$ is the intersection of finitely many maximal ideals.*

In particular, if N is a positive binoid then $K[N_+]$ is a maximal ideal of $K[N]$.

Proof. Because of the fundamental theorem for finite abelian groups we can write

$$N^\times = \mathbb{Z}/(\alpha_1) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/(\alpha_r).$$

By assumption p does not divide $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$. So from these conditions we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{K}N^\times &\cong \overline{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_r]/(X_1^{\alpha_1} - 1, \ldots, X_r^{\alpha_r} - 1)\\ &= \overline{K}[X_1, \ldots, X_r]/\big((X_1 - \xi_{11}) \cdots (X_1 - \xi_{1\alpha_1}), \ldots, (X_r - \xi_{r1}) \cdots (X_r - \xi_{r\alpha_r})\big)\\ &\cong \overline{K}[X_1]/\big((X_1 - \xi_{11}) \cdots (X_1 - \xi_{1\alpha_1})\big) \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{K}[X_r]/\big((X_r - \xi_{r1}) \cdots (X_r - \xi_{r\alpha_r})\big)\\ &\cong \overline{K}^{|N^\times|},\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{K}$ is the algebraic closure of K and ξ_{ij} are the α_i-th roots of unity. Hence the maximal ideals of $\overline{K}N^\times$ have the form

$$\mathfrak{m}_{i_1,\ldots,i_r} = (X_1 - \xi_{1i_1}, \ldots, X_r - \xi_{ri_r}).$$

So we have finitely many maximal ideals in $\overline{K}N^\times$ with this form. We also know that the intersection of all maximal ideals of $\overline{K}N^\times$ is equal to $\mathrm{nil}(\overline{K}N^\times)$ and this is 0. Under the

homomorphism $KN^\times \hookrightarrow \overline{K}N^\times$ the preimage of a maximal ideal is maximal and therefore the intersection of the maximal ideals of $KN^\times$ is 0 as well.

Let $K[\pi] : K[N] \to K[N/N_+]$ be the homomorphism induced by $\pi : N \to N/N_+ \cong (N^\times)^\infty$. Then $K[\pi]^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{i})$ is a maximal ideal of $K[N]$, where $\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{i}$ is a maximal ideal of $K[N^\times]$. So

$$K[N_+] = K[\pi]^{-1}(0) = \bigcap_i K[\pi]^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{i}). \qquad \square$$

Example 1.9.12. Let p be a prime number. Then

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[X]/(X^p - 1) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[X]/(X - 1)^p$$

is not reduced and has only one maximal ideal $(X - 1)$ so it is a local ring.

Chapter 2

Hilbert-Kunz theory of binoids

In this chapter we will introduce the Hilbert-Kunz function and the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of a binoid and compute it in some cases. Also we will prove that the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of a commutative, finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative and reduced binoid is a rational number.

2.1 Hilbert-Kunz function and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of a ring

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a commutative Noetherian local ring of dimension d and containing a field K of positive characteristic p. For an ideal I and a prime power $q = p^e$ we define the ideal $I^{[q]} = \langle a^q \mid a \in I \rangle$ as the ideal generated by the qth powers of the elements of I. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of R, M a finite R-module. Then the R-modules $M/I^{[q]}M$ has finite length. So the *Hilbert-Kunz function* of M with respect to I is

$$\mathrm{HKF}(I, M)(q) = \mathrm{length}(M/I^{[q]}M).$$

If $M = R, I = \mathfrak{m}$ then we have the classical Hilbert-Kunz function $\mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{m}, R)(q) = HK_R(q)$, introduced by Kunz [Kun69]. Also he showed that R is regular if and only if $HK_R(q) = q^d$ for all q. In [Mon83], P. Monsky proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1.1 (Monsky)**.** *There are a real constant $c(M)$ and a natural number d (the dimension of M) such that*

$$\mathrm{length}(M/I^{[q]}M) = c(M)q^d + O(q^{d-1}).$$

So we have the next definition.

Definition 2.1.2. The *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity* $e_{HK}(I, M)$ of M with respect to I is defined as:

$$e_{HK}(I, M) := \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{\mathrm{length}(M/I^{[q]}M)}{q^d}.$$

From here there are many questions related to Hilbert-Kunz function and multiplicity.

Problem 1. *Is the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity always a rational number?*

Problem 2. *Is there any interpretation in characteristic 0?*

To formulate the next three problems we fix a relative situation. Let R be a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra of finite type with a ring homomorphism $R \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$. Let R_p be the localization of $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ at the maximal ideal given by ϕ. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal such that the extension I_p in R_p is primary to the maximal ideal for almost all p. In this situation we can look at $e_{HK}^{R_p}(I_p)$ and its dependence on the prime number p. In particular we have the following problems.

Problem 3. *When and how does $e_{HK}(I_p, R_p)$ depend on the characteristic p?*

Problem 4. *Does the limit*

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} e_{HK}(I_p, R_p)$$

exist?

Problem 5 (C.Miller)**.** *Does the limit*

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\text{length}(R_p/I_p^{[p]})}{p^d}$$

exist?

In most known cases the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is a rational number, for example for monoid rings ([Eto02]), toric rings ([Wat00],[Bru05]), monomial ideals and binomial hypersurfaces ([Con96]), rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type ([Sei97]), two-dimensional graded rings ([Bre06],[Tri05b]) and Fermat ring $K[X_1, \ldots, X_n]/(X_1^{d_1} + \cdots + X_n^{d_n})$ ([MonHan93]).

Let G be a finite group acting linearly on a polynomial ring $B = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with invariant ring $A = B^G$ and let $I = A_+B$ be the Hilbert ideal in B. Let R be the localization of A at the irrelevant ideal. If K has positive characteristic, then

$$e_{HK}(R) = \frac{\dim_K (B/I)}{\#(G)}.$$

This is a rational number which depends only on the invariant ring, not on its representation. But H. Brenner proved in [Bre13] that there exist three-dimensional quartic hypersurface domains and Artinian modules with irrational Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity.

There are many situations where the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is independent on the characteristic p. For example the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of toric rings ([Wat00]) and of invariant rings are independent from the characteristic of the base field as long as p does not divide the group order. But there are also examples where the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is depending on the characteristic. Let

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y, Z]/(X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4)$$

be the Fermat-quartic and $R_p = R \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. The Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity[1] is

$$e_{HK}(R_p) = \begin{cases} 3 \text{ for } p = \pm 1 \bmod 8, \\ 3 + \frac{1}{p^2} \text{ for } p = \pm 3 \bmod 8\,. \end{cases}$$

In this example we see also that $\lim_{p\to\infty} e_{HK}(R_p) = 3$.

[1] By the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of a standard-graded ring we mean the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of the localization at the irrelevant ideal.

We can ask when the limit of the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity exists for $p \to \infty$. If it exists then this limit is a candidate for the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity in characteristic zero. This leads us to the question of whether a characteristic zero Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity could be defined directly. In all known cases this limit exists.

H. Brenner, J. Li and C. Miller ([BreLiMil]) observed that in all the known cases where $\lim_{p\to\infty} e_{HK}(R_p)$ exists then this double limit can be replaced by the limit of Problem 5.

The Hilbert-Kunz function has also another description. Let R be a commutative ring, V be an R-module and $\phi : R \to R$ a ring homomorphism. Then V is also an R-module by $r \cdot a := \phi(r)a$ and we denote it by ${}^{\phi}V$. So if ϕ is an eth iteration of the Frobenius homomorphism $F : R \to R$, $f \mapsto f^p$, then we have an R module ${}^eR$ by $r \cdot a := F^{e*}(r)a$.

Proposition 2.1.3. *Let R be a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, I an $\mathfrak{m}-$primary ideal of R and $q = p^e$. Then we have $R/I^{[q]} = {}^eR \otimes_R R/I$.*

Proof. If we take $V = {}^eR$ then by Proposition 1.9.9 ${}^eR \otimes_R R/I = {}^eR/I\ {}^eR$. So $I\ {}^eR \cong I^{[q]}$ implies ${}^eR/I\ {}^eR \cong R/I^{[q]}$. □

2.2 The Hilbert-Kunz function of a binoid

In this section we introduce the Hilbert-Kunz function of a binoid.

Lemma 2.2.1. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive binoid, T a finitely generated N-set and $\mathfrak{n}$ an N_+-primary ideal of N. Then for every positive integer q we have*

$$\#T/([q]\mathfrak{n} + T) \leqslant r|N^{\times}| + Dq^s,$$

where r is the number of generators of T, s is the number of generators of N_+ and D is some constant.

Proof. Let $t_1, \ldots, t_r$ be generators of T and $n_1, \ldots, n_s$ be generators of N_+. If $t \in T$ then either

$$t = u + t_i,$$

where $u \in N^{\times}$, or

$$t = a_1n_1 + \cdots + a_sn_s + t_i,$$

where $a_j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. There are at most $r|N^{\times}|$ elements of the first type. In the second case we know that there exist $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_in_i \in \mathfrak{n}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. So if $a_j \geqslant qd_j$, for some j, then $t \in [q]\mathfrak{n} + T$, which means

$$\#T/([q]\mathfrak{n} + T) \leqslant r|N^{\times}| + r \cdot q^s \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{s} d_i.$$ □

Definition 2.2.2. Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive binoid, T a finitely generated N-set and $\mathfrak{n}$ an N_+-primary ideal of N. Then we call the number

$$\mathrm{HKF}^N(\mathfrak{n}, T, q) = \mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, T, q) := \#T/([q]\mathfrak{n} + T) = |T/([q]\mathfrak{n} + T)| - 1$$

the *Hilbert-Kunz function* of $\mathfrak{n}$ on the N-set T at q.

In particular, for $T = N$ and $\mathfrak{n} = N_+$ we have

$$\mathrm{HKF}(N, q) := \mathrm{HKF}(N_+, N, q) = \#N/[q]N_+.$$

Example 2.2.3. If $N = \mathbb{Z}^\infty$ then $N_+ = \{\infty\}$ and $N/[q]N_+ = N$ is not a finite set.

Example 2.2.4. Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^n)^\infty$ then $\mathrm{HKF}(N,q) = \#N/[q]N_+ = q^n$. Since $N_+ = (\mathbb{N}^n)^\infty \setminus \{0\}$ we have $[q]N_+ = \bigcup_{i=1}^n qe_i + N$, where e_i is the i-th standard vector of $\mathbb{N}^n$. Hence

$$N/[q]N_+ = \{(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid 0 \leqslant a_i \leqslant q-1\} \cup \{\infty\},$$

which means $\#N/[q]N_+ = q^n$.

Lemma 2.2.5. *Let N be a finitely generated and semipositive binoid, (T,p) a finitely generated N-set and $\mathfrak{n}$ an N_+-primary ideal of N. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann} T$ be an ideal of N, where $\operatorname{Ann} T$ is the annihilator of T. Then T is also an $N/\mathfrak{a}$-set and we have*

$$\mathrm{HKF}^N(\mathfrak{n}, T, q) = \mathrm{HKF}^{N/\mathfrak{a}}((\mathfrak{n} \cup \mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{a}, T, q).$$

Proof. Let us first show that $(\mathfrak{n} \cup \mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{a}$ is an $(N/\mathfrak{a})_+$-primary ideal of $N/\mathfrak{a}$. If there is an element $[m] \in (N/\mathfrak{a})_+$, where $m \in N$ then there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $lm \in \mathfrak{n}$ and so $l[m] = [lm] \in (\mathfrak{n} \cup \mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{a}$.

Let us define an action $(N/\mathfrak{a}) \times T \longrightarrow T$ by $(\overline{n}, t) \longrightarrow \overline{n} + t = n + t$. Then it is easy to see that this is well defined, that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \times T & \longrightarrow & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (N/\mathfrak{a}) \times T & \longrightarrow & T \end{array}$$

commutes and so T is an $N/\mathfrak{a}$-set. For every $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $[q]\mathfrak{n} + T = [q](\mathfrak{n} \cup \mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{a} + T$, as this is the image of $[q]\mathfrak{n} \times T$. Therefore

$$\#T/([q]\mathfrak{n} + T) = \#T/([q](\mathfrak{n} \cup \mathfrak{a})/\mathfrak{a} + T). \qquad \square$$

Lemma 2.2.6. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive binoid, S and T are finitely generated N-sets and $\mathfrak{n}$ an N_+-primary ideal of N. Suppose that we have a surjective N-set homomorphism $\phi : S \to T$. Then for all q*

$$\mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, S, q) \geqslant \mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, T, q).$$

Proof. By definition $[q]\mathfrak{n}$ is an ideal of N. So by Lemma 1.5.10 we have a surjective homomorphism $S/([q]\mathfrak{n} + S) \longrightarrow T/([q]\mathfrak{n} + T)$. Hence

$$\mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, S, q) = |S/([q]\mathfrak{n} + S)| - 1 \geqslant |T/([q]\mathfrak{n} + T)| - 1 = \mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, T, q). \qquad \square$$

Definition 2.2.7. Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive binoid, T a finitely generated N-set and $\mathfrak{n}$ an N_+-primary ideal of N. Then the *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity* of $\mathfrak{n}$ on the N-set T is defined by

$$e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, T) := \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{\mathrm{HKF}^N(\mathfrak{n}, T, q)}{q^{\dim N}},$$

if this limit exists.

In particular for $T = N$ and $\mathfrak{n} = N_+$ we set $e_{HK}(N) := e_{HK}(N_+, N)$ and denote it the *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity* of M.

Note that here we work with the combinatorial dimension of N, which is not the appropriate definition in the non-cancellative case.

Theorem 2.2.8. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive binoid and $\mathfrak{n}$ an N_+-primary ideal of N. If T is a finitely generated N-set and $\mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, N, q) \leqslant Cq^{\dim N}$ for every $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and some C constant (in particular, if $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N)$ exists) then there exists α such that $\mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, T, q) \leqslant \alpha q^{\dim N}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.5.9, we have a canonical isomorphism $N^{\uplus r}/([q]\mathfrak{n} + N^{\uplus r}) \longrightarrow (N/[q]\mathfrak{n})^{\uplus r}$, which means that $\#N^{\uplus r}/([q]\mathfrak{n} + N^{\uplus r}) = \#(N/[q]\mathfrak{n})^{\uplus r} = r \cdot \#(N/[q]\mathfrak{n}) \leqslant r \cdot Cq^{\dim N}$.

From Lemma 1.5.11, we have a surjective map $\phi : N^{\uplus r} \longrightarrow T$, where r is the number of generators of T. Also by Lemma 1.5.10, we know that there exists a surjective homomorphism

$$\tilde{\phi} : N^{\uplus r}/([q]\mathfrak{n} + N^{\uplus r}) \longrightarrow T/([q]\mathfrak{n} + T).$$

So by Lemma 2.2.6 we have $\mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, T, q) \leqslant \alpha q^{\dim N}$, where $\alpha = r \cdot C$. □

Lemma 2.2.9. *Let M and N be finitely generated, semipositive binoids. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{HKF}(M \wedge N, q) = \mathrm{HKF}(M, q) \cdot \mathrm{HKF}(N, q).$$

Proof. We know that (see Theorem 1.8.5)

$$(M \wedge N)_+ = (M \wedge N) \setminus (M^\times \wedge N^\times) = (M_+ \wedge N) \cup (M \wedge N_+).$$

Take an element $q(m \wedge n) + m_1 \wedge n_1 \in [q](M \wedge N)_+$, where $m \wedge n \in (M \wedge N)_+$. Then $m \wedge n \in M_+ \wedge N$ or $m \wedge n \in M \wedge N_+$, so $qm + m_1 \in [q]M_+$ or $qn + n_1 \in [q]N_+$, which means

$$[q](M \wedge N)_+ \subseteq ([q]M_+ \wedge N) \cup (M \wedge [q]N_+).$$

We can also easily check the other inclusion, so we have

$$[q](M \wedge N)_+ = ([q]M_+ \wedge N) \cup (M \wedge [q]N_+).$$

Hence, from this result and Lemma 1.6.13, we get

$$(M \wedge N)/[q](M \wedge N)_+ \cong (M/[q]M_+) \wedge (N/[q]N_+).$$

By assumption M, N are semipositive, so we know $M/[q]M_+$ and $N/[q]N_+$ are finite biniods. Hence, by Proposition 1.6.12, we can conclude that

$$\#\big((M \wedge N)/[q](M \wedge N)_+\big) = \#(M/[q]M_+) \cdot \#(N/[q]N_+).$$ □

Theorem 2.2.10. *Let M and N be binoids such that $e_{HK}(M)$ and $e_{HK}(N)$ exist. Then $e_{HK}(M \wedge N)$ exists and*

$$e_{HK}(M \wedge N) = e_{HK}(M) \cdot e_{HK}(N).$$

Proof. By definition

$$\begin{aligned} e_{HK}(M)\cdot e_{HK}(N) &= \lim_{q\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{HKF}(M,q)}{q^{\dim M}}\cdot\lim_{q\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{HKF}(N,q)}{q^{\dim N}} \\ &= \lim_{q\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{HKF}(M,q)\cdot\mathrm{HKF}(N,q)}{q^{\dim M+\dim N}} \\ &\overset{\text{Lemma } 2.2.9}{=} \lim_{q\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{HKF}(M\wedge N,q)}{q^{\dim M+\dim N}} \\ &\overset{\text{Theorem } 1.8.5}{=} \lim_{q\to\infty}\frac{\mathrm{HKF}(M\wedge N,q)}{q^{\dim M\wedge N}} \\ &= e_{HK}(M\wedge N). \end{aligned}$$

(here by assumption $e_{HK}(M)$ and $e_{HK}(N)$ exist, so M, N are semipositive binoids) □

Remark 2.2.11. We have the same results for primary ideals in Lemma 2.2.9 and Theorem 2.2.10 and the proofs are the same.

2.3 Hilbert-Kunz function of finite binoids

In this section we will compute the Hilbert-Kunz function of finite binoids and separation is finite.

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive, separated binoid. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *The Hilbert-Kunz function* $\mathrm{HKF}(N,q)$ *is eventually constant.*
2. *N is finite.*

Proof. 1) to 2). Since $\mathrm{HKF}(N,q)$ is eventually constant so $[q]N_+$ is also eventually constant and by separateness we have $\bigcap_q [q]N_+ = \{\infty\}$. So there exists $q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[q]N_+ = \{\infty\}$ for every $q \geqslant q_0$. Let N be generated by $e_1, \ldots, e_n$. Then for every $u \in N$ we can write $u = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$ and if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i > nq_0$ then $u = \infty$. So N is finite.

2) to 1). Let $a \in N$. If $na = ma \neq \infty, n \neq m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ then $a \in \bigcap_q [q]N_+$, but this would contradict separateness. Since N is finite, we have $m_a a = \infty$ for some $m_a \in \mathbb{N}$. So $[q]N_+ = \{\infty\}$ for $q \geqslant \max_{a\in N} m_a$. This means that $N/[q]N_+ = N$ and so $\mathrm{HKF}(N,q) = \#N$ for q large enough. □

Corollary 2.3.2. *Let N be a finite and separated binoid. Then*

$$\#N = \lim_{q\to\infty}\mathrm{HKF}(N,q).$$

Proof. Our binoid is finite, so it is finitely generated and semipositive. Hence this equality was proved in Proposition 2.3.1. □

Example 2.3.3. Let T be a finite group. Then it is easy to check that $HKF(T^\infty, q) = |T|$ and in particular $e_{HK}(T^\infty) = |T|$.

Proposition 2.3.4. *If N is a finitely generated, semipositive binoid then we have*

$$\mathrm{HKF}(N^{\mathrm{sep}},q) = \mathrm{HKF}(N,q).$$

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram



where f and g are the natural surjective homomorphisms and $\ker f = [q]N_+$. By Proposition 1.1.14 we get $\bigcap_n nN_+ \subseteq [q]N_+ = \ker f$. So by [Boet15, Lemma 1.6.3], there exists a unique surjective homomorphism

$$h : N/\bigcap nN_+ \to N/[q]N_+$$

such that $f = h \circ g$. If we denote $M := N^{\text{sep}} = N/\bigcap_n nN_+$ and take $x \in [q]M_+$ then $x = \infty \in M$ or $x = y + qn$ where $y \in M^\bullet, n \in (M_+)^\bullet$. In the first case we have $x \in \ker h$. In the second case we have an equation $\widetilde{x} = \widetilde{y} + q\widetilde{n}$ in N. This means that $\widetilde{x} \in [q]N_+ = \ker f$. So $\infty = f(\widetilde{x}) = h(g(\widetilde{x})) = h(x)$ and we have $[q]N_+ \subseteq \ker h$. Let us take an element $x \in \ker h$. Then there exists $\widetilde{x} \in N$ such that $g(\widetilde{x}) = x$. Hence $f(\widetilde{x}) = h(g(\widetilde{x})) = h(x) = \infty$ so $\widetilde{x} \in [q]N_+$. From here we can write $\widetilde{x} = z + qm$, $z \in N, m \in N_+$ and $x = g(\widetilde{x}) = g(z + qm) = g(z) + qg(m) \in [q]M_+$. So this means that $\ker h = [q]M_+$ and from here we can conclude

$$M/[q]M_+ \cong N/[q]N_+. \qquad \square$$

Proposition 2.3.5. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive binoid. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. $\#N^{\text{sep}} = \#(N/\bigcap_n nN_+)$ *is finite.*
2. $\text{HKF}(N, q)$ *is eventually constant.*

Proof. From Proposition 2.3.4 it follows that $\text{HKF}(N^{\text{sep}}, q) = \text{HKF}(N, q)$ and if we use Proposition 2.3.1 then we are done. $\square$

Example 2.3.6. Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/(3x = 5x + 2y, 3y = 2x + 5y)$ and $q \geqslant 3$. Every element $ax + by$, where $a \geqslant 3$ or $b \geqslant 3$ is equal to ∞ in $N/[q]N_+$. Because if $a \geqslant 3$ then $ax + by = (a-3)x + 3x + by = (a-3)x + 5x + 2y + by = (a+2)x + (b+2)y = \cdots = (a+2k)x + (b+2k)y$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From here there exists k such that $a + 2k > q$ so $ax + by = \infty$. By this result we can easily see that $N^{\text{sep}} = N/(3x, 3y) = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/(3x, 3y)$ and so $\#(N/[q]N_+) = 9$ for every $q \geqslant 3$. In particular N^{sep} is finite. The binoid homomorphism $N \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by $x \mapsto 1$ $y \mapsto -1$, shows that for every $c \neq d \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $cx \neq dx$ in N and so N has infinitely many elements. So in Proposition 2.3.5 we can not change N^{sep} to N.

Example 2.3.7. Let $N = (\mathbb{Q}_{\geqslant 0}, +, \infty)$. For every $q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ we have $qN_+ = N_+$ and $[q]N_+ = N_+$. So $\text{HKF}(N^{\text{sep}}, q) = \text{HKF}(N, q) = 1$.

Example 2.3.8. Let $N = \langle e_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ be endowed with the following infinitely many relations for generators

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= e_2 + e_3, \\ e_2 &= e_4 + e_5 + e_6 \\ e_3 &= e_7 + e_8 + e_9 \\ e_4 &= e_{10} + e_{11} + e_{12} + e_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

and etc.

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k!+1} &= e_{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k!+1} + \cdots + e_{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k!+n+1} \\ e_{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k!+2} &= e_{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k!+n+2} + \cdots + e_{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k!+2(n+1)} \\ &\vdots \\ e_{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k!+n!} &= e_{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k!+(n+1)(n!-1)+1} + \cdots + e_{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k!+(n+1)n!} \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \geqslant 2$.
We can easily check that $\bigcap_n nN_+ = N_+$ and $\bigcap_n [n]N_+ = \{\infty\}$. So $\mathrm{HKF}(N^{\mathrm{sep}}, q) = 1$ and $\mathrm{HKF}(N, q)$ is not even defined.

2.4 Some Hilbert-Kunz functions of 2 and 3 generated binoids

Let N be a commutative, finitely generated binoid with minimal generating family $x_1, \ldots, x_r$. Then N is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{N}^r)^\infty / \sim_\varepsilon$, where

$$\varepsilon : (\mathbb{N}^r)^\infty \longrightarrow N$$

is the canonical binoid surjective homomorphism with $\varepsilon(e_i) = x_i, i = 1, \ldots, r$. Now, by Rédei's theorem ([Boet15, Theorem 1.6.9]), the congruence $\sim_\varepsilon$ can be generated by finitely many relations $\mathcal{R} : \varepsilon(a) = \varepsilon(b), a, b \in (\mathbb{N}^r)^\infty$. Hence N is given by finitely many generators and relations, which we denote by

$$(\mathbb{N}^r)^\infty / (\mathcal{R}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{R}_n).$$

So we can think of the elements in the binoid as points in $\mathbb{N}^r$ modulo these relations.

Definition 2.4.1. A relation of the form $\mathcal{R} : \varepsilon(x) = \infty$ is called a *monomial* relation. A *binomial* relation is a relation of the form $\mathcal{R} : \varepsilon(a) = \varepsilon(b) \neq \infty$.

Lemma 2.4.2. *Let N be a commutative, finitely generated and positive binoid. Then it has the maximal ideal $N_+ = N \setminus \{0\} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_r \rangle$, where $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ is the minimal generating system of N.*

Proof. Since x_i is a minimal generator, we have $x_i \neq 0$ and so x_i is a non-unit, hence $x_i \in N_+$ and so $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_r \rangle \subseteq N_+$. If $x \in N_+$, then $x = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i x_i$ and at least one $n_i \geqslant 1$. Then $x \in \langle x_1, \ldots, x_r \rangle$. □

From this Lemma and the isomorphism $(\mathbb{N}^r)^\infty / [q]\mathbb{N}^r_+ \to N/[q]N_+$ we can conclude that $\#N/[q]N_+$ is equal to the number of all equivalence classes in $[0, q-1] \times \cdots \times [0, q-1] \subseteq \mathbb{N}^r$ except the class of ∞, where the relations are $qx_i \sim \infty, i = 1, \ldots, r$ and the induced binoid relations. With this direct approach we can compute the Hilbert-Kunz function, if the relations are easy. So now we will compute the Hilbert-Kunz function of some 2 or 3 generated positive binoids.

Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty / (nx_1 + mx_2 = kx_1 + lx_2)$ be a binoid where $n, m, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n = m = 0$ or $k = l = 0$ then there is a relation where one side is 0. Hence N is not positive and $N_+ \neq \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$. So we have $n + m > 0$ and $k + l > 0$. The equivalence relation $\sim$ is given by:

$$(i + n, j + m) \sim (i + k, j + l) \tag{2.1}$$

for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a, b) \sim \infty$ in $N/[q]N_+$, where $q \gg 0$. This means that $(a, b) \sim (i, j)$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ where $i \geqslant q$ or $j \geqslant q$.

Definition 2.4.3. Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^r)^\infty/\sim$ be a binoid and suppose that one of the relation is a binomial relation

$$n_1x_1 + \cdots + n_rx_r = m_1x_1 + \cdots + m_rx_r$$

with $\sum n_i \geqslant 1, \sum m_i \geqslant 1$ and $(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \neq (m_1, \ldots, m_r)$. If $n_1 \geqslant m_1, \ldots, n_r \geqslant m_r$ or $n_1 \leqslant m_1, \ldots, n_r \leqslant m_r$ then we call it a *positive* relation otherwise a *negative* relation. Also we call the vector $(n_1 - m_1, \ldots, n_r - m_r)$ the *relation vector* or just *vector*.

Proposition 2.4.4. *Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/(nx_1+mx_2 = kx_1+lx_2)$, $M = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/(nx_1+mx_2 = \infty)$ be two binoids where $n, m, k, l, q \in \mathbb{N}, q \gg 0$ and $k + l > 0$. If $n < k$ and $m < l$ then*

$$\mathrm{HKF}(N, q) = \mathrm{HKF}(M, q) = (n + m)q - nm.$$

Proof. We have $(i + n, j + m) \sim (i + k, j + l)$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, so with $i = k - n, j = l - m$ we get

$$(n, m) \sim (k, l) \sim (2k - n, 2l - m) \sim (n + s(k - n), m + s(l - m)),$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, if q is large enough then $(n, m) \sim \infty$ in $N/[q]N_+$, which means $\mathrm{HKF}(N, q) = \mathrm{HKF}(M, q) = (n + m)q - nm$. □

Lemma 2.4.5. *Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^r)^\infty/\sim$ be a positive binoid. Then all the relations are binomial if and only if N is integral.*

Proof. All the relations are binomial or monomial. If all the relations are binomial then $\sum_{i=1}^r n_ix_i \neq \infty$ for all $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. If a binoid is integral then we do not have monomial relations. □

Proposition 2.4.6. *Let N be a commutative, finitely generated and positive binoid. Then there exists an integral binoid which has the same Hilbert-Kunz function as N for $q \gg 0$.*

Proof. We can assume that our binoid is $(\mathbb{N}^r)^\infty/\sim$, with finitely many relations. If the binoid is not integral then by Lemma 2.4.5 there are monomial relations. Let M be a binoid with the same generators $x_1, \ldots, x_r$ and same binomial relations but every monomial relation $\sum_{i=1}^r n_ix_i = \infty$ is replaced by $\sum_{i=1}^r n_ix_i = \sum_{i=1}^r (n_i + 1)x_i$. Then we can show that $\mathrm{HKF}(N, q) = \mathrm{HKF}(M, q)$ by the same argument as in proof of Proposition 2.4.4. □

Remark 2.4.7. Here a binoid became integral but it looses cancellativity. So we do not know the right dimension of the new binoid.

Proposition 2.4.8. *Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/(nx_1+mx_2 = kx_1+lx_2)$ be a binoid where $n, m, k, l, q \in \mathbb{N}, q \gg 0$ and $n > k, m < l$. Then we have $\#N/[q]N_+ = (k + m)q - km + \#N'$, where*

$$N' = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/((n - k)x_1 = (l - m)x_2, (q - k)x_1 = (q - m)x_2 = \infty).$$

Proof. If $x < k, y < q$ or $x < q, y < m$ then all the points (x, y) have a different equivalence class. Hence $\#N/[q]N_+ = (k + m)q - km + \#N'$. □

Proposition 2.4.9. *Let $N' = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/((n - k)x_1 = (l - m)x_2, (q - k)x_1 = (q - m)x_2 = \infty)$ be a binoid and $q \gg 0$. Let $q - m = (l - m)s + t, s, t \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leqslant t < l - m$ (without loss of generality we can assume that $m \geqslant k$). Then we have the following results.*

1. *If $l - m > n - k$ or $(l - m = n - k$ and $n - k \leqslant m + t)$ then $\#N' = (n - k)(q - m)$,*

2. *If* $l-m=n-k$ *and* $n-k>m+t$ *then* $\#N'=(n-k)(q-m)-t(n-k-m-t)$,

3. *If* $l-m<n-k$ *then* $\#N'=(l-m)(q-k)$.

Proof. If $l-m>n-k$ then the points (x,y), where $x<n-k, y<q-m$, are all in different equivalence classes and are not equivalent to ∞, since $x+(n-k)s<q$. It is also clear that all other points are equivalent to these points or ∞. From here $\#N'$ is equal to $(n-k)(q-m)$. If $l-m=n-k$ then $(x,y)\sim\infty$, where $x\geqslant m+t$ and $y\geqslant q-m-t$ so $\#N'$ is equal to $(n-k)(q-m)-t(n-k-m-t)$ if $n-k>m+t$, or $(n-k)(q-m)$ otherwise.

If $l-m<n-k$ then the computation is the same. □

Let $N=(\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/(nx_1+mx_2=kx_1+lx_2, n_1x_1+m_1x_2=k_1x_1+l_1x_2)$ be a binoid, where $n,m,k,l,n_1,m_1,k_1,l_1,q\in\mathbb{N}$ and $q\gg 0$. The equivalence relations are given by:

$$(i+n,j+m)\sim(i+k,j+l) \tag{2.2}$$

$$(i+n_1,j+m_1)\sim(i+k_1,j+l_1) \tag{2.3}$$

for every $i,j\in\mathbb{N}$. $(x,y)\sim\infty$ in $N/[q]N_+$ means that$(x,y)\sim(i,j)$ for some $i,j\in\mathbb{N}$, where $i\geqslant q$ or $j\geqslant q$.

Proposition 2.4.10. *Let* $N=(\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/(nx_1+mx_2=kx_1+lx_2, n_1x_1+m_1x_2=k_1x_1+l_1x_2)$ *be a binoid, where* $n,m,k,l,n_1,m_1,k_1,l_1,q\in\mathbb{N}$ *and* $n+m>0, k+l>0, n_1+m_1>0, k_1+l_1>0$. *If* q *is a large enough integer and* $(n-k)(m_1-l_1)\neq(n_1-k_1)(m-l)$ *then*

$$\#N/[q]N_+=(a+b)q+C,$$

where C *is a constant and* $a=\min(n,k,n_1,k_1), b=\min(m,l,m_1,l_1)$.

Proof. All the points (x,y) have a different equivalence class in $N/[q]N_+$, where $x<a$ or $y<b$. Hence we have

$$\#N/[q]N_+=(a+b)q-ab+\#N',$$

where $N'=(\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/((n-a)x_1+(m-b)x_2=(k-a)x_1+(l-b)x_2, (n_1-a)x_1+(m_1-b)x_2=(k_1-a)x_1+(l_1-b)x_2, (q-a)x_1=\infty, (q-b)x_2=\infty)$. So for the relations in N' we have the following cases:

1. $Ax_1=Bx_1+Cx_2$, $Dx_1+Ex_2=Fx_2$ and $(A-B)(F-E)\neq CD$

2. $Ax_1=Bx_2$, $Cx_1+Dx_2=Ex_1+Fx_2$ and $A(D-F)\neq B(E-C)$.

In the first case we have

$$\begin{aligned}(AF+BE)x_1&=F(Bx_1+Cx_2)+BEx_1\\&=FBx_1+C(Dx_1+Ex_2)+BEx_1\\&=FBx_1+CDx_1+E(Cx_2+Bx_1)\\&=(FB+CD+EA)x_1\end{aligned}$$

and similarly we can show

$$(AF+BE)x_2=(FB+CD+EA)x_2.$$

By assumption $AF + BE \neq FB + CD + EA$, hence we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}(AF + BE)x_1 &= (FB + CD + EA)x_1 = (AF + BE)x_2 \\ &= (FB + CD + EA)x_2 = \infty \in N'/[q]N'_+.\end{aligned}$$

So $\#N'/[q]N'_+ \leqslant (\min(AF + BE, FB + CD + EA))^2$.

In the second case we can show

$$\begin{aligned}(AD + BC)x_1 &= (BE + AF)x_1, \\ (AD + BC)x_2 &= (BE + AF)x_2,\end{aligned}$$

and by assumption $AD+BC \neq BE+AF$, so $\#N'/[q]N'_+ \leqslant (\min(AD+BC, BE+AF))^2$. □

Proposition 2.4.11. *Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/(nx_1+mx_2 = kx_1+lx_2, n_1x_1+m_1x_2 = k_1x_1+l_1x_2)$ be a binoid, where $n, m, k, l, n_1, m_1, k_1, l_1, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n+m > 0, k+l > 0, n_1+m_1 > 0, k_1+l_1 > 0$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $n > k, m < l, n_1 > k_1, m_1 < l_1$ and let*

$$(n - k, m - l) = i(A, -B), \tag{2.4}$$

$$(n_1 - k_1, m_1 - l_1) = j(A, -B) \tag{2.5}$$

where $i, j, A, B \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gcd(i, j) = 1$. If q is a large enough integer then we have

$$\#N/[q]N_+ = (a + b + \min(A, B))q + \text{Const},$$

where $a = \min(k, k_1), b = \min(m, m_1)$.

Proof. All the points (x, y) have a different equivalence class in $N/[q]N_+$, where $x < a$ or $y < b$. Hence we have

$$\#N/[q]N_+ = (a + b)q - ab + \#N',$$

where $N' = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty/((n - a)x_1 + (m - b)x_2 = (k - a)x_1 + (l - b)x_2, (n_1 - a)x_1 + (m_1 - b)x_2 = (k_1 - a)x_1 + (l_1 - b)x_2, (q - a)x_1 = \infty, (q - b)x_2 = \infty)$. From $\gcd(i, j) = 1$ there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $iu = jv \pm 1$. If we have

$$iu = jv + 1 \tag{2.6}$$

then $u(n - k, m - l) - v(n_1 - k_1, m_1 - l_1) = (iu - jv)(A, -B) = (A, -B)$. So it is easy to check that

$$(x, y) \sim (x + A, y - B),$$

where $x \geqslant v(n_1 - k_1) + k_1, y \geqslant B + b$ or $x \geqslant a, y \geqslant u(l - m) + m$. From (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$n - k = iA, l - m = iB, n_1 - k_1 = jA, l_1 - m_1 = jB,$$

and we also know $u(l - m) = uiB$, $v(n_1 - k_1) = vjA \overset{(2.6)}{=} (ui - 1)A$. So we can find some integer C such that

$$(x + a, y + CuiB + b) \sim (x + A + a, y + (Cui - 1)B + b) \sim \ldots$$

$$\sim (x + (Cui - 1)A + a, y + B + b) \sim (x + CuiA + a, y + b),$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, where for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we should have

$$x + kA + a \geqslant v(n_1 - k_1) + k_1$$

or

$$y + (Cui - k)B + b \geqslant u(l - m) + m.$$

So now it is easy see that $\#N/[q]N_+ = (a + b + \min(A, B))q + \text{Const}$.

For the case $nu+1 = mv$, the proof is exactly the same as in the case $nu = mv+1$. □

If we have more than 2 relations (vectors) then there are two cases: there exist two non-collinear vectors or all vectors are collinear. Then we can deduce similar results as above.

Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^3)^\infty/(nx_1 = mx_2+kx_3)$ be a binoid, where $n, m, k, q \in \mathbb{N}_+$. The equivalence relation is given by:

$$(a, b, c) \sim (a - n, b + m, c + k) \tag{2.7}$$

where $a \geqslant n$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$.

Also $(a, b, c) = \infty$ in $N/[q]N_+$ means that $(a, b, c) \sim (i, j, k)$ for some $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $i \geqslant q$ or $j \geqslant q$ or $k \geqslant q$.

Proposition 2.4.12. *Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^3)^\infty/(nx_1 = mx_2+kx_3)$ be a binoid, where $n, m, k, q \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Let $q \gg 0$ and write $q = ns + t, 0 \leqslant t < n$. Then we have the following results.*

1. *If $n < \max(m, k)$ then $\#N/[q]N_+ = nq^2$,*
2. *If $n > \max(m, k)$ then $\#N/[q]N_+ = (m + k - \frac{mk}{n})q^2 - \frac{mk}{n}t(n - t)$,*
3. *If $n = m$ and $n \geqslant k$ then*

$$\#N/[q]N_+ = nq^2 - \frac{t(n-t)(n-k)}{n}q - kt^2 + \frac{kt^3}{n},$$

Proof. 1. If $n < \max(m, k)$ then the points $(x, y, z) \in [0, n-1] \times [0, q-1] \times [0, q-1]$ are neither equivalent to ∞ nor one to each other. So $\#N/[q]N_+ = nq^2$.

2. If $n > \max(m, k)$ then all the points where $x < n$ are not equivalent to each other, so we only have to count the points that are not infinity. Hence all the points in $\{(x, y, z) : x < n, y < ms, z < q\}$ and $\{(x, y, z) : x < n, y < q, z < ks\}$ are not equivalent to ∞. But we have double counted the points in $\{(x, y, z) : x < n, y < ms, z < ks\}$. It is also not difficult to see that all the points $\{(x, y, z) : x < t, ms \leqslant y < m(s + 1), ks \leqslant z < q\}$ and $\{(x, y, z) : x < t, m(s + 1) \leqslant y < q, ks \leqslant z < k(s + 1)\}$ are not equivalent to ∞. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\#N/[q]N_+ &= |\{(x, y, z) : x < n, y < ms, z < q\}| \\
&\quad + |\{(x, y, z) : x < n, y < q, z < ks\}| \\
&\quad - |\{(x, y, z) : x < n, y < ms, z < ks\}| \\
&\quad + |\{(x, y, z) : x < t, ms \leqslant y < m(s + 1), ks \leqslant z < q\}| \\
&\quad + |\{(x, y, z) : x < t, m(s + 1) \leqslant y < q, ks \leqslant z < k(s + 1)\}| \\
&= nmsq + nksq - nmsks + mt(q - ks) + kt(q - m(s + 1)) \\
&= (m + k - \frac{mk}{n})q^2 - \frac{mk}{n}t(n - t).
\end{aligned}$$

3. From the above counting we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\#N/[q]N_+ &= |\{(x,y,z) : x < n, y < ns, z < q\}| \\ &\quad + |\{(x,y,z) : x < n, y < q, z < ks\}| \\ &\quad - |\{(x,y,z) : x < n, y < ns, z < ks\}| \\ &\quad + |\{(x,y,z) : x < t, ns \leqslant y < q, ks \leqslant z < q\}| \\ &= n^2sq + nksq - n^2ks^2 + t^2(q - ks) \\ &= nq^2 - \frac{t(n-t)(n-k)}{n}q - kt^2 + \frac{kt^3}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

□

2.5 Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of integral, cancellative, torsion-free and normal binoids

Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid. Then we can assume that $(\operatorname{diff} N)^\times = \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $d = \operatorname{rank}(N)$, and $C = \mathbb{R}_+N^\bullet \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is the rational cone generated by N. From Chapter 1, Section 1.4, we know that

$$C = H^+_{\sigma_1} \cap \cdots \cap H^+_{\sigma_s}$$

where σ_i are the supporting linear forms.

Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid. Then the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of the binoid algebra $K[N]$ is a rational number, which was already shown in the papers [Bru05], [Wat00], [Eto02]. So now we will prove a similar theorem. The proof is similar to the proof of K. Eto.

Theorem 2.5.1. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative, torsion-free and normal binoid. If $\mathfrak{n}$ is an N_+-primary ideal of N then*

$$e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N) = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#N/[q]\mathfrak{n}}{q^{\dim N}} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

In particular it exists.

Proof. We can assume that $(\operatorname{diff} N)^\times = \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $d = \dim N$. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \subseteq N$ be the minimal set of generators of N. We know that $\mathfrak{n}$ is finitely generated so let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_t\}$ be the set of generators of $\mathfrak{n}$. The ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ is N_+-primary so there exist $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha_i v_i \in \mathfrak{n}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, which means $\alpha_i v_i \in \langle a_1, \ldots, a_t\rangle$. For every $0 \neq u \in C = \mathbb{R}_+N^\bullet$ we have $u = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i$, $c_i \geqslant 0$, and there exists j such that $c_j > 0$. So we have

$$\alpha_j u = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_j c_i v_i = \alpha_j v_j + n \in a_l + C,$$

for some l, where $n \in N$. Hence the shifted cones $a_i + C$, $i = 1, \ldots, t$ define inside C a bounded region which we denote by B, i.e.

$$B = C \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^t a_i + C.$$

The volume of B is a rational number as it is given by rational cones.

Let q be some positive integer and let us denote the sets

$$\frac{1}{q}M = \left\{ \left(\frac{n_1}{q}, \ldots, \frac{n_d}{q} \right) \;\middle|\; (n_1, \ldots, n_d) \in M \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

for every binoid $M \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$. There is a binoid isomorphism between M and $\frac{1}{q}M$, and $\operatorname{diff} \frac{1}{q}M = \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}^d$. So it is easy to see that

$$\#N/[q]\mathfrak{n} = \#N/\bigcup_{i=1}^{t}(qa_i + N) = \#\Big(\frac{1}{q}N/\bigcup_{i=1}^{t}(a_i + \frac{1}{q}N)\Big).$$

By normality of N we have $\frac{1}{q}N^\bullet = \mathbb{R}_+N^\bullet \cap \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}^d = C \cap \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}^d$. So the elements of $\Big(\frac{1}{q}N/\bigcup_{i=1}^{t}(a_i + \frac{1}{q}N)\Big)^\bullet$ are the elements of $B \cap \frac{1}{q}\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Hence the number of elements belonging to the set $\frac{1}{q}N/\bigcup_{i=1}^{t}(a_i + \frac{1}{q}N)$ divided by q^d tends to the volume of B as q tends to infinity. Thus

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#N/[q]\mathfrak{n}}{q^d} = \operatorname{vol}(B) \in \mathbb{Q}. \qquad \square$$



2.6 Reduction to the integral and reduced case

In this section we show how to reduce the existence and rationality of the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity to the integral and the reduced case.

Lemma 2.6.1. *Suppose that for all finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, integral and torsion-free binoids of dimension less or equal to d the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity exists. Then*

1. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, torsion-free, reduced binoid of dimension d and let $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}$ be all minimal prime ideals of dimension d. Then the*

Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of N exists, and

$$e_{HK}(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} e_{HK}(N/\mathfrak{p}_i).$$

2. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative binoid of dimension d and torsion-free except for nilpotent elements. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{HKF}(N, q) \leqslant Cq^{\dim N},$$

for all q, where C is some constant.

Proof. 1. We have finitely many minimal prime ideals $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ and $n \geqslant s$. From reducedness we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathrm{nil}(N) = \{\infty\}$. If $f \notin [q]N_+$ and $f \in [q]N_+ \cup \mathfrak{p}_i$ for all i, then $f \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_i = \{\infty\}$, which means $N \setminus [q]N_+ \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} N \setminus ([q]N_+ \cup \mathfrak{p}_i)$. Also by Proposition 1.5.8 and

$$N \setminus [q]N_+ \cong (N/[q]N_+) \setminus \{\infty\},$$
$$N \setminus ([q]N_+ \cup \mathfrak{p}_i) \cong (N/([q]N_+ \cup \mathfrak{p}_i)) \setminus \{\infty\}$$

we can conclude that

$$N \setminus [q]N_+ \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (N/\mathfrak{p}_i) \setminus [q](N/\mathfrak{p}_i)_+.$$

But we know that $N/\mathfrak{p}_i$ is finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, torsion-free and integral so by assumption we know that

$$\mathrm{HKF}(N/\mathfrak{p}_i, q) = e_{HK}(N/\mathfrak{p}_i)q^{d_i} + O(q^{d_i - 1}),$$

where $d_i := \dim N/\mathfrak{p}_i$. Hence only the minimal prime ideals with dimension $d_i = d$ are important and we can write

$$\#N/[q]N_+ \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{s} e_{HK}(N/\mathfrak{p}_i)q^d + O(q^{d-1}). \tag{2.8}$$

Let us denote $H := N/[q]N_+$. Then

$$N/([q]N_+ \cup \mathfrak{p}_i) = (H \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i) \cup \{\infty\}$$

and

$$N/([q]N_+ \cup \mathfrak{p}_i \cup \mathfrak{p}_j) = \big((H \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i) \cap (H \setminus \mathfrak{p}_j)\big) \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Hence from set theory we know that

$$|H \setminus \{\infty\}| \geqslant |\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} H \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i| \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} |H \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i| - \sum_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n} |(H \setminus \mathfrak{p}_i) \cap (H \setminus \mathfrak{p}_j)|.$$

So from here we have

$$\#N/[q]N_+ \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \#N/([q]N_+ \cup \mathfrak{p}_i) - \sum_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n} \#N/([q]N_+ \cup \mathfrak{p}_i \cup \mathfrak{p}_j).$$

But we know that $N/(\mathfrak{p}_i \cup \mathfrak{p}_j)$ is finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, torsion-free and integral so by Proposition 1.5.8 and the assumption we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i\neq j} \#N/([q]N_+ \cup \mathfrak{p}_i \cup \mathfrak{p}_j) &= \sum_{i\neq j} \mathrm{HKF}(N/(\mathfrak{p}_i \cup \mathfrak{p}_j), q) \\ &= \sum_{i\neq j} \Big(e_{HK}(N/(\mathfrak{p}_i \cup \mathfrak{p}_j)) q^{d_{ij}} + O(q^{d_{ij}-1}) \Big),\end{aligned}$$

where d_{ij} is the dimension of $N/(\mathfrak{p}_i \cup \mathfrak{p}_j)$. By Proposition 1.8.4 we also know that $d_{ij} < \min\{d_i, d_j\}$. So we have

$$\#N/[q]N_+ \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{s} e_{HK}(N/\mathfrak{p}_i) q^d + O(q^{d-1}). \tag{2.9}$$

Hence from (2.8) and (2.9) we will get

$$e_{HK}(N) = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{HKF}(N,q)}{q^d} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} e_{HK}(N/\mathfrak{p}_i).$$

2. We know that $\mathrm{nil}(N)$ is an ideal of N and $N_{\mathrm{red}} := N/\mathrm{nil}(N)$ is reduced. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ be the generators of $\mathrm{nil}(N)$ and $k_i a_i = \infty$ but $(k_i - 1)a_i \neq \infty$, where $k_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$. We have a finite decreasing sequence

$$N = M_0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_{t-1} \longrightarrow M_t = N_{\mathrm{red}},$$

where $M_{k_0+\cdots+k_i+j} = M_{k_0+\cdots+k_i+j-1}/((k_{i+1} - j)a_{i+1}), 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k_{i+1} - 1, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant s-1$ and $k_0 = 0$. Here we have $2(k_{i+1} - j)a_{i+1} = \infty$ in $M_{k_0+\cdots+k_i+j}$. So in particular there exists a sequence such that

$$M_{i+1} = M_i/(f_i), 2f_i = \infty \text{ in } M_i, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1$$

and $M_0 = N, M_t = N_{\mathrm{red}}$. Hence there exists also such a sequence with this property of minimal length l. We will use induction on l to prove this Lemma.

For $l = 0$ we are in the reduced situation and the statement follows from the case above. So suppose that l is arbitrary and that for smaller l the statement is already proven.

Now suppose we have a sequence with minimal length l such that

$$M_{i+1} = M_i/(f_i), 2f_i = \infty \text{ in } M_i, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant l-1$$

and $M_0 = N, M_l = N_{\mathrm{red}}$. So this means that we have a strongly exact sequence

$$\infty \longrightarrow (f) + N \hookrightarrow N \twoheadrightarrow N/(f) \longrightarrow \infty,$$

where $f = f_0$. Hence $M := N/(f)$ has a length of a decreasing sequence with the described property smaller than l, so by the induction hypothesis we know that $\#M/[q]M_+ \leqslant Dq^d$ for some constant D. By Corollary 1.7.7 we also have

$$\#N/[q]N_+ + \#\big((f) \cap [q]N_+\big)/((f) + [q]N_+) = \#((f)+N)/((f)+[q]N_+) + \#M/([q]N_+ + M).$$

Since $2f = \infty$, we know that f annihilates the N-set $(f) + N$. So $(f) + N$ is a finitely generated M-set (f is the only M-set generator of $(f) + N$). Hence by Lemma 1.5.11 we have a surjective homomorphism $M \longrightarrow (f) + N$, which implies

$$\#((f) + N)/((f) + [q]N_+) \leqslant \#M/[q]M_+.$$

But we also know that $[q]M_+ \subseteq [q]N_+ + M$, so we have that

$$\#M/([q]N_+ + M) \leqslant \#M/[q]M_+.$$

From here we can conclude that

$$\#N/[q]N_+ \leqslant \#M/[q]M_+ + \#M/([q]N_+ + M) \leqslant 2Dq^d. \qquad \square$$

The same reduction steps hold when we allow torsion.

Lemma 2.6.2. *Suppose that for all finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative and integral binoids of dimension less or equal to d the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity exists. Then*

1. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative and reduced binoid of dimension d and let $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}$ be all minimal prime ideals of dimension d. Then the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of N exists, and*

$$e_{HK}(N) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} e_{HK}(N/\mathfrak{p}_i).$$

2. *Let N be a finitely generated, cancellative and semipositive binoid of dimension d. Then*

$$\mathrm{HKF}(N, q) \leqslant Cq^d,$$

for all q, where C is some constant.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of Lemma 2.6.1. $\square$

Lemma 2.6.3. *Suppose that for all finitely generated, cancellative, semipositive, integral binoids of dimension less than d, the Hilbert-Kunz function is bounded by Cq^{d-1} for some constant C. Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, integral binoid of dimension d and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be an N_+-primary ideal of N. Let M be a finite N-binoid which is birational over N. Suppose that $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n} + M, M)$ exists. Then $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N)$ exists and it is equal to $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n} + M, M)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.7.5 applied to the N-sets $S = N, T = M$ and the ideal $J = [q]\mathfrak{n}$ we have the strongly exact sequence

$$\infty \longrightarrow (N \cap ([q]\mathfrak{n} + M))/[q]\mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow N/[q]\mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow M/([q]\mathfrak{n} + M) \longrightarrow (M/N)/([q]\mathfrak{n} + M/N) \longrightarrow \infty.$$

If $a \in M_+$ and $m_1, \ldots, m_r$ are N-generators of M then $a = m_i + n$, where $n \in N$. Hence from the property that $\mathfrak{n}$ is an N_+-primary ideal of N, we can conclude that $ka = km_i + kn \in \mathfrak{n} + M$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This means that $\mathfrak{n} + M$ is an M_+-primary ideal. So, by Proposition 1.7.6, we know that

$$\#(N \cap ([q]\mathfrak{n} + M))/[q]\mathfrak{n} + \#M/([q]\mathfrak{n} + M) = \#N/[q]\mathfrak{n} + \#(M/N)/([q]\mathfrak{n} + M/N)$$

and we have

$$\#M/([q]\mathfrak{n}+M) \leqslant \#N/[q]\mathfrak{n} + \#(M/N)/([q]\mathfrak{n}+M/N).$$

By Proposition 1.2.19 we know that there exists $b \in N$ such that $b+M \subseteq N$, and it is easy to check that $I := b+M \neq \{\infty\}$ is an ideal of N. We also know that I annihilates M/N, so by Lemma 1.2.7, M/N is an N/I-set and N/I is a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative binoid and torsion-free up to nilpotence. So by Lemma 2.2.5 we have that

$$\#(M/N)/([q]\mathfrak{n}+M/N) = \mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, M/N, q) = \mathrm{HKF}^{N/I}((I\cup\mathfrak{n})/I, M/N, q)$$

and by Proposition 1.8.3 we know that $\dim N/I < d$, so from the assumptions and by Lemma 2.6.1 we get

$$\mathrm{HKF}^{N/I}((I\cup\mathfrak{n})/I, M/N, q) \leqslant Cq^{d-1}.$$

Hence we have

$$\#M/([q]\mathfrak{n}+M) - Cq^{d-1} \leqslant \#N/[q]\mathfrak{n}. \tag{2.10}$$

We know that $I = b+M$ is isomorphic to M, because N is an integral binoid. So, by Corollary 1.7.7, we have that

$$\#N/[q]\mathfrak{n} + \#(I\cap[q]\mathfrak{n})/(I+[q]\mathfrak{n}) = \#I/(I+[q]\mathfrak{n}) + \#(N/I)/([q]\mathfrak{n}+N/I)$$

and from here we have

$$\#N/[q]\mathfrak{n} \leqslant \#I/(I+[q]\mathfrak{n}) + \#(N/I)/([q]\mathfrak{n}+N/I).$$

But we know that $\#(N/I)/([q]\mathfrak{n}+N/I) = \mathrm{HKF}(\mathfrak{n}, N/I, q)$ and I annihilates N/I. Similarly we can show that

$$\mathrm{HKF}^{N}(\mathfrak{n}, N/I, q) = \mathrm{HKF}^{N/I}((I\cup\mathfrak{n})/I, N/I, q) \leqslant C'q^{d-1},$$

where C' is some constant. Since $I \cong M$, we have that $\#I/(I+[q]\mathfrak{n}) = \#M/([q]\mathfrak{n}+M)$, so we can conclude that

$$\#N/[q]\mathfrak{n} \leqslant \#M/([q]\mathfrak{n}+M) + C'q^{d-1}.$$

From the last result and (2.10) we have that

$$e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N) = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#N/[q]\mathfrak{n}}{q^d} = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#M/([q]\mathfrak{n}+M)}{q^d}.$$

From Lemma 1.1.15 we have $\#M/([q]\mathfrak{n}+M) = \#M/[q](\mathfrak{n}+M)$, which means that

$$e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N) = e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}+M, M).$$

□

2.7 Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of integral, cancellative, torsion-free binoids

In this Section we will prove existence and rationality of the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of integral, cancellative, torsion-free binoids. This result is for fixed positive characteristic essentially due to K. Eto, see [Eto02, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 2.7.1. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, cancellative, torsion-free and integral binoid. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be an N_+-primary ideal of N. Then $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N)$ exists and this is a rational number.*

Proof. We work with the normalization $\hat{N}$ of N, which is finite over N and birational over N. We also can show that $\mathfrak{n}+\hat{N}$ is an $\hat{N}_+$-primary ideal. So by Theorem 2.5.1 we know that $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}+\hat{N}, \hat{N})$ exists and it is a rational number. So, by Lemma 2.6.3, we have

$$e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N) = e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}+\hat{N}, \hat{N}). \qquad \square$$

We also can prove this Theorem using the convex geometrical approach to commutative, finitely generated, positive, cancellative, torsion-free, integral binoid. We give a second proof for this in the case $\mathfrak{n} = N_+$ and we use some easy Lemmas from Section 3.3 below.

Proof. We will use induction on $d = \dim N$ to prove this theorem.

Let $d = 1$. Then our binoid becomes a numerical semigroup so, by Proposition 3.2.3 $\#N/[q]N_+ = nq+1$, for $q \gg 0$, where n is the smallest positive element of N. Hence $e_{HK}(N)$ exists and it is equal to $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we will assume that our theorem is true for every binoid fulfilling the assumptions and having smaller dimension than $\dim N = d$. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\} \subseteq N$ be a set of generators for N. We know that $\hat{N}^\bullet = \mathbb{R}_+N^\bullet \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $C := \mathbb{R}_+N^\bullet = H^+_{\sigma_1} \cap \cdots \cap H^+_{\sigma_s}$, where σ_i are linear integer forms. By Proposition 1.4.10 there exists $n \in N^\bullet$ such that $n + \hat{N} \subseteq N$ and $I := n + \hat{N}$ is a finitely generated ideal of N and of $\hat{N}$. We can write

$$N = (N \cap I) \uplus (N \setminus I)$$

and from here we can write

$$N/[q]N_+ = (N \cap I)/([q]N_+ \cap I) \uplus \big((N \setminus I) \setminus ([q]N_+ \cap (N \setminus I))\big).$$

We know that $N \cap I = I$ and from Lemma, 3.3.6 we have $N \setminus I = \bigcup_{j\in J} T_j$, where $J = J_1 \uplus \cdots \uplus J_s$ is a finite index set, $T_j = N \cap H_{i,\alpha}$ and $H_{i,\alpha} := \{y \mid \sigma_i(y) = \alpha\}$ is a hyperplane parallel to the facet hyperplane H_{σ_i}. By Lemma 3.3.4, we know that $N_i := H_{\sigma_i} \cap N$ (we will think that ∞ is added) is a finitely generated binoid with a smaller dimension and also, by Lemma 3.3.5, that the T_j's, $j \in J_i$, are finitely generated N_i-sets. Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\#(N \setminus I) \setminus ([q]N_+ \cap (N \setminus I)) &= \#\bigcup_{j\in J} T_j/([q]N_+ \cap \bigcup_{j\in J} T_j) \\
&\leqslant \sum_{j\in J} \#T_j/([q]N_+ \cap \bigcup_{j\in J} T_j) \\
&\leqslant \sum_{j\in J} \#T_j/([q]N_+ \cap T_j) \\
&= \sum_{j\in J} \#T_j/(([q]N_+ + N) \cap H_{i,\alpha}) \\
&= \sum_{j\in J} \#T_j/([q]N_+ \cap H_{i,\alpha} + T_j) \\
&= \sum_{j\in J} \#T_j/([q](N_i)_+ + T_j).
\end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis

$$e_{HK}(N_i) = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#N_i/[q](N_i)_+}{q^{\dim N_i}}$$

exists and so, by Theorem 2.2.8, we have that

$$\#(N \setminus I) \setminus ([q]N_+ \cap (N \setminus I)) \leqslant \sum_{j\in J} \#T_j/([q]N_{i+} + T_j) = \sum_{j\in J} \mathrm{HKF}(T_j, q) \leqslant \beta q^{d-1}$$

for some constant β. Similarly we can prove that

$$\#(\hat{N} \setminus I) \setminus (([q]N_+ + \hat{N}) \cap (\hat{N} \setminus I)) \leqslant \gamma q^{d-1}, \tag{2.11}$$

where γ is some constant. Hence, we can also write

$$\hat{N}/([q]N_+ + \hat{N}) = (\hat{N} \cap I)/(([q]N_+ + \hat{N}) \cap I) \uplus (\hat{N} \setminus I) \setminus (([q]N_+ + \hat{N}) \cap (\hat{N} \setminus I))$$

and so by Theorem 2.5.1 and by (2.11) we can conclude that

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#(\hat{N} \cap I)/(([q]N_+ + \hat{N}) \cap I)}{q^d} = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#\hat{N}/([q]N_+ + \hat{N})}{q^d}$$

is a rational number.

Let us check that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (qv_i + I) \subseteq [q]N_+ \cap I \subseteq ([q]N_+ + \hat{N}) \cap I.$$

If $qv_i + a$ with $a \in I$, then $qv_i + a \in I$ and $qv_i + a \in [q]N_+$, so the first inclusion is clear. The second inclusion is clear from $[q]N_+ \subseteq [q]N_+ + \hat{N}$. Hence we have inequalities

$$\#(N \cap I)/\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (qv_i + I) \geqslant \#(N \cap I)/(([q]N_+ + \hat{N}) \cap I).$$

But we know that $N \cap I = I \cong \hat{N}$, so

$$\#(N \cap I)/\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (qv_i + I) = \#\hat{N}/([q]N_+ + \hat{N})$$

and

$$\#(N \cap I)/(([q]N_+ + \hat{N}) \cap I) = \#(\hat{N} \cap I)/(([q]N_+ + \hat{N}) \cap I).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#(N \cap I)/([q]N_+ \cap I)}{q^d}$$

exists, because of the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#\hat{N}/([q]N_+ + \hat{N})}{q^d} &= \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#(N \cap I)/\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} (qv_i + I)}{q^d} \\
&\geqslant \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#(N \cap I)/([q]N_+ \cap I)}{q^d} \\
&\geqslant \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#(N \cap I)/(([q]N_+ + \hat{N}) \cap I)}{q^d} \\
&= \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#\hat{N}/([q]N_+ + \hat{N})}{q^d}.
\end{aligned}$$

So from this and (2.9) we can conclude that

$$e_{HK}(N) = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#N/[q]N_+}{q^d} = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#\hat{N}/([q]N_+ + \hat{N})}{q^d} \in \mathbb{Q}. \qquad \square$$

Example 2.7.2. Let $N = (\mathbb{N}^3)^\infty/(ae_1 + be_2 = ce_3)$ be a binoid and $\gcd(b,c) = 1$. By condition $\gcd(b,c) = 1$ there exists $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $-ub + vc = \pm 1$. Let us assume $-ub + vc = 1$. Then we can think of our binoid as generated by vectors $x = (0,1), y = (c, au), z = (b, av)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2$. So we have $ax+by = cz$. Because of $(0,1) \in N$ we have $(c,0), (b,0) \in N$ and by condition $\gcd(b,c) = 1$ we get $(1,0) \in N$, hence $\operatorname{diff} N = \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Let $K = (0,1), B = (b, av), D = (c, au), F = (b,0), G = (c,0), L = (0, av), H = (0, au), I = (c, au+1)$ and $A = \overleftrightarrow{KI} \cap l, C = \overleftrightarrow{OD} \cap l$, where l is the line $x = b$. A point E is on the line $x = c$ such that $\overleftrightarrow{BE} \parallel \overleftrightarrow{OD}$.

1. If $c > \max(a,b)$ then $c \geqslant b+1$ and $u = \frac{vc-1}{b} \geqslant \frac{vb+v-1}{b} = v + \frac{v-1}{b} \geqslant v$.



   By direct computation we can show that $d(C,F) = \dfrac{abu}{c}$, so $d(B,C) = av - \dfrac{abu}{c} = a(v - \dfrac{bu}{c}) = \dfrac{a}{c} < 1$. Which means, via Theorem 2.5.1, that the bounded region whose area we have to compute is $OKABEDO$. Hence

$$e_{HK}(N) = c - (1 - \frac{a}{c})(c - b) = a + b - \frac{ab}{c}.$$

2. If $b < c \leqslant a$ then $d(B,C) = \dfrac{a}{c} \geqslant 1$. So B is not in between of A and C. Hence the bounded region is $OKID$ and $e_{HK}(N) = c$.

3. Let $c \leqslant b$. Then also in this case the bounded region is $OKID$ and $e_{HK}(N) = c$.

Remark 2.7.3. We know the answer in this example also from the Proposition 2.4.12.

2.8 Cancellative, torsion-free binoids

Theorem 2.8.1. *Let N be a commutative, finitely generated, positive, cancellative, torsion-free and reduced binoid and let $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_s\}$ be all minimal prime ideals with dimension $d =$*

$\dim N$. *Then*

$$e_{HK}(N) = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{HKF}(N,q)}{q^d} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} e_{HK}(N/\mathfrak{p}_i)$$

exists and this is a rational number.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.6.1 (1) and Theorem 2.7.1. □

Definition 2.8.2. Let $\triangle$ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set V. The binoid associated to $\triangle$ is given by $F(V)/I_\triangle =: M_\triangle$, where $I_\triangle$ is the ideal $\{f \in F(V) \mid \mathrm{supp}(f) \not\subseteq \triangle\}$ of the free binoid $F(V) \cong (\mathbb{N}^{|V|})^\infty$. A binoid M is called a *simplicial binoid* if there exists a simplicial complex $\triangle$ such that $M \cong M_\triangle$. Then $\triangle$ is unique and called the *underlying simplicial complex* of M.

Corollary 2.8.3. *Let $\triangle$ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set V and let $M_\triangle$ be the corresponding simplicial binoid. Then*

$$e_{HK}(M_\triangle) = k,$$

where k is the number of facets of maximal dimension.

Proof. By [Boet15, Theorem 6.5.8], $M_\triangle$ is a finitely generated, positive, cancellative, torsion-free, reduced binoid. The faces of $\triangle$ correspond to the prime ideals of $M_\triangle$ via

$$F \longmapsto \langle V \setminus F\rangle = \mathfrak{p}_F$$

and the facets correspond to the minimal prime ideals. Moreover, we have

$$M_\triangle/\mathfrak{p}_F = (\mathbb{N}^{|F|})^\infty$$

and $e_{HK}((\mathbb{N}^m)^\infty) = 1$ by Example 2.2.4. So if $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ are the facets of maximal dimension then $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}_{F_i}, i = 1, \ldots, k$ are the minimal prime ideals of maximal dimension and hence by Theorem 2.8.1 we have

$$e_{HK}(M_\triangle) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{HK}(M_\triangle/\mathfrak{p}_\mathrm{i}) = k.$$ □

Lemma 2.8.4. *Let N be a commutative, finitely generated, positive, cancellative binoid which is torsion-free up to nilpotence. Then* $\mathrm{HKF}(N,q) \leqslant Cq^d$*,where C is some constant and* $d = \dim N$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.7.1 and Lemma 2.6.1 (2). □

Corollary 2.8.5. *Let N be a finitely generated, integral, positive, cancellative and torsion-free binoid and let $I \neq \infty$ be an ideal of N. Then* $\mathrm{HKF}(N/I,q) \leqslant Cq^d$*,where C is some constant and* $d = \dim N/I$.

Proof. We know by [Boet15, Lemma 2.1.20], that N/I is torsion-free up to nilpotence and finitely generated, positive, cancellative. So by Lemma 2.8.4 we have the result. □

Lemma 2.8.6. *Let N be a commutative, finitely generated, positive, cancellative, integral and torsion-free binoid and let $I \neq \infty$ be an ideal of N. Then $e_{HK}(I)$ exists and is equal to $e_{HK}(N)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 1.7.7 we have

$$\#N/[q]N_+ + \#I \cap [q]N_+/(I + [q]N_+) = \#I/(I + [q]N_+) + \#(N/I)/[q](N/I)_+.$$

Also by Corollary 2.8.5 we know that $\mathrm{HKF}(N/I, q) \leqslant Dq^{d'}$, where $d' = \dim N/I < d$. Hence from (2.11) we get

$$\#I/(I + [q]N_+) \geqslant \mathrm{HKF}(N, q) - \#(N/I)/[q](N/I)_+ \geqslant \mathrm{HKF}(N, q) - Dq^{d'}. \tag{2.12}$$

Let $\infty \neq f \in I$. Then we have an exact sequence $\infty \to (f) \to I \to I/(f) \to \infty$. So we have

$$\#I/(I + [q]N_+) \leqslant \#(f)/((f) + [q]N_+) + \#(I/(f))/[q](I/(f))_+.$$

By Lemma 2.2.5, the N-set $I/(f)$ is an $N/(f)$-set. By Corollary 2.8.5 we know that

$$\mathrm{HKF}(N/(f), q) \leqslant Dq^{d''},$$

where $d'' = \dim N/(f) < d$ and by Theorem 2.2.8 we have $\mathrm{HKF}(I/(f), q) \leqslant \alpha q^{d''}$. Hence we can conclude that

$$\#I/(I + [q]N_+) \leqslant \#(f)/((f) + [q]N_+) + \alpha q^{d''}. \tag{2.13}$$

But we know that $(f) = f + N$ is isomorphic to N as an N-set so

$$\#(f)/((f) + [q]N_+) = \mathrm{HKF}(N, q).$$

Now by (2.12) and (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{HK}(N) &= \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{HKF}(N, q) - Dq^{d'}}{q^d} \\ &\leqslant \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\#I/(I + [q]N_+)}{q^d} = e_{HK}(I) \\ &\leqslant \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{HKF}(N, q) + \alpha q^{d''}}{q^d} = e_{HK}(N) \end{aligned}$$

□

2.9 Integral and cancellative binoids with torsion

Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative and integral binoid. We know that

$$N \subseteq \operatorname{diff} N \cong (\mathbb{Z}^m \times T)^\infty = (\mathbb{Z}^m)^\infty \wedge T^\infty,$$

where smashing is over the trivial binoid $\mathbb{T} = \{0, \infty\}$. Here T is the torsion part of the difference group, which is a finite commutative group, hence $T = \mathbb{Z}/k_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/k_l$. We will write elements $x \in N^\bullet$ as $x = f \wedge t$ with $f \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $t \in T$. This representation is unique.

The relation $\sim_{\mathrm{tf}}$ on N given by $a \sim_{\mathrm{tf}} b$ if $na = nb$ for some $n \geqslant 1$ is a congruence and $N_{\mathrm{tf}} := N/\sim_{\mathrm{tf}}$ is a torsion-free binoid which we call the *torsion-freefication*. If $F = \{f \in (\mathbb{Z}^m)^\infty \mid \exists t \in T, f \wedge t \in N\}$ then $N_{\mathrm{tf}} \cong F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^m$. Hence we may assume $N \subseteq F \wedge T^\infty$ and $f \wedge t_1 \sim_{\mathrm{tf}} g \wedge t_2$ if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n(f \wedge t_1) = n(g \wedge t_2)$, which means $f = g \in F$. We define the subsets $F \wedge t := \{g \wedge t \mid g \in F\}$, $F_t := \{f \in F \mid f \wedge t \in M\}$ and $M_t := F_t \wedge t$.

Proposition 2.9.1. *Let F be a finitely generated, positive, cancellative, integral and torsion-free binoid. If T is a finite group then $e_{HK}(F \wedge T^\infty) = e_{HK}(F) \cdot |T|$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.7.1, Example 2.3.3 and Theorem 2.2.10. □

In the following we write $N \subseteq F \wedge T^\infty$, where $F \cong N_{\mathrm{tf}}$, T a finite group and $\operatorname{diff} N = \operatorname{diff} F \wedge T^\infty$. The strategy is to relate the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of N with that of $F \wedge T^\infty$.

Lemma 2.9.2. *Let F be a binoid, T^∞ be a group binoid. Then we have a bijection between ideals of F and ideals of $F \wedge T^\infty$. The F_+-primary ideals correspond to $(F \wedge T^\infty)_+$-primary ideals.*

Proof. We have an inclusion

$$F \xrightarrow{i} F \wedge T^\infty,\ f \longmapsto f \wedge 0.$$

So we can consider for an ideal in F its extended ideal in $F \wedge T^\infty$, in other words we use the map $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto \mathfrak{a} + F \wedge T^\infty$. For ideal generators $f_j \wedge t_j, j \in J$, in $F \wedge T^\infty$ we have

$$\langle f_j \wedge t_j \mid j \in J \rangle = \langle f_j \wedge 0 \mid j \in J \rangle \subseteq F \wedge T^\infty,$$

because $0 \wedge t_j$ are units. Hence we have the map $\mathfrak{b} \mapsto i^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$, where $\mathfrak{b}$ is an ideal of $F \wedge T^\infty$, which is inverse to the extension map.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq F$ be an F_+-primary ideal and $f \wedge t \in (F \wedge T^\infty)_+$. Then there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $lf \in \mathfrak{p}$, so $l(f \wedge t) = lf \wedge lt = (lf \wedge 0) + (0 \wedge lt) \in \mathfrak{p} + F \wedge T^\infty$, because $0 \wedge lt$ is a unit.

Now let $\mathfrak{q}$ be an $F \wedge T^\infty_+$-primary ideal and $f \in F_+$. Then $f \wedge 0 \in F \wedge T^\infty$ is not a unit, so there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m(f \wedge 0) = mf \wedge 0 \in \mathfrak{q}$. Hence $mf \in i^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$. □

Lemma 2.9.3. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, integral binoid. If $F = N_{\mathrm{tf}}$ and T is the torsion subgroup of* $\operatorname{diff} N$ *then $F \wedge T^\infty$ is finite and birational over N.*

Proof. We can assume that $\operatorname{diff} N = (\mathbb{Z}^d)^\infty \wedge T^\infty$, where $d = \dim N$, $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$ is a finite abelian group. We know $F \wedge T^\infty \subseteq \operatorname{diff} N$, so it is clear that $F \wedge T^\infty$ is birational over N. If $f \wedge t \in (F \wedge T^\infty)^\bullet$ then there exists $t' \in T$ such that $f \wedge t' \in N$ and

$$m(f \wedge t) = mf \wedge mt = mf \wedge 0 = mf \wedge mt' = m(f \wedge t') \in N, \tag{2.14}$$

which means $F \wedge T^\infty$ is pure integral over N. Let $f_i \wedge t_i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ be the generators of N, then $\{f_i \wedge t_j, \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m\}$ will give us an N-generating system of $F \wedge T^\infty$. So it means that $F \wedge T^\infty$ is finite over N. □

Note that the notion birational makes sense though the corresponding binoid algebras are not integral in general.

Lemma 2.9.4. *Let F be a finitely generated, positive, cancellative, torsion-free, integral binoid, T^∞ be a torsion group binoid and $\mathfrak{p}$ be an F_+-primary ideal of F. Then*

$$\mathrm{HKF}^{F \wedge T^\infty}(\mathfrak{p} + F \wedge T^\infty, F \wedge T^\infty, q) = |T| \cdot \mathrm{HKF}^F(\mathfrak{p}, F, q).$$

In particular, the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity exists and

$$e_{HK}^{F \wedge T^\infty}(\mathfrak{p} + F \wedge T^\infty, F \wedge T^\infty) = |T| \cdot e_{HK}^F(\mathfrak{p}, F).$$

Proof. For every $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we have by Lemma 1.6.13

$$F \wedge T^\infty/([q]\mathfrak{p} \wedge T^\infty \cup F \wedge (\infty)) = F \wedge T^\infty/[q]\mathfrak{p} \wedge T^\infty \cong F/[q]\mathfrak{p} \wedge T^\infty,$$

and $[q]\mathfrak{p} \wedge T^\infty = [q]\mathfrak{p} + F \wedge T^\infty$. Hence

$$F \wedge T^\infty/([q]\mathfrak{p} + F \wedge T^\infty) \cong F/[q]\mathfrak{p} \wedge T^\infty,$$

which means

$$\mathrm{HKF}^{F\wedge T^\infty}(\mathfrak{p} + F \wedge T^\infty, F \wedge T^\infty, q) = |T| \cdot \mathrm{HKF}^F(\mathfrak{p}, F, q).$$

The existence of the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity follows from Theorem 2.7.1. □

Let N be a binoid with $N \subseteq F \wedge T^\infty$, where $F \cong N_{\mathrm{tf}}$, T a finite group. Then we have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathrm{F} \\ & & \Big\downarrow i \\ N & \longrightarrow & F \wedge T^\infty \end{array}$$

where $i(f) = f \wedge 0$.

Theorem 2.9.5. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, integral binoid and $\mathfrak{n}$ be an N_+-primary ideal of N. Then*

$$e^N_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N) = |T| \cdot e^F_{HK}(\mathfrak{m}, F),$$

where $F = N_{\mathrm{tf}}$, T is the torsion subgroup of diff N *and $\mathfrak{m} = i^{-1}(\mathfrak{n} + F \wedge T^\infty)$ is an ideal of F.*

Proof. We know that $\mathfrak{n} + F \wedge T^\infty$ is a primary ideal and by Lemma 2.9.2 that $\mathfrak{m}$ is an F_+-primary ideal of F. So by Lemma 2.9.4 we know that $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{m} + F \wedge T^\infty, F \wedge T^\infty)$ exists and is equal to $|T| \cdot e^F_{HK}(\mathfrak{m}, F)$. By Lemma 2.9.2 we know that $\mathfrak{m} + F \wedge T^\infty = \mathfrak{n} + F \wedge T^\infty$ so

$$e^{F\wedge T^\infty}_{HK}(\mathfrak{n} + F \wedge T^\infty, F \wedge T^\infty) = e^{F\wedge T^\infty}_{HK}(\mathfrak{m} + F \wedge T^\infty, F \wedge T^\infty).$$

Because of Lemma 2.9.3 and using induction over the dimension we can apply Lemma 2.6.3. Hence $e^N_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N)$ exists and is equal to

$$e^{F\wedge T^\infty}_{HK}(\mathfrak{n} + F \wedge T^\infty, F \wedge T^\infty) = |T| \cdot e^F_{HK}(\mathfrak{m}, F).$$

□

Theorem 2.9.6. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, reduced binoid and $\mathfrak{n}$ be an N_+-primary ideal of N. Then $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N)$ exists and is rational number.*

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 2.6.2 and Theorem 2.9.5. □

Example 2.9.7. For $a \in \mathbb{N}_+$ we have a binoid $\langle x, y\rangle/ax = ay$. Then this binoid can be realized as $\langle(1 \wedge 0), (1 \wedge 1)\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{N} \wedge (\mathbb{Z}/a)^\infty$. In this case, the torsion-freefication is $\mathbb{N}$ and the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity is a by Theorem 2.9.5, since $e_{HK}((\mathbb{N})^\infty) = 1$ by Example 2.2.4 and $HKF(T^\infty, q) = |T|$ by Example 2.3.3.

Example 2.9.8. Let $a = (2,1), b = (3,0) \in (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}/2)^\infty$ be the generators of a binoid N. From Example 1.4.8 we know that this binoid is not torsion-free. It is not difficult to see that $N_{\text{tf}} \cong \mathbb{N}^\infty \setminus \{1\}$ and the torsion group is $T = \mathbb{Z}/2$. So by Theorem 2.9.5, we have

$$e_{HK}(N) = |T| \cdot e_{HK}(N_{\text{tf}}) = 2 \cdot 2 = 4.$$

Lemma 2.9.9. *Let $N = \langle X, Y, Z\rangle / aX + bY = cZ$ be a binoid, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $d = \gcd(a, b, c)$.*

1. *The map $\phi : N \to \left(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/c\right)^\infty$ given by*

$$X \longmapsto (c, 0, 0),\ Y \longmapsto (0, c, 0),\ Z \longmapsto (a, b, 1),\ \infty \longmapsto \infty,$$

   is a well defined injective binoid homomorphism and N is a cancellative binoid.

2. *The difference group of N is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/d$.*

3. *For $d = 1$ the homomorphism $\psi : N \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^2)^\infty$, given by*

$$X \longmapsto (c, 0),\ Y \longmapsto (0, c),\ Z \longmapsto (a, b), \infty \longmapsto \infty$$

   is injective and our binoid is torsion-free.

4. *The torsion-freefication of N is*

$$N_{\text{tf}} \cong N' = \langle X', Y', Z'\rangle / \frac{a}{d}X' + \frac{b}{d}Y' = \frac{c}{d}Z',$$

   where $\delta : N \to N',\ X \mapsto X',\ Y \mapsto Y',\ Z \mapsto Z'$.

Proof. 1. It is clear that this map is a well defined binoid homomorphism. Let

$$\phi(eX + fY + gZ) = \phi(e'X + f'Y + g'Z).$$

This means that

$$(ec + ga, fc + gb, g) = (e'c + g'a, f'c + g'b, g') \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/c.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} ec + ga &= e'c + g'a, \\ fc + gb &= f'c + g'b, \\ g &\equiv g' \mod c \end{aligned}$$

and we can write after some trivial manipulations $g = g' - ck,\ e = e' + ak, f = f' + bk$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means that $eX + fY + gZ = e'X + f'Y + g'Z$ in N, hence our map is injective. The cancellativity of $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/c$ implies that N is cancellative.

2. Let us consider the map $\varphi : \Gamma N \to \left(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/c\right)^\infty$ with $\varphi|_N = \phi$. Let us denote $x = (c, 0, 0),\ y = (0, c, 0),\ z = (a, b, 1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/c$. If we write $a = da', b = db', c = dc'$ then $\gcd(a', b', c') = 1$. So we have $(0, 0, c') = c'z - a'x - b'y$ which means $(0, 0, c') \in \operatorname{im}\varphi$. Hence ΓN contains a torsion element of order d.

   Now let $ux + vy + wz$ be a torsion element. Then $ux + vy + wz = (uc + wa, vc + wb, w)$ and by torsion we have $uc + wa = 0,\ vc + wb = 0$. From here we have $w = -\frac{uc}{a} = -\frac{vc}{b}$ and $\frac{u}{a'} = \frac{v}{b'}$. If $\frac{u}{a'} = \frac{v}{b'} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ then there exists a prime power p^i such that $p^i \mid a'$, $p^i \mid b'$ and $p^i \nmid u$,$p^i \nmid v$. But $w = -\frac{uc'}{a'} \in \mathbb{Z}$ implies that $p \mid c'$ and this contradicts $\gcd(a', b', c') = 1$. So $\frac{u}{a'} = \frac{v}{b'} = m \in \mathbb{Z}$ which means that $w = mc'$. Hence the torsion group of ΓN is $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Therefore $\Gamma N \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/d$.

3. It is clear that ψ is a well defined binoid homomorphism. Let

$$\psi(eX + fY + gZ) = \psi(e'X + f'Y + g'Z).$$

From here we get $(ec + ga, fc + gb) = (e'c + g'a, f'c + g'b)$ and

$$\frac{e - e'}{a} = \frac{f - f'}{b} = \frac{g' - g}{c} = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where $p \in \mathbb{Z},\ q \in \mathbb{N}_+,\ \gcd(p, q) = 1$. From $e - e' = a\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we deduce that $q \mid a$ and similarly $q \mid b$ and $q \mid c$. Hence from $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$ we get $q = 1$ and $e - e' = ap,\ f - f' = bp,\ g' - g = cp$. So this means that $eX + fY + gZ = e'X + f'Y + g'Z$ in N, hence our map is injective. From here we also get that our binoid is torsion-free.

4. We have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N & & \xrightarrow{\quad\phi\quad} & & \left(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/c\right)^\infty \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} & & & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ N' & \xrightarrow{[d]} & N' & \xrightarrow{\psi} & (\mathbb{Z}^2)^\infty \end{array}$$

Since ϕ and $\psi \circ [d]$ are injective we can understand which elements are identified under δ by looking at π.

Let $n, n' \in N$. So $\phi(n) = (m, t), \phi(n') = (m', t') \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/c$. They are identified under the projection π if and only if $m = m'$.

Also $n \equiv n'$ in the torsion-freefication if and only if there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that $kn = kn'$ holds in N. If this holds, then $\phi(kn) = (km, kt) = \phi(kn') = (km', kt')$ and $km = km'$ implies $m = m'$ in $\mathbb{Z}^2$. If $\delta(n) = \delta(n')$, then $m = m'$ and therefore $cn = cn'$ in N. Hence the map $\delta : N \twoheadrightarrow N'$ gives the torsion-freefication of N.

□

Example 2.9.10. Let $N = \langle X, Y, Z\rangle / 4X + 12Y = 16Z$ be a binoid. From Lemma 2.9.9 we have an injective binoid homomorphism $\phi : N \to \left(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}\right)^\infty$. So $\phi(N)$ has generators $(16, 0, 0), (0, 16, 0), (4, 12, 1)$. If we choose the new generators $u = (4, -4, 1),\ v = (0, 16, 0),\ w = (0, 0, 4)$, then $\phi(X) = 4u + v - w,\ \phi(Y) = v,\ \phi(Z) = u + v$. Hence the difference group of our biniod is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}/4$. The torsion-freefication of N is $F = \langle X', Y', Z'\rangle / X' + 3Y' = 4Z'$ with $e_{HK}(F) = \frac{13}{4}$ by Theorem 2.7.1 (F is not normal), so $e_{HK}(N) = 13$ by Theorem 2.9.5.

We include some further observations about the relation between N and $F \wedge T^\infty$, which give in part another proof of our result.

Lemma 2.9.11. *Let $N \subseteq F \wedge T^\infty$ be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative and integral binoid. Then there exists $f \in F^\bullet$ such that $f \wedge 0 + F \wedge T^\infty \subseteq N$.*

Proof. Let $T = \mathbb{Z}/k_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/k_l$. Then we can write $(0 \wedge e_j) = (f_j \wedge t_j) - (f_j \wedge t'_j)$ where $e_j = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in T$ and $(f_j \wedge t_j), (f_j \wedge t'_j) \in N$. We set $f \wedge 0 := \sum_{j=1}^{l} k(f_j \wedge t'_j)$,

where $k = \sum_{j=1}^{l} k_j$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \wedge (i_1, \ldots, i_l) &= f \wedge 0 + \sum_{j=1}^{l} i_j (0 \wedge e_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{l} k(f_j \wedge t'_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} i_j (f_j \wedge t_j - f_j \wedge t'_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{l} i_j (f_j \wedge t_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} (k - i_j)(f_j \wedge t'_j) \in N, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leqslant i_j < k_j$. For every $g \in F$ there exists $t' \in T$ such that $(g, t') \in N$. So from the above we can write $(f + g) \wedge t = f \wedge (t - t') + g \wedge t'$ where both summands belong to N for every $t \in T$. Hence $(f + F) \wedge T^\infty = f \wedge 0 + F \wedge T^\infty \subseteq N$. □

Lemma 2.9.12. *Let $N \subseteq F \wedge T^\infty$ be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, integral binoid and $M = N[f_1 \wedge 0, \ldots, f_k \wedge 0] \subseteq F \wedge T^\infty$, where $f_i \wedge t_i$ are generators of N. Then $F \wedge 0 \subseteq M$.*

Proof. Let $f \wedge 0 \in F \wedge 0$. Then there exists $t \in T$ such that $(f, t) \in N$. So we have

$$f \wedge t = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i (f_i \wedge t_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i f_i \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i t_i,$$

which means $f = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i f_i$. Hence

$$f \wedge 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i (f_i \wedge 0) \in M.$$

□

Lemma 2.9.13. *Let M be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, integral binoid with $F \wedge 0 \subseteq M$. Let $f \in F^\bullet$ be such that $f \wedge 0 + F \wedge T^\infty \subseteq M$. Then for every $t \in T$ and $q \gg 0$ we have the subset inclusions*

$$[(f)/(f) + [q]F_+ \setminus (f) \cap [q]F_+/(f) + [q]F_+] \wedge t \subseteq M_t/(M_t \cap [q]M_+) \subseteq F_t/([q]F_+ + F_t) \wedge t.$$

Proof. We know by Lemma 2.9.11 that $(f + F) \wedge t \subseteq M_t$. Now if

$$h \in (f + F/f + [q]F_+) \setminus (f + F \cap [q]F_+)/f + [q]F_+$$

then $h = f + g \notin [q]F_+, g \in F, g \notin [q]F_+$, so $(f + g) \wedge t \notin M_t \cap [q]M_+$, which means $(f + g) \wedge t \in M_t/(M_t \cap [q]M_+)$.

If $x \in [q]F_+ + F_t$ then $x = h + qf + g$, where $h \in F_t, f \in F_+, g \in F$, so $x \wedge t = (h + qf + g) \wedge t = h \wedge t + q(f \wedge 0) + g \wedge 0$. By Lemma 2.9.12 we know $f \wedge 0 \in M_+, g \wedge 0 \in M$ and by definition $h \wedge t \in M_t$, hence $x \wedge t \in M_t \cap [q]M_+$. This means

$$M_t/(M_t \cap [q]M_+) \subseteq F_t/([q]F_+ + F_t) \wedge t.$$

□

Lemma 2.9.14. *Let M be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, integral binoid with $F \wedge 0 \subseteq M$. Then F_t is a non-zero ideal of F.*

Proof. Let $g \in F_t, h \in F$ then $g \wedge t \in M$ and $h \wedge 0 \in M$. Hence $g \wedge t + h \wedge 0 = (g+h) \wedge t \in M_t$, which means $g+h \in F_t$. We know from Lemma 2.9.11 there is $f \in F_t, f \neq \infty$, so not zero. □

Lemma 2.9.15. *Let M be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, integral binoid with $F \wedge 0 \subseteq M$. Then*

$$e_{HK}(M) = |T| \cdot e_{HK}(F),$$

where T is the torsion subgroup of $(\operatorname{diff} M)^\times$ and $F = M_{\mathrm{tf}}$.

Proof. We know $M \subseteq F \wedge T^\infty$, where F is finitely generated, positive, cancellative, integral, torsion-free binoid. Let f be chosen as in Lemma 2.9.11. So by Proposition 1.7.5 (with $N = F$, $S = I = f + F$, $J = [q]F_+$) we have a strongly exact sequence

$$\infty \to (f+F) \cap [q]F_+/(f+[q]F_+) \to (f+F)/(f+[q]F_+) \to F/[q]F_+ \to F/((f+F) \cup [q]F_+) \to \infty.$$

We know by Lemma 2.8.6

$$(f + F)/(f + [q]F_+) = e_{HK}(F) \cdot q^d + O(q^{d-1})$$

and

$$F/[q]F_+ = e_{HK}(F) \cdot q^d + O(q^{d-1}),$$

where $d = \dim F$. Also

$$F/((f + F) \cup [q]F_+) = (F/f + F)/[q](F/f + F)_+$$

and by Corollary 2.8.5 we know $\mathrm{HKF}(F/(f + F), q) \leqslant Dq^{d'}$, where D is some constant and $d' = \dim(F/f + F) < d$. Hence by Corollary 1.7.7 we have

$$\#(f + F) \cap [q]F_+/(f + [q]F_+) + \#F/[q]F_+ = \#(f + F)/(f + [q]F_+) + \#F/((f + F) \cup [q]F_+).$$

From here we can conclude that

$$\#(f + F) \cap [q]F_+/(f + [q]F_+) \leqslant Cq^{d-1},$$

where C is some constant. From lemma 2.9.13 we know

$$[(f + F/f + [q]F_+) \setminus (f + F \cap [q]F_+)/(f + [q]F_+)] \wedge t \subseteq M_t/(M_t \cap [q]M_+) \subseteq F_t/([q]F_+ + F_t) \wedge t,$$

where $t \in T, M_t = F_t \wedge t = M \cap (F \wedge t), F \wedge t = \{(f \wedge t) \mid f \in F\}$. We know by Lemma 2.9.14, $f + F$ and F_t are the non zero ideals of F. So we have

$$\mathrm{HKF}(f + F, q) - \#(f + F) \cap [q]F_+/(f + [q]F_+) \leqslant \#M_t/(M_t \cap [q]M_+) \leqslant \mathrm{HKF}(F_t, q),$$

for every $t \in T$. We can write $M/[q]M_+ = \biguplus_{t \in T} M_t/(M_t \cap [q]M_+)$, hence

$$\mathrm{HKF}(M, q) = \#M/[q]M_+ = \sum_{t \in T} \#M_t/(M_t \cap [q]M_+).$$

Therefore

$$|T| \cdot [\mathrm{HKF}(f + F, q) - \#(f + F) \cap [q]F_+/(f + [q]F_+)] \leqslant \mathrm{HKF}(M, q) \leqslant \sum_{t \in T} \mathrm{HKF}(F_t, q).$$

Dividing by q^d and using Lemma 2.8.6 we get $e_{HK}(M) = |T| \cdot e_{HK}(F)$. □

2.10 Hilbert-Kunz function of binoid rings

We want to relate the Hilbert-Kunz function of a binoid N to the Hilbert-Kunz function of its binoid algebra $K[N]$. However, $K[N]$ is not a local ring. If N is positive, then $K[N]$ contains the unique combinatorial maximal ideal $K[N_+]$ and we work with the localization $K[N]_{K[N_+]}$. In this setting we get via Proposition 1.9.4 and Proposition 1.9.10, immediately

$$HKF^N(\mathfrak{n}, S, q) = \#\big(S/(S + [q]\mathfrak{n})\big) = \dim_K K[S]/(K[S] \cdot K[\mathfrak{n}]^{[q]}) = HKF^{K[N]}(K[\mathfrak{n}], K[S], q).$$

So the rationality results of the previous sections transform directly to results on the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of the localization of a binoid algebra.

This translation is more involved for semipositive binoids. We have seen in Lemma 1.9.11 that $K[N_+]$ is the intersection of finitely many maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_r$. Then $T = K[N]\setminus\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap K[N]\setminus\mathfrak{m}_r$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $K[N]$ and the localization $K[N]_T$ is a semilocal ring with maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_i \cdot K[N]_T$ and $K[N_+] \cdot K[N]_T = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{m}_i \cdot K[N]_T$.

Now, for a semilocal Noetherian ring R with Jacobson ideal $\mathfrak{m} = \bigcap_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{m}_i$ containing a field of positive characteristic, we can define the Hilbert-Kunz function as before. For a finite R-module M and an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ we set

$$\mathrm{HKF}^R(\mathfrak{n}, M, q) = \mathrm{length}(M/\mathfrak{n}^{[q]}M).$$

If J is an ideal in a Noetherian ring R with $V(J) = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_r\}$, then

$$\mathrm{length}^R(M/JM) = \mathrm{length}^{R_T}(M_T/JM_T)$$

for $T = \bigcap_{i=1}^r R\setminus\mathfrak{m}_i$. In this way we consider $K[N_+]$-primary ideals in $K[N]$ for a semipositive binoid N, and we write $\mathrm{HKF}^{K[N]}(K[\mathfrak{n}], K[S], q)$ instead of $\mathrm{HKF}^{K[N]_T}(K[\mathfrak{n}]\cdot K[N]_T, K[S]_T, q)$.

Theorem 2.10.1. *Let K be a field of characteristic p, N a finitely generated, semipositive binoid, S an N-set, $\mathfrak{n}$ an N_+-primary ideal and $q = p^e$. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{HKF}^N(\mathfrak{n}, S, q) = \mathrm{HKF}^{K[N]}(K[\mathfrak{n}], K[S], q).$$

Proof. We know, from Proposition 1.9.10, that

$$K[S/(S + [q]\mathfrak{n})] \cong K[S]/(K[S] \cdot K[\mathfrak{n}]^{[q]}),$$

and by Proposition 1.9.4, we can conclude that

$$\#(S/(S + [q]\mathfrak{n})) = \dim_K K[S/(S + [q]\mathfrak{n})] = \dim_K K[S]/(K[S] \cdot K[\mathfrak{n}]^{[q]}).$$

□

Theorem 2.10.2. *Let K be a field of characteristic p, N a finitely generated, semipositive binoid. Suppose that* $\dim N = \dim K[N]$ *and $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N)$ exists. Then*

$$e_{HK}^{K[N]}(K[\mathfrak{n}], K[N]) = e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N)$$

and it is independent of the (positive) characteristic of K.

Proof. If we take $S = N$ in Theorem 2.10.1 then we have

$$\mathrm{HKF}^{K[N]}(K[\mathfrak{n}], K[N], q) = \mathrm{HKF}^{N}(\mathfrak{n}, N, q).$$

By assumption ($q = p^e, d = \dim N$)

$$e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N) = \lim_{q\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{HKF}^{N}(\mathfrak{n}, N, q)}{q^d} = \lim_{e\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{HKF}^{K[N]}(K[\mathfrak{n}], K[N], q)}{q^d} = e_{HK}^{K[N]}(K[\mathfrak{n}], K[N]).$$

□

Theorem 2.10.3 (Miller conjecture for cancellative binoid rings)**.** *Let K be a field of characteristic p, N a binoid. Suppose that $\dim N = \dim K[N]$ and $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N)$ exists. Then*

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{HKF}^{(\mathbb{Z}/p)[N]}((\mathbb{Z}/p)[\mathfrak{n}], (\mathbb{Z}/p)[S], p)}{p^{\dim N}}$$

exists and equals

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} e_{HK}^{(\mathbb{Z}/p)[N]}((\mathbb{Z}/p)[\mathfrak{n}], (\mathbb{Z}/p)[S]).$$

Proof. This follows from the identity $\mathrm{HKF}^{(\mathbb{Z}/p)[N]}((\mathbb{Z}/p)[\mathfrak{n}], (\mathbb{Z}/p)[S], p) = \mathrm{HKF}^{N}(\mathfrak{n}, S, p)$ from Theorem 2.10.1 and the existence of the limit. □

Theorem 2.10.4. *Let K be a field of characteristic p, N be a finitely generated, semipositive, cancellative, reduced binoid and $\mathfrak{n}$ be an N_+-primary ideal of N. Then*

$$e_{HK}^{K[N]}(K[\mathfrak{n}], K[N])$$

exists, is independent of the characteristic of K and it is a rational number.

Proof. By Theorem 2.9.6 we know that $e_{HK}(\mathfrak{n}, N)$ exists and is a rational number. So by Theorem 2.10.2 we have the result. □

Remark 2.10.5. Note that $K[F \wedge T^{\infty}]$ over an algebraically closed field K where $\mathrm{char}\, K$ does not divide $|T|$ has an easy structure, it is the product of $|T|$ copies of $K[F]$ and $\mathrm{Spec}\, K[F \wedge T^{\infty}]$ is the disjoint union of $|T|$ copies of $\mathrm{Spec}\, K[F]$.

Chapter 3

Partition of ${}^qN$

In this chapter we will study the partitions of the N-sets ${}^qN$. K.-I.Watanabe showed in his paper ([Wat00]), that for toric rings there exists finitely many non-isomorphic irreducible parts in the partitions of ${}^qN$. We will prove the same result for finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoids.

3.1 Generalities

Let S be an N-set and $\phi : N \to N$ a binoid homomorphism. Then S with the new operation

$$n \dot{+} s := \phi(n) + s$$

is again an N-set, denoted by ${}^{\phi}S$.

For every commutative binoid N and every $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a binoid homomorphism $[q]$, where

$$N \xrightarrow{[q]} N,\ n \longmapsto qn.$$

So we have a set ${}^qN$whose elements are the same as N's and N acts via the homomorphism $[q]$ as above. From Lemma 1.2.8 we can think of ${}^qN$as a pointed N-set. We also set $qN := \{qn \mid n \in N\}$, $b + qN := \{b + qn \mid n \in N\}$, for $b \in N$ and $[q]N_+$ is the extended ideal.

Lemma 3.1.1. *Let N be a finitely generated binoid. If q is a positive integer then ${}^qN$is a finitely generated N-set.*

Proof. If $n_1, \ldots, n_k$ are the generators of N then the set $\{a_j n_i \mid 0 \leqslant a_j < q, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k\}$ will generate ${}^qN$, i.e. ${}^qN = \bigcup_{i,j}(a_j n_i + qN)$. □

Corollary 3.1.2. *Let N be a commutative binoid, then ${}^qN \wedge_N N/N_+ \cong N/[q]N_+$.*

Proof. Take $I = N_+$ and $S = {}^qN$ in Proposition 1.6.8. □

This gives us another way to compute the Hilbert-Kunz function. We know that ${}^qN$is an N-set and $\dot{+}$ is an operation on ${}^qN$. So we have the equivalence relation $\sim_N$ on ${}^qN$.

Proposition 3.1.3. *Let N be a finitely generated, semipositive binoid and $k = k(q)$ be the number of minimal N-set generators of ${}^qN$. Then* $\mathrm{HKF}(N, q) = k \cdot |N^{\times}|$.

Proof. Let (S,p) be a finitely generated N-set and $\{s_1,\dots,s_k\}$ be a minimal generating set of S, which is determined up to multiplication by units. Then by Proposition 1.6.8 we have

$$S \wedge_N N/N_+ \cong S/(N_+ + S).$$

But we know $S/(N_+ + S) = \{n + s_i \mid n \in N^\times, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k\} \cup \{p\}$, because $n_1 + s_i \neq n_2 + s_j$. Hence

$$\#(S \wedge_N N/N_+) = \#\big(S/(N_+ + S)\big) = |\{n + s_i \mid n \in N^\times, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k\}| = k \cdot |N^\times|.$$

So if $S = {}^qN$ then

$$\mathrm{HKF}(N,q) = \#N/[q]N_+ = \#({}^qN \wedge_N N/N_+) = k \cdot |N^\times|. \qquad \square$$

Let N be a commutative binoid and $q \geqslant 1$ be some integer. For every $b \in N$ we define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} {}^qP(b) &:= \{a \in N \mid \exists n \in N^\bullet, a + qn \in b + qN\} \subseteq N, \\ {}^qN(b) &:= \{a \in N \mid a + b \in qN\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $a \in {}^qP(b)$ then there exist $n_1 \in N^\bullet, n_2 \in N$ such that $a + qn_1 = b + qn_2$. For $n \in N$ we have $n \dot{+} a = qn + a$, so $qn + a + qn_1 = b + qn + qn_2$ which means $n \dot{+} a \in {}^qP(b)$. So ${}^qP(b)$ is an N-subset of ${}^qN$. Similarly we can show that ${}^qN(b)$ is an N-set.

Lemma 3.1.4. *Let N be an integral binoid. If q is some positive integer then ${}^qP(b) = {}^qP(b+qc)$ and ${}^qN(b) \subseteq {}^qN(b+qc)$ for every $b \in N, c \in N^\bullet$.*

Proof. If $a \in {}^qP(b)$ then there exists $n \in N^\bullet$ such that $a + qn = b + qn'$ so

$$a + q(n+c) = b + qn' + qc = b + q(n' + c)$$

for every $c \in N^\bullet$. By integrality, we have $n + c \in N^\bullet$. Hence $a \in {}^qP(b+qc)$. If $a \in {}^qP(b+qc)$ then there exists $n \in N^\bullet$ such that $a + qn = b + qc + qn' = b + q(c + n')$ and we know that $c + n' \in N^\bullet$ so $a \in {}^qP(b)$.

Let $d \in {}^qN(b)$, then $d + b = qm$ for some $m \in N$. So $d + (b + qc) = qm + qc = q(m + c) \in qN$, which means ${}^qN(b) \subseteq {}^qN(b + qc)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.1.5. *Let N be an integral binoid. If q is some positive integer and $b \in N^\bullet$ then ${}^qP(b)$ is an irreducible component of ${}^qN$.*

Proof. We know that $b \in {}^qP(b)$ and $b \in N^\bullet$, so ${}^qP(b) \neq \{\infty\}$. If we take two elements $x, y \in {}^qP(b)^\bullet$ then there exist $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \in N^\bullet$ such that

$$x + qn_1 = b + qn_2 \text{ and } y + qn_3 = b + qn_4.$$

Hence from

$$x + qn_1 + qn_4 = b + qn_2 + qn_4 = y + qn_3 + qn_2$$

and $x, y \in {}^q P(b)^\bullet$, we have $x \sim_N y$. Also, if $x \in {}^qN$and $n \dot{+} x \in {}^qP(b), n \dot{+} x \neq \infty$, then there exist $n_3 \in N^\bullet, n_4 \in N$ such that $(n \dot{+} x) + qn_3 = b + qn_4$, so $x + q(n + n_3) = b + qn_4$ and this means $x \in {}^qP(b)$. Hence ${}^qP(b)$ is one of the equivalence classes of ${}^qN$for the relation $\sim_N$. So by Proposition 1.3.7, it follows that ${}^qP(b)$ is an irreducible component of ${}^qN$. $\square$

Corollary 3.1.6. *Let N be an integral binoid. If q is a positive integer then*

$$ {}^qN = \bigcup_{b\in T} {}^qP(b) $$

for some subset $T \subseteq N$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1.5 we know that ${}^qP(b)$ is an irreducible component of ${}^qN$and for every element $c \in N^\bullet$ we know that $c \in {}^qP(c)$. So ${}^qN$is the union of the sets ${}^qP(b)$. Now it is enough to take T to be a set of representatives for the different ${}^qP(b)$'s. □

Corollary 3.1.7. *Let N be a finitely generated and integral binoid. If q is a positive integer then there exist $b_1, \ldots, b_s \in N$ such that ${}^qN = \biguplus_{i=1}^s {}^qP(b_i)$.*

Proof. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_k$ be the generators of N. Take any element $a \in {}^qN$. Then we can write $a = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i + qc$ where $0 \leqslant a_i \leqslant q-1, c \in N^\bullet$. Hence $a \in {}^qP(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i)$ and it is clear that $\{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i e_i \mid 0 \leqslant a_i \leqslant q-1\}$ is finite. □

Lemma 3.1.8. *Let N be a finitely generated and integral binoid. If q is a positive integer and $b \in N$ then there exists $b' \in N$ such that ${}^qP(b) = {}^qN(b')$.*

Proof. We know by Lemma 3.1.1 and Proposition 1.2.17 that ${}^qP(b)$ is a finitely generated N-set. So if $a_1, \ldots, a_s$ are the generators of ${}^qP(b)$ then there exist $n_1, \ldots, n_s \in N^\bullet$ such that $a_i + qn_i \in b + qN$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. Hence $a_i \in {}^qN((q-1)b + qn_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. If we take $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_s$ then by Lemma 3.1.4 we have that $a_i \in {}^qN((q-1)b + qn)$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, which means ${}^qP(b) \subseteq {}^qN((q-1)b + qn)$. The other inclusion is obvious, so ${}^qP(b) = {}^qN((q-1)b + qn)$. □

Corollary 3.1.9. *Let N be a finitely generated and integral binoid. If q is a positive integer then there exists $b_1, \ldots, b_s \in N$ such that ${}^qN = \biguplus_{i=1}^s {}^qN(b_i)$.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3.1.7 and Lemma 3.1.8. □

Proposition 3.1.10. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive and integral binoid. If S is a finitely generated N-set then $S \wedge_N N/N_+ = \{a \wedge 0 \mid a \in \mathrm{Gen}_N(S)\} \cup \{\infty \wedge \infty\}$. In particular this is true for the N-sets ${}^qN(b)$.*

Proof. If $a \in S^\bullet$ is not a generator then there exist $a' \in \mathrm{Gen}_N(S)$ and $0 \neq n \in N^\bullet$ such that $a = a' + n$. N is a positive binoid, so $N/N_+ = \{0, \infty\}$. This means we have

$$ a \wedge 0 = (a' + n) \wedge 0 = a' \wedge n = a' \wedge \infty = \infty \wedge \infty. $$

Also

$$ S \wedge_N N/N_+ \subseteq \{a \wedge 0 \mid a \in \mathrm{Gen}_N(S)\} \cup \{\infty \wedge \infty\}. $$

Let $a, a' \in \mathrm{Gen}_N(S)$. By Proposition 1.2.16 we can not write $a = b + n, a' = b' + n'$, where $b, b' \in S, 0 \neq n, n' \in N$. So $a \wedge 0 \neq a' \wedge 0$. The other inclusion is clear. □

3.2 Relation to normalization

Definition 3.2.1. let N be a finitely generated, integral and cancellative binoid and $(\operatorname{diff} N)^\times = \mathbb{Z}^d \times T$, where $d = \operatorname{rank}(N)$. An element of the normalization $\hat{N}$ is a *gap* if it does not belong to N. A gap b is called *primary* if we can not write $b = a + m, m \in N_+$, where a is a gap.

The normalization $\hat{N}$ is generated as a binoid over N by 0 and the primary gaps.

Let $A = \{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k\}$ be a nonempty set of positive integers. The set of all integers of the form $x_1n_1 + x_2n_2 + \cdots + x_rn_r$ is the subset of $\mathbb{N}$ generated by A and is denoted by $\langle A\rangle$.

Definition 3.2.2. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ be a set of positive integers such that $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = 1$. The *numerical semigroup* generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_k$ is the set $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_k\rangle$.

The following statement follows also from Theorem 2.7.1, since the normalization of N is $\mathbb{N}$ and the extended ideal of N_+ is $\mathbb{N}_{\geqslant n_1}$. The smallest generator of a numerical semigroup is called its *multiplicity*.

Proposition 3.2.3. *Let N be a numerical semigroup. If q is a positive integer greater than any gap of N then $\#N/[q]N_+ = qn_1$, where n_1 is the smallest generator of N.*

Proof. Let

$$n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k \in \mathbb{N}_+$$

be the generators of N, then we have

$$N = \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i n_i \mid x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathbb{N} \Big\}.$$

From $\gcd(n_1, \ldots, n_k) = 1$ there exist $d_1, \ldots, d_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d_1n_1 + \cdots + d_kn_k = 1$. Let $D = \max |d_i|$. Let $s = Da_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i$. Then for any $0 \leqslant r < a_1$,

$$s + r = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (Da_i + rd_i)a_i \in N.$$

So any integer $x \geqslant s$ can be written as $x = ma_1 + s + r$, where $0 \leqslant r < a_1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $x \in N$ which means that we have finitely many gaps, so call them $b_1, \ldots, b_s$.

Let $q > b_i$ for all i, which means in particular $q \in N$. Hence it is easy to see that

1. if $b \in N$ then ${}^qP(b) = b + q\mathbb{N}$
2. if $b \notin N$ then ${}^qP(b + q) = b + q + q\mathbb{N}$

and

$${}^qN = \Big(\bigcup_{q > b \in N}^{\cdot} {}^qP(b) \Big) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{q > b \notin N}^{\cdot} {}^qP(b + q) \Big).$$

Which means they are all isomorphic to the normalization $\hat{N} \cong \mathbb{N}$. But we know that $\mathbb{N}$ is generated over N as an N-set by the elements $0, 1, \ldots, n_1 - 1$. Hence by Proposition 3.1.10 and Corollary 3.1.2 we have $\#N/[q]N_+ = \#\operatorname{Gen}_N({}^qN) = qn_1$. ∎

Lemma 3.2.4. *Let N be a finitely generated, integral and cancellative binoid. Let L be an irreducible $\hat{N}$-set (or* diff *N-set). Then L is also an irreducible N-set.*

Proof. That L is an irreducible $\hat{N}$-set means $x \sim_{\hat{N}} y$ for every $x, y \in L$ and we have to show that $x \sim_N y$. It is enough to see that if we have $n, m \in \hat{N}^\bullet$ such that $n + x = m + y$ then $x \sim_N y$. By definition $n, m \in \hat{N}^\bullet$ means $n = a - b$ and $m = c - d$, where $a, b, c, d \in N^\bullet$. So $n + x = m + y$ implies that $(a + d) + x = (b + c) + y$. Hence by integrality $a + d, b + c \in N^\bullet$ and $x \sim_N y$. □

Lemma 3.2.5. *Let N be a finitely generated, integral and cancellative binoid and $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $L \subseteq {}^q\hat{N}$ be irreducible as $\hat{N}$-set (via the $[q]$ map). Then $L \cap N \subseteq {}^qN$ is irreducible as an N-set.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in L \cap N$, then $n \dot{+} x = m \dot{+} y$, where $n, m \in \hat{N}$. This means that $qn + x = qm + y$ and we can write $n - m = n' - m'$, where $n', m' \in N$. So

$$qm' + qm + y = q(n + m') + x = q(n' + m) + x = qn' + qm + x$$

and by cancellation we get $qm' + y = qn' + x$, which means $x \sim_N y$. Hence, by Proposition 1.3.5, $L \cap N$ is an irreducible N-set. □

Lemma 3.2.6. *Let N be a finitely generated, integral and cancellative binoid. If L is an irreducible component of ${}^q\hat{N}$ then $L \cap {}^qN$ is an irreducible component of ${}^qN$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2.5 $L \cap {}^qN$ is an irreducible N-set. It is easy to see that if $L \cap {}^qN$ is not an irreducible component of ${}^qN$ then L is not an irreducible component of ${}^q\hat{N}$. □

Proposition 3.2.7. *Let $N \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$ be a binoid with $\hat{N} = \mathbb{N}^k$ and let q be a (large enough) positive integer. Then the irreducible components of ${}^qN$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}_c^k \cap N$, where $\mathbb{N}_c^k = c + q\mathbb{N}^k$ is an irreducible component of the $\mathbb{N}^k$-set ${}^q\mathbb{N}^k$.*

Proof. Let $I_q^k = \{(i_1, \ldots, i_k) \mid i_j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\}\}$. It is easy to see that

$${}^q\mathbb{N}^k = \dot{\bigcup_{c \in I_q^k}} c + q\mathbb{N}^k.$$

We denote $\mathbb{N}_c^k = c + q\mathbb{N}^k$. It is an equivalence class of ${}^q\mathbb{N}^k$ and isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}^k$, which means that it is an irreducible component of ${}^q\mathbb{N}^k$. So by Lemma 3.2.6, $\mathbb{N}_c^k \cap N$ is an irreducible component of ${}^qN$. □

Let N be a binoid that satisfies all conditions in Proposition 3.2.7 and let $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_k)$ be the generator of $\mathbb{N}_c^k$. We consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \times \mathbb{N}^k & \xrightarrow{+} & \mathbb{N}^k \\ {\scriptstyle Id}\downarrow \quad \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & & {\scriptstyle \psi}\downarrow \\ N \times \mathbb{N}_c^k & \xrightarrow{+} & \mathbb{N}_c^k \end{array}$$

Here if $(m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in N$ and $(n_1, \ldots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ then $\psi(n_1, \ldots, n_k) = (c_1 + qn_1, \ldots, c_k + qn_k)$, $((m_1, \ldots, m_k), (n_1, \ldots, n_k)) \mapsto (m_1 + n_1, \ldots, m_k + n_k)$ and $\psi(m_1 + n_1, \ldots, m_k + n_k) = (c_1 + q(m_1 + n_1), \ldots, c_k + q(m_k + n_k))$.
On the other hand $((m_1, \ldots, m_k), \psi(n_1, \ldots, n_k)) = ((m_1, \ldots, m_k), (c_1 + qn_1, \ldots, c_k + qn_k)) \mapsto (c_1 + qn_1 + qm_1, \ldots, c_k + qn_k + qm_k)$. So this diagram commutes. Hence we can assume the irreducible components $\mathbb{N}_c^k$ to be $\mathbb{N}^k$ with the usual addition action of N.

Proposition 3.2.8. *Let N be a finitely generated binoid of the form $(\mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\})^\infty$. If $q \gg 0$ is an integer then*

$$\#N/[q]N_+ = (p+1)(q^k - n) + dn,$$

where p is the number of primary gaps and d is the number of N-generators of $\mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, we have the bound

$$k + p \leqslant d \leqslant k(p+1).$$

Proof. It is easy to check that the normalization is $\hat{N} = \mathbb{N}^k$, so the elements a_i are the gaps. So by Lemma 3.2.6 the irreducible components of ${}^qN$ are $(c+q\mathbb{N}^k)\cap N$, where $c = (c_1, \dots, c_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and $c_i < q$ for all i. So for large q we have

$$(c + q\mathbb{N}^k) \cap N \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{N}^k, & \text{if } c \text{ is not a gap,} \\ \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}, & \text{if } c \text{ is a gap,} \end{cases}$$

and these irreducible sets have in the first case one and in the second case k generators, namely the standard vectors $e_1, \dots, e_k$.

1. If c is not a gap then $(c + q\mathbb{N}^k) \cap N \cong \mathbb{N}^k$, so 0 and all primary gaps will generate $\mathbb{N}^k$. Hence
$$\#\operatorname{Gen}_N(\mathbb{N}^k) = p + 1.$$

2. If c is a gap then $(c + q\mathbb{N}^k) \cap N = \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$, so we have $\#\operatorname{Gen}_N(\mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}) = d$.

Hence $\#\operatorname{Gen}_N({}^qN) = (p+1)(q^k - n) + dn$.

Now we will show that $k+p \leqslant d \leqslant k(p+1)$. Let $e_1, \dots, e_k$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{N}^k$. We know that the primary gaps are N-generators of $\mathbb{N}^k$. If $e_i, 2e_i, \dots, k_ie_i$ are primary gaps and $(k_i+1)e_i$ is not, then $e_i, 2e_i, \dots, k_ie_i, (k_i+1)e_i$ belong to the N-generators of $\mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$, where $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $d \geqslant k + p$. It is also easy to see that the set $\{e_i, e_i + g_1, \dots, e_i + g_p \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k\}$ will generate $\mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$, where $g_1, \dots, g_p$ are the primary gaps. □

By Proposition 3.2.8 we can deduce the following results. Let $k = 1$. Then

$$k + p \leqslant d \leqslant k(p+1)$$

implies that $d = p+1$ and $\#N/[q]N_+ = (p+1)(q^k - n) + dn = (p+1)(q-n) + (p+1)n = (p+1)n$, which means we recover Proposition 3.2.3, since the primary gaps are the gaps below the multiplicity.

Example 3.2.9.

1. Let $N = \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \{(1,0), (2,0), (0,1), (1,1), (2,1), (0,2), (1,2), (2,2)\}$. Then it is easy to see that $d = 10 = k + p$.

2. Let $N = \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \{(1,0), (2,0), (0,1), (1,1), (0,2)\}$ then $2 + 5 < d = 9 < 2(4+1) = 10$.

Example 3.2.10. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N = \langle (k,0), (1,1), (0,k), (0,k+1), \dots, (0,2k-1) \rangle$ be a binoid. If $q \gg 0$ is an integer then the number of generators of ${}^qP(0)$ is equal to $2k - 2$, so the number of generators can be large.

3.3 The toric case

Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid. Then by [BruGub09, Proposition 2.17] we can assume that $N \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d$ and $\operatorname{diff} N \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $d = \operatorname{rank}(N)$. Let I be an ideal of N. By [Boet15, Proposition 2.1.14] we know that it is finitely generated, which means that $I = (b_1 + N) \cup \cdots \cup (b_s + N)$. Let $C = \mathbb{R}_+ N^\bullet \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be the rational cone generated by $N^\bullet$. This cone has a representation

$$C = H^+_{\sigma_1} \cap \cdots \cap H^+_{\sigma_s}$$

as an irredundant intersection of halfspaces defined by linear forms σ_i on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Each of the hyperplanes H_{σ_i} is generated as a vector space by integral vectors. Therefore we can assume that σ_i is a linear form on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with nonnegative values on C.

We define the sets

$$L_q(x, I) = \{m \in \operatorname{diff} N \mid x + qm \in I\}$$

and

$${}^qP(x) = \{a \in N \mid \exists n \in N^\bullet, a + qn \in x + qN\}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that ${}^qP(x)$ is an N-subset of ${}^qN$. If $s \in L_q(x, I)$ and $n \in N$, then $n + s \in \operatorname{diff} N$. So $x + q(n + s) = (x + qs) + qn \in I$ which means that $n + s \in L_q(x, I)$. Hence $L_q(x, I)$ is also an N-set.

Example 3.3.1. Let $N = \langle (2,0), (3,2), (3,3), (2,3), (0,2)\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$ then $\hat{N} = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty$ and if $a, b \geqslant 2$ then $(a, b) \in N$. Let $1 \leqslant q \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive integer and if it is even then ${}^qP(0) \cong \hat{N} = (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty$ else ${}^qP(0) \cong \langle (2,0), (0,2), (1,1), (2,1), (1,2)\rangle$.

Proposition 3.3.2. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid. Let's assume $N \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d$ and $\operatorname{diff} N = \mathbb{Z}^d$. If q is some positive number and $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ then $L_q(x, N) \cong {}^qP(x)$ as N-sets.*

Proof. If we take any element $\infty \neq a \in {}^qP(x)$ then $x + qn = a + qm$ for some $n, m \in N^\bullet$, so $a = x + qm_a$, where $m_a = n - m = \frac{a-x}{q} \in \operatorname{diff} N = \mathbb{Z}^d$. We have an N-set homomorphism $\phi : {}^qP(x) \to L_q(x, N)$, where $\phi(a) = m_a$, since $a + nq \mapsto \frac{a+qn-x}{q} = n + \frac{a-x}{q}$. If $a \neq b$ then

$$x + qm_a \neq x + qm_b \Rightarrow \phi(a) \neq \phi(b),$$

which means that ϕ is injective. If $m \in L_q(x, N)$ then $x + qm \in N$ and also by definition $x + qm \in {}^qP(x)$. We know $\phi(x + qm) = m$ so this means that ϕ is surjective. □

Remark 3.3.3. $L_q(x, I) \cong (x + q \operatorname{diff} N) \cap I$ which is an irreducible N-subset occurring in the N-set ${}^qI$.

If we prove that there are only finitely many non-isomorphic N-sets $L_q(x, N)$ then by Corollary 3.1.7 we obtain also the same number of non-isomorphic irreducible N-subsets of ${}^qN$for all q. To prove this it is enough to show that the coordinates of every minimal N-generator of $L_q(x, N)$ are bounded by some constant (not depending on q).

Lemma 3.3.4. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid. If H_{σ_i} is a supporting hyperplane of the cone $\mathbb{R}_+ N^\bullet$ then $N_i := (H_{\sigma_i} \cap N)^\infty$ is also a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid.*

Proof. We can assume $\operatorname{diff} N = \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $d = \dim N$. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq N$ be the minimal set of generators of N. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$

$$(H_{\sigma_i} \cap N)^{\infty} = \Big\{ \sum c_j v_j \in N \mid \sigma_i\Big(\sum c_j v_j \Big) = \sum c_j \sigma_i(v_j) = 0, c_j \in \mathbb{N} \Big\} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Hence if $\sigma_i(v_j) \neq 0$ then $c_j = 0$, which means that N_i is generated by $\{v_j \mid \sigma_i(v_j) = 0\}$ as a binoid. Also positivity, integrality, cancellativity and torsion-freeness are true for these subbinoids. □

Lemma 3.3.5. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid. Let $H_{\sigma_1}, \dots, H_{\sigma_s}$ be the supporting hyperplanes of $\mathbb{R}_+ N^{\bullet}$ and $H_{\alpha,i} = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \sigma_i(y) = \alpha\}$. Then $\big(N \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I} H_{\alpha_i,i})\big)^{\infty}$ is a finitely generated $(\bigcap_{i\in I} N_i)$-set, where $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$, and $N_i := (H_{\sigma_i} \cap N)^{\infty}$.*

Proof. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq N$ be the minimal set of generators of N. We know that $\hat{N} = (\mathbb{R}_+ N^{\bullet} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)^{\infty}$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ N^{\bullet} = H^+_{\sigma_1} \cap \dots \cap H^+_{\sigma_s}$. Let

$$J := \{j \in \{1, \dots, s\} \mid \sigma_i(v_j) = 0 \text{ for every } i \in I\}$$

and

$$N_I := \bigcap_{i\in I} N_i.$$

is a subbinoid of N by Lemma 3.3.4. If $y \in N_I$ then $y = \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j v_j, c_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $i \in I$ we have $0 = \sigma_i(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j \sigma_i(v_j)$. So if $\sigma_i(v_j) > 0$ then it has to be $c_j = 0$, which means that $y = \sum_{j\in J} c_j v_j$. Hence N_I is a binoid generated by $\{v_j \mid j \in J\}$. If $N \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I} H_{\alpha_i,i}) = \varnothing$ then there is nothing to prove so we can assume $N \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I} H_{\alpha_i,i}) \neq \varnothing$. Let $y \in N \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I} H_{\alpha_i,i})$ and $n \in N_I$ then

$$\sigma_i(n + y) = \sigma_i(n) + \sigma_i(y) = \sigma_i(y) = \alpha_i,$$

for every $i \in I$, which means that $n + y \in N \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I} H_{\alpha_i,i})$. Hence $\big(N \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I} H_{\alpha_i,i})\big)^{\infty}$ is an N_I-set.

Now for $z \in N \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I} H_{\alpha_i,i})$ we can write

$$z = \sum_{j\in J} c_j v_j + \sum_{j\notin J} c_j v_j$$

and so

$$\sigma_i(z) = \sum_{j\in J} c_j \sigma_i(v_j) + \sum_{j\notin J} c_j \sigma_i(v_j) = \sum_{j\notin J} c_j \sigma_i(v_j) = \alpha_i,$$

for every $i \in I$. For every j not belonging to J there exists $l \in I$ such that $\sigma_l(v_j) > 0$. Hence $\sigma_l(z) = \sum_{j\notin J} c_j \sigma_i(v_j) = \alpha_l$ implies that c_j is a bounded positive integer. Hence there are only finitely many N_I-generators of $\big(N \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I} H_{\alpha_i,i})\big)^{\infty}$. □

Lemma 3.3.6. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid. Let $H_{\sigma_1}, \dots, H_{\sigma_s}$ be the supporting hyperplanes of $\mathbb{R}_+ N^{\bullet}$ and $H_{\alpha,i} = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \sigma_i(y) = \alpha\}$. Let $K := \big(N \cap (\bigcap_{i\in I} H_{\alpha_i,i})\big)^{\infty}$ and $N_I := \big(\bigcap_{i\in I} H_{\sigma_i} \cap N\big)^{\infty}$ for $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$. Then there exist $n_1, \dots, n_t \in K$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} (n_i + \hat{N}_I) \subseteq K$ and $K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} (n_i + \hat{N}_I)$ is contained in finitely many hyperplanes parallel to the facets of $\hat{N}_I$.*

Proof. Let $g_1, \dots, g_t$ be the N_I-generators of K. Then we have $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t}(g_i + N_I)$. Also by Proposition 1.4.10 we have $m \in N_I$ such that $m + \hat{N}_I \subseteq N_I$, so $g_i + m + \hat{N}_I \subseteq g_i + N_I$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Setting $n_i = g_i + m$ we get $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t}(n_i + \hat{N}_I) \subseteq K$. From Proposition 1.4.11, we have $(g_i + N_i) \setminus (g_i + m + \hat{N}_I)$ is contained in finitely many hyperplanes parallel to the facets of $\hat{N}_I$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Hence $K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{t}(n_i + \hat{N}_I) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{t}(g_i + N_i) \setminus (n_i + \hat{N}_I)$ is contained in finitely many hyperplanes parallel to the facets of $\hat{N}_I$. □

Example 3.3.7. Let $N = \langle (1,0), (2,1), (0,2), (1,3) \rangle$, then $\hat{N} = \mathbb{N}^2$. Let us take

$$K = N \cap H_{x=1} = \langle (1,0), (1,2), (1,3) \rangle$$

and

$$N_1 = N \cap H_{x=0} = \langle (0,2) \rangle.$$

Then we have $K = \big((1,0) + N_1\big) \cup \big((1,3) + N_1\big)$.



Theorem 3.3.8. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid. Let q be some positive integer. Then the coordinates of a minimal N-generator of $L_q(x, N)$ are bounded by some constant depending neither on q nor on $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, ($0 \leqslant x_i \leqslant q - 1$ for all i).*

Proof. We can assume that $N \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d$ and $\operatorname{diff} N = \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $d = \dim N$. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \subseteq N$ be the minimal set of generators of N and let σ_i, $i = 1, \dots, s$, be the describing linear forms of $\mathbb{R}_+ N^\bullet$ with hyperplanes H_i. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$ be one of the minimal N-generators of an irreducible N-subset $L_q(x, N)$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and $0 \leqslant x_i \leqslant q - 1$ for all i. By Proposition 1.4.10 there exists $n \in N$ such that $n + \hat{N} \subseteq N$. So $x + qa \in N = (n + \hat{N}) \cup \big(N \setminus (n + \hat{N})\big)$. We consider two cases.

Let $x + qa \in n + \hat{N}$. Then

$$x + qa \in \{n + \beta_1 v_1 + \cdots + \beta_k v_k \mid 0 \leqslant \beta_j < q, \beta_j \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

because if $x + qa = n + (w + qv_i)$, where $w \in N$ then $x + q(a - v_i) \in N$, which contradicts the assumption that a is a minimal generator. So $x + qa = n + \beta_1 v_1 + \cdots + \beta_k v_k$ and $a = \frac{1}{q}(n - x + \beta_1 v_1 + \cdots + \beta_k v_k)$. The coordinates of n are constant and the coordinates of x and of β_j's are bounded by q, so the coordinates of a are bounded by a constant not depending on q.

Now, if $x + qa \in N \setminus (n + \hat{N})$ then by Proposition 1.4.11 there exists $i_1 \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and $\alpha_{i_1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x + qa \in N \cap H_{\alpha_{i_1}, i_1} =: K_1,$$

where $H_{\alpha_{i_1},i_1} = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \sigma_{i_1}(y) = \alpha_{i_1}\}$. We set $M_1 = N_{i_1} = N \cap H_{0,i_1}$.

By Lemma 3.3.6 there exists $n_{11}, \dots, n_{1t_1} \in K_1$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t_1}(n_{1i} + \hat{M}_1) \subseteq K_1$ and $K_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{t_1}(n_{1i} + \hat{M}_1)$ is contained in finitely many hyperplanes parallel to the facets of $\hat{M}_1$. If $x + qa \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{t_1}(n_{1i} + \hat{M}_1)$ then we can write

$$x + qa = n_{1r_1} + \sum_{j \in J_1} \beta_j v_j$$

for some $r_1 \in \{1, \dots, t_1\}$ and

$$a = \frac{1}{q}(n_{1r_1} - x + \sum_{j \in J_1} \beta_j v_j),$$

where $J_1 = \{j \mid \sigma_{i_1}(v_j) = 0\}, 0 \leqslant \beta_j < q$. So the coordinates of a are bounded by a constant. If $x + qa \in K_1 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{t_1}(n_{1i} + \hat{M}_1)$ then there exists $i_2 \neq i_1$ and α_{i_2} such that

$$x + qa \in K_1 \cap H_{\alpha_{i_2},i_2} =: K_2,$$

where $H_{\alpha_{i_2},i_2} = \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid \sigma_{i_2}(y) = \alpha_{i_2}\}$. Now by Lemma 3.3.6 there exists $n_{21}, \dots, n_{2t_2} \in K_2$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t_2}(n_{2i} + \hat{M}_2) \subseteq K_2$ and $K_2 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{t_2}(n_{2i} + \hat{M}_2)$ is contained in finitely many hyperplanes parallel to the facets of $\hat{M}_2$, where $M_2 = N_{i_1} \cap N_{i_2}$. So we go on until either $x + qa \in n_{jr_j} + \hat{M}_j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This situation occurs eventually since the dimension of M_j drops and finally K_j consists of only one point. So in each case a is bounded by some constant depending neither on q nor on $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. □

Theorem 3.3.9. *Let N be a finitely generated, positive, integral, cancellative and torsion-free binoid. Then there are only finitely many non-isomorphic irreducible N-subsets of ${}^qN$ for all q.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3.1.7 and Theorem 3.3.8. □

Proposition 3.3.10. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N = (\mathbb{N}^3)^\infty/(e_1 + e_2 = ne_3)$ be a binoid. If $q \gg 0$ is an integer then*

$$\#N/[q]N_+ = (2 - \frac{1}{n})q^2 - \frac{r(n-r)}{n}$$

where $q = ns + r, 0 \leqslant r < n, s, r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We can assume that our binoid is $N = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3, \infty\rangle \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^2)^\infty$, where $v_1 = (n, 0), v_2 = (0, n), v_3 = (1, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. So the elements of our binoid is the points $(ni+k, nj+k) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ where $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show that the irreducible parts (equivalence classes) of ${}^qN$ are isomorphic to the following sets (which are ideals):

$$S_t \cong (0, n-t) + N \cup (t, 0) + N,$$

where $t = 1, \dots, n$.

Fix q and let S be an irreducible part of ${}^qN$. If $(x, y) \in N$ and $x \geqslant q, y \geqslant q$ then $(x, y) \sim_N (x - q, y - q) \in N$. Hence if $(x, y) \in S$ is a generator of S then $x < q$ or $y < q$. Let (x_1, y_1) be a generator of S and $x_1 < q$. Then also $y_1 < qn$, since otherwise we would have $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_1, y_1 - qn)$. Let (x_1', y_1') be another generator with $x_1' < q$ and $y_1' < qn$. Then $(x_1, y_1) \sim_N (x_1', y_1')$ implies that $x_1 = x_1'$ and $y_1 = y_1'$. So there is no further generator where

the x coordinate is less than q. The same is true for a generator (x_2, y_2) with $y_2 < q$ and $x_2 < qn$. Hence S is generated by two points $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ with the above property. Now we want to show that such an irreducible part S is isomorphic to S_t for some $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If these two points are different $(x_1 < x_2, y_1 > y_2)$ then we replace S by $S - (x_1, y_2)$. Then these generators become $(0, y_1 - y_2)$ and $(x_2 - x_1, 0)$. So these points are equivalent, which means $(0, y_1 - y_2) + qm_1 = (x_2 - x_1, 0) + qm_2$ for some $m_1, m_2 \in N$. Hence with $m_1 = i_1v_1 + j_1v_2 + k_1v_3$, $m_2 = i_2v_1 + j_2v_2 + k_2v_3$ we get

$$y_1 - y_2 = qn(j_2 - j_1) + q(k_2 - k_1),$$

$$x_2 - x_1 = qn(i_1 - i_2) + q(k_1 - k_2),$$

where $i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. From $y_1, x_2 < qn$, $q \mid (y_1 - y_2)$, $q \mid (x_2 - x_1)$ and $qn \mid (y_1 - y_2 + x_2 - x_1)$ we have

$$y_1 - y_2 = q(n - t),$$

$$x_2 - x_1 = qt,$$

for some $0 < t < n$. So S is isomorphic to S_t.

If these two points are the same then $x_1 = x_2 < q, y_1 = y_2 < q$ and in this case it is easy to see that $S \cong S_n$. So we can compute the number of parts isomorphic to S_n, which have only one generator. It is equal to all the non-isomorphic binoid points strictly inside the $q \times q$ box, which means

$$q + 2(q - n) + 2(q - 2n) + \cdots + 2(q - sn) = \frac{q^2 + r(n - r)}{n}.$$

Hence we can conclude that the number of all parts which have 2 generators is equal to $q^2 - \frac{q^2 + r(n-r)}{n}$. So by Corollary 3.1.9 and Proposition 3.1.10 we can conclude that

$$\#N/[q]N_+ = 2\left(q^2 - \frac{q^2 + r(n - r)}{n}\right) + \frac{q^2 + r(n - r)}{n} = \left(2 - \frac{1}{n}\right)q^2 - \frac{r(n - r)}{n}. \qquad \square$$

Bibliography

[Boet15] S. Boettger: *Monoids with absorbing elements and their associated algebras.* Thesis, Osnabrück, 2015.

[Bre06] H. Brenner: *The rationality of the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity in graded dimension two.* Math. Ann. 334, (2006), 91-110.

[Bre07] Brenner, Holger: *The Hilbert-Kunz function in graded dimension two.* Comm. Algebra 35 (2007), no. 10, 3199–3213.

[BreLiMil] Brenner, Holger; Li, Jinjia; Miller, Claudia: *A direct limit for limit Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity for smooth projective curves.* J. Algebra 372 (2012), 488–504.

[Bre13] H. Brenner: *Irrational Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities.* arXiv:1305.5873, 2013.

[Bri13] D. Brinkmann: *Hilbert-Kunz functions of surface rings of type ADE*, Thesis, Osnabrück, 2013.

[Bru05] W. Bruns: *Conic divisor classes over a normal monoid algebra.* Contemporary Mathematics. 390 (2005) 63-71.

[BruGub09] W. Bruns, J. Gubeladze: *Polytopes, rings and K-theory.* Monographs in mathematics. Springer, New York, 2009.

[BruHer98] W. Bruns, J. Herzog: *Cohen-Macaulay rings, revised edition.* Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 39. Cambridge, 1998.

[Con96] A. Conca: *Hilbert-Kunz function of monomial ideals and binomial hypersurfaces.* Manuscripta Math. 90 (1996), 287-300.

[Eis95] D. Eisenbud: *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry.* Graduate texts in mathematics 150. Springer, New York, 1995.

[Eto02] K. Eto: *Multiplicity and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of monoid rings.* Tokyo J.Math. 25 (2002), 241-245.

[GarRos99] J. C. Rosales, P. A. Garcia-Sanchez: *Finitely generated commutative monoids.* Nova Science Publishers. New York, 1999.

[Kun69] E. Kunz, *Characterizations of regular local rings of characteristic p.* Amer. J. Math. 41(1969), 772-784.

[Mon83] P. Monsky: *The Hilbert-Kunz function*, Math. Ann 263(1983), 43-49.

[MonHan93] P. Monsky and C. Han. *Some surprising Hilbert-Kunz functions.* Math. Z. 214. (1993), 119-135.

[MonTei04] Monsky, Paul; Teixeira, Pedro: *p-fractals and power series. I: Some 2 variable results.* J. Algebra 280, No. 2, 505-536 (2004).

[MonTei06] Monsky, Paul; Teixeira, Pedro: *p-fractals and power series. II: Some applications to Hilbert-Kunz theory.* J. Algebra 304, No. 1, 237-255 (2006).

[Sei97] G. Seibert: *The Hilbert-Kunz function of rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 69(1997), 286-296.

[TriFak03] Fakhruddin, N. Trivedi, V.: *Hilbert–Kunz functions and multiplicities for full flag varieties and elliptic curves.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 181, No. 1, 23-52 (2003).

[Tri05a] Trivedi, V.: *Strong semistability and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity for singular plane curves.* Ghorpade, Sudhir (ed.) et al., Commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. Joint international meeting of the American Mathematical Society and the Indian Mathematical Society, Bangalore, India, December 17–20, 2003. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS). Contemporary Mathematics 390, 165-173 (2005).

[Tri05b] V. Trivedi: *Semistability and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities for curves.* J. Algebra 284 (2005), 627–644.

[Tri07] V. Trivedi: *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity and reduction mod p.* Nagoya Math. J. 185, 123-141 (2007).

[Wat00] K.-I. Watanabe: *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of toric rings.* Proc. Inst. Nat. Sci. 35 (2000), 173-177